EFFECT OF TWO ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGI IN CONTROLLING *Aleurodicus cocois* (CURTIS, 1846) (HEMIPTERA: ALEYRODIDAE)

Elizabeth Núñez del Prado^{1,3}, José Iannacone^{1,2*}, and Hilda Gómez³

ABSTRACT

Aleurodicus cocois (Curtis, 1846), the coconut whitefly, is a very damaging pest in Peru, mainly in avocado trees (*Persea americana* Mill.). It has been determined that entomopathogenic fungi can infect and kill white flies and can be used as biological control agents. The object of this research was to determine if there is any synergic action of the entomopathogenic fungi *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Wize) Brown & Smith 1957 and *Verticillium lecanii* (Zimmerman, 1892) Viégas 1939, in controlling *A. cocois*. Plastic sterile dishes were prepared where 5 mL 2% agar were used for each stage; leaves infested with *A. cocois* were placed upon these. Three different treatments were applied by sprinkling: *P. fumosoroseus* (P), *V. lecanii* (V) or the mixture of the two fungi. These treatments were evaluated at one, two and seven days in the case of nymph I and eggs, and after four, eight and 12 days for nymph II, NIII and NIV. The lethal effect of *V. lecanii* on the second nymph instar of *A. cocois* was better than that of *P. fumosoroseus* and than the mixture of both fungi; the highest mortality percentage appeared from day eight to day 12. A small increase was observed in the effect of the mixture of the two entomopathogenic fungi on the IV nymph instar, and the effect of *P. fumosoroseus* was the lowest.

Key words: biocontrol, *Paecilomyces*, pest, *Verticillium*, white flies.

INTRODUCTION

Peru is one of five countries of the world which have the highest biological diversity: the fauna in general and the Aleyrodidae (Hemiptera) family are very well represented. The species of this family were not primary pests as a rule, in spite of occasional outbreaks, such as that of the citrus woolly whitefly *Aleurothrixus floccosus* Maskell 1895, in 1954, 1962 and 1967 (Soto and García, 2002), the cotton whitefly *Bemisia tuberculata* Bondar 1929 (1956, 1962, 1970 and 1988; Blatta, 2001), the tobacco whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius 1889 (1989, 1995, 1997-98; Blatta, 2001) and the giant fruit fly, also called coconut whitefly (1984 and 1997-1998; Núñez, 1998). During the past 20 years, they have become key pests in some crops, and from 1994 crops of great economic importance such as market-garden produce, cucurbitaceae, legumino-seae, cotton, rice and fruit have been severely attacked by whiteflies (Núñez, 1995; 2000). Valencia (2000) points out that monoculture covering large surfaces, the capacity of the Geminiviridae family to transmit viruses and the excessive use of organosynthetic pesticides have largely contributed to the growing effect of whiteflies upon several Peruvian crops.

The giant fruit whitefly or coconut whitefly is a very damaging pest in Peru both to fruit trees and ornamental plants (Valencia *et al.*, 2000). It mainly at-

¹ Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal (UNFV), Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Matemática, Calle San Marcos 383, Pueblo Libre, Lima, Perú.
E-mail: joseiannacone@gmail.com *Corresponding author.

² Universidad Ricardo Palma (URP), Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Av. Benavides 5440, Surco, Lima, Perú.
E-mail: joseiannacone@gmail.com *Corresponding author.

³ Programa Nacional de Control Biológico del Servicio Nacional de Sanidad Agraria (PNCB-SENASA), Lima, Perú.
Received: 16 March 2007. Accepted: 22 May 2007.

tacks avocado plantations and has become the second pest in economic importance in the country, after *B. tabaci* (Gómez *et al.*, 2000). The main hosts of *A. cocois* in Peru are: mango (*Mangifera indica* L.), bananas (*Musa balbisiana* Colla), vines (*Vitis vinifera* L.), Brazilian pepper tree o molle de la costa (*Schinus terebinthifolius* Raddi), ficus (*Ficus nitida* Thunb.) and eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus* spp.). (Duke, 1983; Morton, 1987; Reátegui and Núñez, 1998; Valencia, 2000; Liceras and Clemente, 2006; Evans, 2007). During severe outbreaks, the characteristic whitish secretion can cover the whole underside of the leaves, as happens in mangoes and Brazilian pepper trees. The damage has reached economic importance in pecans (*Carya illinoensis* (Wangenh.) K. Koch) and vines (at Ica, 12°12' to 13°35' S; 75°20' to 76°12' W, Peru) and mangoes (at Ica and Piura, 4° and 6° S; 79° and 81° W, Peru). The most heavily attacked ornamental species are the Brazilian pepper tree, mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) and eucalyptus (Valencia, 2000). This insect is present along the whole coast and part of the forest of Peru, especially in Iquitos (Valencia, 2000; Valencia *et al.*, 2000). Morton (1987) and Valencia (2000) mention its presence in the Caribbean, Center and South America. Unfortunately, and in spite of the great economic importance it has reached in time, *A. cocois* is not a widely researched species and little is known about the best control strategies.

