Is cryptosporidiosis an underestimated disease in cats?
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RESUMEN

Estudios científicos acerca de la presencia de Cryptosporidium spp. en los gatos son escasos. En esta revisión bibliográfica se tratan los aspectos epidemiológicos y clínicos, así como los métodos de diagnóstico, la conducta terapéutica, y las medidas de control y prevención de la cryptosporidiosis en el gato doméstico, con el objetivo de establecer si se trata de una enfermedad subestimada en la rutina de laboratorio y en la clínica de pequeños animales.
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SUMMARY

Studies on the occurrence of Cryptosporidium spp. in cats are still scarce. In this literature review, we address epidemiological and clinical aspects, as well as diagnostic methods, therapeutic behaviour, and control and prevention measures for this disease in cats, with the aim of investigating if cryptosporidiosis is an underestimated disease in the laboratory routine and in small animal medical clinics.
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INTRODUCTION

The genus Cryptosporidium is represented by obligate intracellular protozoa that parasitize particularly the epithelial surface of the gastrointestinal tract of their hosts (Fayer et al 2000, Xiao et al 2004). In 1907, this coccidian was first found on the gastric mucosa of a rat and was then named Cryptosporidium muris (from Latin, Crypto: absent, hidden; Sporidium: spore) for not showing sporocysts, but only sporozoites (Tyzzer 1907).

The first cases of cryptosporidiosis were reported for humans in 1976 (Meisel et al 1976, Nime et al 1976). Bird y Smith (1980) noted that six out of seven cryptosporidiosis patients were immunosuppressed, which led them to define this disease as opportunistic. However, in 1984, it was stated that this disease would particularly affect immunodeficient patients, becoming chronic and frequently fatal, even though it could also affect immunocompetent individuals, who would develop acute gastroenteritis (Navin y Juranek 1984).
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domestic cats, making this disease closer to the routine of veterinary clinicians and sanitarians by means of a scientific literature review addressing the following subjects: taxonomy, epidemiology, clinical signs, diagnosis, treatment, and control and prevention.

**CRYPTOSPORIDIUM**

The taxonomy is not well defined, and still requiring improved genetic and biochemical parameters (Plutzer y Karanis 2009). *Cryptosporidium* has been classified as a separate group belonging to Superphylum Alveolata, Phylum Apicomplexa, and Class Conoidasida (Adl et al 2012).

Studies have revealed certain specificity of species and genotypes of this coccidian with its hosts (Xiao y Fayer 2008). Currently, 30 *Cryptosporidium* species have been described, of which 20 are found in mammals, while 61 genotypes have been determined according to the host and genetic analyses (Plutzer y Karanis 2009, Chalmers y Katzer 2013, Slapeta 2013).

Morphological analyses of oocysts or immunological tests are not capable of determining the species within *Cryptosporidium* genus, since oocysts are too small, show morphological variation or are identical among the different species, do not have sporocysts and usually are of difficult visualization. In addition, oocysts have conserved antigens, which do not allow differentiation between species by means of immunological tests (Fayer 2008).

Thus, the use of molecular tools in epidemiological studies has provided new perspectives on the diversity of *Cryptosporidium* spp. capable of infecting humans and animals (Xiao et al 2004), which has helped understand the zoonotic and anthropoanotic role of this parasite (Bajer 2008).

**EPIDEMIOLOGY OF CRYPTOSPORIDIOSIS IN CATS**


Cats younger than one year had two-fold higher chance of becoming infected by *Cryptosporidium* when compared to cats older than one year in Turin, Italy (Rambozzi et al 2007). In the United States, McReynolds et al (1999) verified that cats older than 10 years had a four-fold higher chance of becoming infected by this protozoan than compared to kittens younger than one year. The association between infection by *Cryptosporidium* spp. and the age of the cats has already been noted (Ballweber et al 2009), but logistic regression was not performed to verify the participation of this significant difference among plots; visually, the prevalence of positive samples was higher among cats younger than one year but there was no occurrence among animals older than 10 years, which differs from the findings of McReynolds et al (1999). This could be related to a still immature or impaired immune system. This hypothesis is reinforced by Oliveira-Lemos et al (2012) who detected oocyst release for 8.3% faecal samples, of which 80% animals were positive to feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) and feline leukemia virus (FeLV) according to serology.