Many fungi affect the whitefly complex, the most important are the genera *Verticillium*, *Paecilomyces* and *Aschersonia*. It has also been determined that the entomopathogenic fungi, especially deuteromycetes, can infect and kill all whitefly stages, and therefore could be used as biological control agents. Deuteromycetes are characterized by reproducing mainly by asexual spores (conidia). One of the great advantages of deuteromycetes is that they can be cultured very easily in artificial media, even in easy to obtain and low cost oligidic media. Therefore, they are one of the groups with greater potential as biological control agents in Integrated Pest Control programs.

Paecilomyces fumosoroseus has been recognized for over 20 years as one of the most important biocontrol agents against aleiroidids pests in field and greenhouse crops (Valencia, 2000). The fungi cover the dead bodies with slight mycelia threads and stick them to the underside of the leaves. The

nymphs show a "feathery" aspect and are surrounded by mycelia and conidia (Shannon, 1996). The *Paecilomyces* has a great potential for the control of whitefly, and causes the sickness called "Yellow Muscardine" (Núñez, 1995). The study of *P. fumosoroseus* as control agent is important as it is very effective in nature, it grows extensively on the leaves with great ease in humid conditions, therefore multiplies very quickly upon the whitefly population.

On the other hand, *Verticillium lecanii* is a wide spectrum entomopathogenic fungus (Rodríguez Dos Santos and Del Pozo Núñez, 2003). It can be seen on nymphs and adults, stuck to the leaf underside by means of a filamentous and somewhat crystalline mycelium (Shannon, 1996). *V. lecanii* is a widely distributed fungus, which can cause large epizootia in tropical and subtropical regions, as well as in warm and humid environments (García and López, 1997).

It has often been verified that the joint action of different entomopathogenic organisms results in a synergic effect (Cloyd, 2001; Zurek *et al.*, 2002). As is the case of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsam) Vuillemin, 1912, and the bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *tenebrionis* Bonnefoi & de Barjac, 1963, for the control of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say 1823 (Glare and O'Callaghan, 2000; Solter and Wraight, 2001), or additive as is the case of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* de Barjac, 1978, with *B. thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* de Barjac & Lemille, 1970, for the control of *Premnotrypes suturicallus* Kuschel, 1956 (Glare and O'Callaghan, 2000). Therefore, in many cases it has been considered advantageous to apply a combination of organisms, which has been shown to be economically advisable as control becomes much more effective (Cloyd, 2001).

The objective of the present research was to assess the mortality caused by the entomopathogenic fungi *P. fumosoroseus* and *V. lecanii*, both singly and combined, on the different stages of *A. cocois* in laboratory conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was carried out in the Bioassays and Inoculated Insects environment of the Entomopathogens Laboratory belonging to the National Biological Control Program of the National Agrarian

Health Service (PNCB-SENASA), Ate Vitarte, Lima, Peru. The present study is a probabilistic (aleatory) sampling, experimental and on a linear time variable.

Establishment of the *A. cocois* breeding

About 150 to 200 *A. cocois* adult specimens were collected from eucalyptus trees around the fields of PNCB-SENASA (12°02' S; 77°01' W), with the help of a plastic tube, 15 cm long and 2 cm in diameter and a thin brush. In order to recognize stages and periods of the *A. cocois* life cycle and assure a source of specimens for the assays, it was established a rearing area with four 1 m³ cages covered with anti-aphid net, each containing 10 Brazilian pepper tree plants, 90 cm high. 150 to 200 adult *A. cocois* specimens were placed in each cage, until the time that eggs were laid on the leaves. On the day following the infestation, colored tags were placed upon the leaves with freshly laid eggs, using a different color for each oviposition date. After assessment of these results to increase the population, adult specimens were collected from leaves of Brazilian pepper trees at the Magdalena del Mar District, Lima, Peru.