Cats raised outside the house had five-fold higher chance of acquiring cryptosporidiosis when compared to cats raised only inside the house (McReynolds et al 1999); however, this issue remains controversial (Rambozzi et al 2007, Ballweber et al 2009). Such divergence could be justifiable since those studies were conducted at different times and sites. In addition, the risk factors of this infection may be multifactorial. Occurrence of clinical signs doubles the chance of infection by *Cryptosporidium* sp. (McReynolds et al 1999, Rambozzi et al 2007), while occurrence of other enteroparasites is associated with cryptosporidiosis in cats, tripling the risk of infection (Rambozzi et al 2007), since contaminated water and food constitute sources of infection by several gastrointestinal parasites. Cats fed homemade food had almost seven-fold higher chance of acquiring cryptosporidiosis compared to cats that only ingested animal food (Rambozzi et al 2007), confirming the importance of food in the epidemiology of this disease.

Dogs and cats seem to pose a minimal risk of infection to humans since they are more frequently infected by *Cryptosporidium canis* and *C. felis*, respectively, which are not usually found among humans who generally become infected by *C. parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis*. The absent or low association reported in the scientific literature between occurrence of cryptosporidiosis and the contact with a pet reinforces this hypothesis (Lucio-Forster et al 2010). However, it has been reported an association between cryptosporidiosis in the elderly and their domiciled cats (Pereira y Ferreira 2012).

*Cryptosporidium* genus tends to a specie-specificity, and accidental infection in other hosts can occur. Humans are usually infected by *Cryptosporidium hominis* and *Cryptosporidium parvum*, but infections by *Cryptosporidium meleagris*is, *C. canis*, *C. felis*, *Cryptosporidium suis*, *C. muris* and *Cryptosporidium andersoni* have already been identified, as well as the genotypes deer, monkey, opossum, rabbit, and squirrel (Feng et al 2009, Smith y Nichols, 2010).
CLINICAL SIGNS

In general, infected cats are asymptomatic (Mtambo et al 1991, Nash et al 1993, Fayer et al 2006). Nevertheless, immunosuppressed animals are more susceptible to developing clinical signs (Monticello et al 1987).

Rambozzi et al (2007) verified the association between infection by Cryptosporidium spp. and occurrence of diarrheaea in cats; on the other hand, that same study showed association between this coccidiosis and co-infection by other enteroparasites such as Toxascaris leonina, Toxocara cati, Cystoisospora spp., Aelurostrongylus abstrusus and Dipylidium caninum (Rambozzi et al 2007), which makes it difficult to determine the basal cause of clinical signs. The presence of asymptomatic carriers may influence environmental contamination and promote active infection among immunosuppressed individuals.

In active infection, Cryptosporidium spp. may induce enterocyte microvilli atrophy and fusion, as well as local inflammation, reducing the absorption surface (Koudela y Jiri 1997). There is evidence that such interaction induces enterocyte apoptosis in the host (Buret et al 2003, Mele et al 2004) and this pathogenesis leads to unbalanced nutrient transport (Thompson et al 2008, Vadlamudi et al 2013).

DIAGNOSIS

Diagnostic methods have been widely employed in microscopy. Oocysts can be visualized under a phase contrast microscope by following Sheather’s flotation method (Teixeira et al 2011) or several staining techniques, including: Ziehl-Neelsen modified (Henriksen y Pohlenz 1981), kinyoun (Ma y Soave 1983) and malachite green (Elliot et al 1999). Even though these techniques are relatively simple and low cost, none of them is capable of differentiating between species within Cryptosporidium spp. Furthermore, a good microscopist is required to detect the oocysts in case of low shedding (Quifez et al 1996, Morgan et al 1998, Clark 1999).

Several immunodiagnostic methods, such as direct immunofluorescence, can be used since they show high sensitivity (98.5-100%) and specificity (96-100%) and are capable of recognizing epitopes on the surface of oocysts of Cryptosporidium spp. (Sterling y Arrowood 1986, McLauchlin et al 1987, Grigoriew et al 1994, Garcia y Shimizu 1997).

Antigens present in oocysts may also be detected by the techniques ELISA and immunochromatography showing specificity between 98 and 100% (Garcia y Shimizu 1997, Johnston et al 2003), but the sensitivity of these methods remains controversial (Johnston et al 2003).

Several molecular techniques based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) may also be employed in the diagnosis of this disease. These techniques allow molecular characterization and help study the epidemiology and the ecology of this disease, constituting therefore the most used techniques in scientific research (Thompson et al 1998, Fayer et al 2000).