The II instar of the nymph was inoculated four months afterwards. To this end, 150 to 200 adult whitefly specimens were collected from the cages, with the help of a plastic tube and a brush. The collected specimens were employed for infestations every 15 days. Two *S. terebinthefolius* plants, approximately 35 cm high, were placed in each of five acrylic cages, 36 x 32 x 39 cm, with 24 x 10 cm spaces covered with anti-aphid net in three of the four sides of the cages; the fourth side had a sliding door. The breeding cages were kept in the “Inoculated Insects” section, with temperature 25 °C, humidity 75% and controlled light conditions (10:14), irrigating the plants once a week.

Entomopathogenic fungi

The fungi *P. fumosoroseus* and *V. lecanii* were obtained from the Entomopathogenic Fungi collection of the PNCB Laboratory (Gómez *et al.*, 2000). The

main provenance characteristics are shown in Table 1. Twenty whitefly III and IV nymphs of the first generation bred in cages were caught at random, and the corresponding reactivation was done using 10 individuals for each of the two fungi species.

Once the nymphs were infected by the fungus, they were placed in a humid chamber to promote sporulation. After sporulation, a sample was taken with a pointed spreader, and the monoculture of each of the fungi was done using a Sabouraud dextrose agar medium (SDA) acidified at 25 °C, under permanent light. A concentration of 3 x 10⁵ spores mL⁻¹ was obtained from the original culture. Of this, 3 µL were taken and deposited on the upper end of one of six lines previously marked on the back of the Petri dish, and the inoculum was spread upon those with the help of an inoculating loop. After incubation of the dish at 25 °C during 24 h, spore germination was observed using an optical microscope (Zeiss, B#3 Reichert MicroStar 4, Hicksville, New York, USA) with 10X objective; later the area was marked using the 40X objective, and the agar block was cut with a scalpel, this was cultured in acidified SDA medium (1 mL lactic acid at 44% for each 100 mL) and incubated at 25 °C under constant lighting. The storage of the fungi spores was done in 10% glycerol, temperature -25 °C. The monoculture, collection and storage techniques were those developed by Estrada *et al.* (1997). The best resulting colony was propagated upon dishes and used as source for the assays.

Election of the medium in which to establish the bioassays

A preliminary test was carried out to determine the best bioassays medium. A humid chamber was prepared, placing leaves of approximately 2 cm² infested with *A. cocois* nymphs, alternatively upon: 1) one cotton wetted with distilled water (TA), 2 cottons wetted with distilled water (2TA), or (3) upon agar-water 0.1% medium (TAG). Four replications of each treatment (TA, 2TA, TAG) and one control (T) were done and placed in the chamber.

Table 1. Entomopathogenic fungi employed on bioassays with *Aleurodicus cocois*.

Entomopathogenic fungus	Code	Isolated from	Crop
<i>Paecilomyces fumosoroseus</i>	CCBLE-818	<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> Gennadius, 1889	Cotton
<i>Verticillium lecanii</i>	CCBLE-506	<i>Coccus hesperidum</i> Linnaeus, 1758	Grapefruit

Locality: Cañete, Lima, Peru.

Execution of the fungi and whiteflies bioassays

Three treatments were applied to each stage (egg, nymph I, II, III and IV) of the pest, and one control, with four replications of 10 specimens each. Spores of the respective fungi were diluted down to a concentration of 10^8 conidia mL^{-1} ; this was verified by means of direct counting of conidia as indicated by Gómez *et al.* (2000). The effective dose of entomopathogenic fungi spores applied upon *A. cocois* followed the indications of Alean (2003). Leaves infested with *A. cocois* were cut and separated according to the corresponding stage and the products were then applied.

The suspensions to be applied (200 mL each) were prepared in 1 and 1.5 L plastic bottles as follows: distilled water (control); solutions with conidia of each of the entomopathogenic fungi and one mixture of both treatments, each fungus bringing 50% of the total of spores, adding Tween 0.1% to the treatments and to the control. A nozzle was placed on each of the bottles, and the contents were sprayed upon the leaves with nymphs and/or eggs, according to the assigned treatments. The suspension volume sprayed in each treatment was 4.0 ± 0.5 mL. Finally, the leaves were placed upon the agar in the dishes, and remained under the same breeding conditions in the "Inoculated Insects" area. Evaluations were carried out by direct observation and with the help of a stereoscopic microscope (Zeiss, S# 4 Olympus VM-1, Hicksville, New York, USA) at 1, 2 and 7 days for the eggs and nymph I, and at 4, 8 and 12 days for nymphs II to IV. The insects showing hifal growth were considered as killed by the fungus (Badilla *et al.*, 1996; Gómez, 1999).

Statistical analysis

An ANOVA variance analysis was performed to determine the medium in which the essays would be carried out. An angular transform of the data was done, using the arc cosine of the square root of the mortality percentage before the analysis, to stabilize the variance error (Zar, 1996). Tukey's test ($P = 0.05$) was performed when significant differences appeared among the treatments. The mortality percentages of the essays to determine the effect of the two entomopathogenic fungi upon the eggs and I to IV nymphs of *A. cocois*, were transformed to the arc cosine of the square root before the ANOVA and the Levine test (Zar, 1996). From the later it was determined that, in spite of the transformation, the data did not show normal distribution, therefore,

the mortality percentages were subjected to the equivalent Kruskal Wallis non parametric test (Zar, 1996), comparing the data among treatments and among exposure periods for each stage. Descriptive and inferential statistical calculations were done using the SPSS 13.0 (SPSS, 2003) program. The Abbot formula was used in all cases where the mortality percentage of the control was different from zero (Abbot, 1925).

RESULTS

Election of the medium to establish the bioassays

The *A. cocois* mortality percentage on agar-water plaques was 46.7%; with two cottons of 70.5% and with one cotton of 86% (Table 2). The percentage of dead specimens on the agar-water medium was different from all others. The mortality percentage on the two other treatments was equal to the mortality percentage of the control; therefore it was decided to carry out the essays on the agar-water medium.

Comparison among fungal treatments

Eggs. All treatments showed the same pattern; there were no effects during the first days and high mortality percentages were reached on the seventh day, without differences between the fungi, either separated or mixed (Table 3).

Nymph I. All treatments produced initially some degree of mortality as compared to the control, although *V. lecanii* killed less specimens than the other two treatments. All the treatments produced high rates of mortality on the seventh day, with no differences among them (Table 3).

Table 2. Bioassay media election.

Culture media	Mortality (%)
T	86.7a
TA	73.99a
2TA	70.5a
TAG	46.6b
CV	25.5
LSD	0.56
WD	0.87

Different letters on the same column indicate significant differences according to Tukey's test ($P \leq 0.05$).

T = control; TA: cotton humidified with distilled water; 2TA: two cottons humidified with distilled water; TAG: agar-water medium, 0.1%; CV = variation coefficient; LSD: least significant difference; WD: Waller Duncan.

Table 3. Comparative effect of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* and *Verticillium lecanii* on mortality (%) of *Aleurodicus cocois* eggs and nymphs I-IV.

Treatment	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD
	1 day	2 days	7 days
Eggs			
T	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 21.6a
P	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	75 $\pm$ 5.8b
V	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	82.5 $\pm$ 15b
PV	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	77.5 $\pm$ 5b
K-W value	NS	NS	9.30
Sig.	NS	NS	0.008
Nymph I			
T	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 20a
P	12.5 $\pm$ 18.9b	20 $\pm$ 18.3b	87.5 $\pm$ 15b
V	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	87.5 $\pm$ 25b
PV	12.5 $\pm$ 5b	17.5 $\pm$ 10b	72.5 $\pm$ 21b
K-W value	9.80	10.65	10.08
Sig.	0.005	0.002	0.004
Nymph II	4 days	8 days	12 days
T	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a
P	15 $\pm$ 19.1b	21.1 $\pm$ 16.3bc	73.6 $\pm$ 24.9b
V	7.8 $\pm$ 9.7a	28.9 $\pm$ 19.6c	97.2 $\pm$ 5.6c
PV	0 $\pm$ 0a	10 $\pm$ 8b	85.1 $\pm$ 5b
K-W value	9.80	9.78	12.01
Sig.	0.005	0.005	0.0005
Nymph III			
T	5.5 $\pm$ 5a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a
P	7.5 $\pm$ 9.6a	38.4 $\pm$ 14.2b	50 $\pm$ 57.7b
V	15 $\pm$ 10ab	11.9 $\pm$ 9.2a	50 $\pm$ 57.7b
PV	25 $\pm$ 12.9b	32.4 $\pm$ 13b	50 $\pm$ 57.7b
K-W value	7.34	11.75	3
Sig.	0.03	0.0007	0.016
Nymph IV			
T	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a
P	0 $\pm$ 0a	15 $\pm$ 23.8ab	12.5 $\pm$ 15ab
V	7.5 $\pm$ 5b	20 $\pm$ 11.5b	41.4 $\pm$ 30.2bc
PV	0 $\pm$ 0a	12.5 $\pm$ 19ab	45.4 $\pm$ 12c
K-W value	10.38	5.82	9.11
Sig.	0.003	0.05	0.009