TREATMENT

There are few reports on the treatment of Cryptosporidium spp. for cats since the drugs available so far have reduced efficacy and the clinical signs, when present, are generally self-limiting for immunocompetent patients.

Tylosin was administered at 11 mg/kg twice a day during 28 days orally for cats infected by Cryptosporidium spp., and there was a remission of clinical signs in the first week of treatment (Lappin et al 1997). Tylosin is a macrolide with effects that are also immunomodulatory (Baba et al 1998). Since the animal had chronic diarrhea, the possibility of spontaneous healing is lower and tylosin efficacy, in this case, seems to be the most plausible hypothesis.

Paromomycin by oral route, at 165 mg/kg twice a day during five days, and the drug was capable of reducing oocyst release to undetectable levels; however, it was not possible to determine if the infection was completely eliminated (Barr et al 1994). In case of hematochezia, this drug is not recommended since it can be absorbed, developing nephrotoxic and ototoxic action (Gookin et al 1999).

Nitazoxanide was already used in the treatment of co-infection between Giardia spp. and Cryptosporidium spp., by the oral route, at 25 mg/kg twice a day during at least five days (Scorza y Lappin 2007). On the other hand, this drug can cause vomit and gastrointestinal irritation (Scorza y Tangtrongsup 2010).

So far, paromomycin has been the drug of choice to treat cryptosporidiosis (Shahiduzzaman y Daugschies 2012).

CONTROL AND PREVENTION

Good hygiene and basic sanitation conditions are key aspects to reduce not only the risk of oocyst ingestion but also environmental contamination, because cryptosporidiosis has a faecal-oral transmission.

Cryptosporidium spp. is sensitive to desiccation and ultraviolet rays (Rochelle et al 2005). Cat faeces must be daily removed with the aid of gloves. Subsequently, cleaning of the sand box with detergent and exposure to sunlight are effective measures.

Resistance of C. parvum to several environmental conditions was assessed. After one month of freezing, only 1.8% oocysts remained viable. The maximal resistance period of oocysts to desiccation was four hours, after which 100% of them were inactivated; however, they remained viable for more than six months while contained in the faeces. Flocculation with aluminum sulfate, similarly to what was done in water treatment stations, was not effective to eliminate the protozoan (Robertson et al 1992).

Filtration methods commonly used by water treatment stations are known to remove most oocysts contained in the water; however, Cryptosporidium spp. were found in...
3.8-40% assessed water samples at a concentration of up to 0.5 oocysts per liter (Rose et al 1997). Thus, tap water supply is not indicated for humans and animals. On the other hand, oocysts present in small quantities of water can be inactivated when subjected to at least six hours of sunlight exposure (Méndez-Hermida et al 2007). This can be done before the cat has access to the water; however, this animal species prefers fresh water. Another form of inactivating oocysts is to boil the water for more than one minute (CDC 1999).

In addition, it is recommended that the access of animals to the streets be prevented and that only high-quality commercial animal food is supplied to cats; these are good alternatives to prevent the infection (McReynolds et al 1999, Rambozzi et al 2007).

Oocysts are not inactivated by most of the used disinfectants, such as hypochlorite, paracetic acid, ortho-phthalaldehyde, ethyl alcohol, gluataraldehyde, phenol, povidone iodine, quaternary ammonium and hydrogen peroxide, at concentrations inferior to 6%. Cryptosporidium spp. is sensitive to 6-7.5% hydrogen peroxide at 20°C for 20 minutes. Material sterilization by means of autoclave and ethylene oxide is effective (Barbee et al 1999).

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Detection of Cryptosporidium oocysts in cat faeces is common, especially in kittens. Until now, treatments available have reduced efficacy; thus, the adopted preventive measures should be stricter. Preventing the access of cats to contaminated water, to the streets and to homemade food seems to be the most effective prevention form of this disease.

**CONCLUSION**

Microscopy, a simple and inexpensive technique, could be used in the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis in faecal samples from cats in veterinary clinical medicine routine. Immunoassay techniques are recommended for laboratorial routine, monitoring in order to diagnose a large population scale. These actions could increase the diagnosis of this disease. Finally, investigations based on molecular characterisation of Cryptosporidium infection in cats are needed to clarify the real importance of this host in public health.
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