Different letters on the same column indicate significant differences according to Tukey's test ($P \leq 0.05$).

SD: Standard deviation; Sig.: significance; T: control; P: *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*; V: *Verticillium lecanii*; PV: *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* + *Verticillium lecanii*; K-W Value: Kruskal Wallis value; NS: not significant.

Nymph II. The effects could only be detected from the eight day onwards. At the end of the evaluation, the most effective treatment was that of *V. lecanii* and there were no differences among the rest of the treatments (Table 3).

Nymph III. The combined treatment was deadlier than the other two at the start (day 4), but the three treatments became equal on the 12th day (Table 3).

Nymph IV. There was no clear tendency in this stage. Initially (day 4) the best treatment was *V. lecanii* alone, but the three treatments became equal on the 8th day, while towards the end (day 12), only the *V. lecanii* and combined treatment produced mortality, and the treatment with *P. fumosoroseus* showed no differences with the control (Table 3).

Comparison among exposure times

Concerning the exposure period, for the eggs the effect showed up on the 7th day only, no effects were perceived on the 1st and 2nd days (Table 4). On the

other hand, for Nymph I, all three treatments showed a significant effect on the seventh day. For Nymph II, the greatest effect of mortality due to fungi was registered on day 12, and only for the mixture of entomopathogenic fungi were there effects on the eight day. Concerning Nymph III, the mortality percentage under the two fungi across time was similar, that is to say, were no variations in any of the cases. The same happened in the case of Nymph IV, except that there was a statistical difference in the case of combined fungi on day 12.

Table 4. Effect of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* and *Verticillium lecanii* on mortality (%) of eggs and nymphs I-IV of *Aleurodicus cocois* in three periods of exposure.

Treatment	Control	P	V	P + V
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD
Eggs				
1 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a
2 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a
7 d	30 $\pm$ 21.6b	75 $\pm$ 5.8b	82.5 $\pm$ 15b	77.5 $\pm$ 5b
K-W value	10.46	10.56	10.51	10.67
Sig.	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
Nymph I				
1 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	12.5 $\pm$ 18.9a	0 $\pm$ 0a	12.5 $\pm$ 50a
2 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	20 $\pm$ 18.3a	0 $\pm$ 0a	17.5 $\pm$ 10a
7 d	10 $\pm$ 20a	87.5 $\pm$ 15b	87.5 $\pm$ 25b	72.5 $\pm$ 21b
K-W value	2	7.72	10.67	8.26
Sig.	0.36	0.02	0.005	0.01
Nymph II				
4 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	15 $\pm$ 19.1a	7.8 $\pm$ 9.7a	0 $\pm$ 0a
8 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	21.1 $\pm$ 16.3a	28.9 $\pm$ 19.6a	10 $\pm$ 8b
12 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	73.6 $\pm$ 24.9b	97.2 $\pm$ 5.6b	85.1 $\pm$ 5c
K-W value	NS	7.32	8.73	9.60
Sig.	NS	0.02	0.01	0.008
Nymph III				
4 d	2.5 $\pm$ 5a	7.5 $\pm$ 9.6a	15 $\pm$ 10a	25 $\pm$ 13a
8 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	38.4 $\pm$ 14.2a	11.9 $\pm$ 9.2a	32.4 $\pm$ 13a
12 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	50 $\pm$ 57.7a	50 $\pm$ 57.7a	50 $\pm$ 58a
K-W value	8.28	3.36	0.21	0.34
Sig.	0.06	0.18	0.89	0.84
Nymph IV				
4 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	0 $\pm$ 0a	5.8 $\pm$ 5a	0 $\pm$ 0a
8 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	15 $\pm$ 23.8a	20 $\pm$ 11.5a	12.5 $\pm$ 19a
12 d	0 $\pm$ 0a	12.5 $\pm$ 15a	41.4 $\pm$ 30.2a	45.4 $\pm$ 12b
K-W value	NS	2.61	3.40	8.28
Sig.	NS	0.27	0.18	0.01

Different letters on the same column indicate significant differences according to Tukey's test ($P \leq 0.05$).

SD: Standard deviation; Sig.: significance; T: Control; P: *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*; V: *Verticillium lecanii*; P+V: *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* + *Verticillium lecanii*; K-W Value: Kruskal-Wallis Value; NS: not significant.

Global analysis

In the nymph I and nymph II stages, mortality appeared during the first days with the application of *P. fumosoroseus*, while *V. lecanii* had less effect on the specimens, but this changed for the nymph III and nymph IV stages. This could be due that the first and second instar nymphs are more susceptible at the beginning to *P. fumosoroseus* than the nymph II and nymph IV stages, but that in time *V. lecanii* causes a higher mortality in nymph I and nymph II. The only stage where a significant percentage of development of the mixture of the two fungi was nymph IV (Tables 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

The developmental stage of the whitefly influences its susceptibility to infection, as the pathogen does not affect it equally in all its stages (Torres and Cárdenas, 1996). *V. lecanii* infested *B. tabaci* in the nymph period, especially the nymph II stage (Shannon, 1996), but does not affect the pupae, adults nor eggs. Candido (1999) stated that the susceptibility of *B. tabaci* to *P. fumosoroseus* is very low. However, some *P. fumosoroseus* lines infest the nymphs, pupae and adults of this insect (Torres and Cárdenas, 1996).

Ramos *et al.* (2000) mention a mortality of 37.7% on the eggs of *B. tabaci* on the 8th day after the application of *B. bassiana*. On the other hand, it has been found that the control of *T. vaporariorum* eggs with *Aschersonia aleyrodis* Webber, 1897 (Fransen *et al.*, 1987) was not efficient, and neither with *V. lecanii* (Fransen, 1990). For this reason at 1 and 2 days from infection no symptoms were detected on the eggs (Table 3). Generally speaking, for these two exposure periods, the mortality percentages of the eggs was lower than that appreciated in the nymph I and nymph II stages, this could be due to the physical barriers belonging to the corium (Ramos *et al.*, 2000), and that the proteases produced by the entomopathogenic fungi would not have initially the same effect on the corium of the eggs than on the cuticle of the insect nymphs (Badilla *et al.*, 1996). However, the Kruskal Wallis test for the eggs and for the nymph I stage of *A. cocois* shows significant effects of the three treatments at 7 days after the exposure (Table 3).

B. bassiana showed effectiveness between 78.2 and 89.5% on the 8th day, for the control of the first in-

star nymphs of *B. tabaci* (Ramos *et al.*, 2000). These percentages are within the range obtained in this study for *A. cocois* on the 7th day of exposure. Similarly, *B. bassiana* showed effectiveness between 79 and 95% for the control of *A. floccosus* eggs and nymphs of *A. floccosus* in laboratory conditions (Santamaría *et al.*, 1998).

Morales and Cardona (1996) determined that the first nymph stages of *T. vaporariorum* and *B. tabaci* are the most susceptible to entomopathogenic fungi, and this resembles the present results. On the other hand, Sánchez and Belloti (1997) state that the second instar of *Aleurotrachelus socialis* bondari is the stage most susceptible to *B. bassiana*. This shows the high susceptibility to entomopathogenic fungus of this stage.

Osborne *et al.* (1990) affirm that the third nymph instar is less susceptible to infection than the second, this also supports the results obtained (Table 3). According to Gómez (1999), *V. lecanii* is the most effective pathogen upon *A. cocois*, in laboratory as well as in greenhouse and field conditions. However, according to Alean (2003), the *A. socialis* nymph III shows the highest mortality percentages for *V. lecanii*.

Using one application of *V. lecanii* on *A. socialis*, Alean (2003) obtained a 82.5% mortality of the eggs, and of 58.5% for the third instar nymphs, very similar to the results obtained on this study, but differed from the results observed for nymphs I, II and IV in *A. cocois*, because the mortality percentage of *A. socialis* in each stage was nymph I: 60.4%; nymph II: 72%; and nymph IV: 61.5%.

At the beginning there was low mortality effect on *A. cocois* nymphs I and II by *V. lecanii*, this changed from nymph III to nymph IV (Table 3); therefore the nymph I and II stages would be more susceptible to *P. fumosoroseus* at the beginning as compared to nymphs III and IV. But *V. lecanii* caused a higher mortality in nymphs I and II (Table 4). Vidal *et al.* (1997) demonstrated that *P. fumosoroseus* is highly pathogenic for *B. argentifolii* instar II, between days 5 and 7, and Landa *et al.* (1994) registered that *P. fumosoroseus* can develop very rapidly both upon *B. argentifolii* and *T. vaporariorum*.

Badilla *et al.* (1996) show that in the nymph IV stage of *B. tabaci* the effectiveness percentage can

reach 100% for *P. fumosoroseus* and 91% for *V. lecanii* at 20 °C; on the contrary at 25 °C (temperature of the present experiment) it can be slightly less, up to 89.3% for *P. fumosoroseus* and 91.2% for *V. lecanii*. These results would explain the higher mortality percentage observed for *V. lecanii* on nymph IV as compared to *P. fumosoroseus* (Table 3).

The synergic effect observed on many occasions for the combined action of different entomopathogenic organisms for the control of insect pests (Glare and O'Callaghan, 2000; Cloyd, 2001; Solter and Wraight, 2001; Zurek *et al.*, 2002) was not observed in the present study. Generally speaking, the combined treatment of *P. fumosoroseus* and *V. lecanii* did not produce a higher mortality percentage upon *A. cocois* eggs and nymphs than the separate treatments (Table 3). Therefore, it is not considered convenient nor economical to use a combination of these two entomopathogenic fungi for the control of *A. cocois*, as it has been demonstrated that, except for a slight increment of the effect of both fungi upon nymph IV, the combined control is no more effective, in any other development stage, than the use of both fungi separately.

CONCLUSIONS

The effect of the entomopathogenic fungi alone or combined is similar for the control of the *A. cocois* eggs, nymphs I and III. But there is an increase of the effect of *P. fumosoroseus* upon nymph I on the second day. The effect of *V. lecanii* upon the second nymph stage of *A. cocois* was better than that of *P. fumosoroseus* and that of the fungi mixture, since the highest mortality percentage occurred from the eight to the twelfth day. Only in the case of the IV nymph stage was there a slight increment of the effect when combining the two entomopathogenic fungi.

Efecto de dos hongos entomopatógenos en el control de *Aleurodicus cocois* (Curtis, 1846) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae)

RESUMEN

Aleurodicus cocois (Curtis, 1846), la mosca blanca del cocotero, constituye una plaga muy importante en el Perú, principalmente en el palto (*Persea americana* Mill.). Se ha determinado que los hongos entomopatógenos pueden infectar y matar a la mosca blanca, por lo que podrían ser usados como agentes de control biológico. El objetivo del presente trabajo fue determinar si existe alguna acción conjunta de dos hongos entomopatógenos *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Wize) Brown & Smith 1957 y *Verticillium lecanii* (Zimmerman, 1892) Viégas 1939, en el control de *A. cocois*. Para ello se prepararon previamente placas de plástico estériles en las que se sirvieron aproximadamente 5 mL de agar al 2%, donde se colocaron hojas infestadas con *A. cocois*, aplicándose tres tratamientos por aspersión: *P. fumosoroseus* (P), *V. lecanii* (V) y la mezcla de los dos hongos, los cuales se evaluaron en el caso de Ninfas I y huevos después de uno, dos y siete días, y para las Ninfas II, NIII y NIV cada cuatro, ocho y 12 días. El efecto de mortalidad de *V. lecanii* sobre el segundo estadio ninfal de *A. cocois* fue mejor que *P. fumosoroseus* y que la mezcla de ambos hongos, ya que el mayor porcentaje de mortalidad se presentó desde el octavo día hasta el día 12. En el caso del IV estadio ninfal se observó un ligero incremento en el efecto al combinarse los dos hongos entomopatógenos evaluados, siendo menor el efecto en *P. fumosoroseus*.

Palabras clave: control biológico, mosca blanca, *Paecilomyces*, plagas, *Verticillium*.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 18:265-267.
- Alean, I. 2003. Evaluación de la patogenicidad de diferentes hongos entomopatógenos para el control de la mosca blanca de la yuca *Aleurotrachelus socialis* Bondar (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) bajo condiciones de invernadero. 107 p. Tesis Microbiología Agrícola y Veterinaria. Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Microbiología Agrícola y Veterinaria, Bogotá, Colombia.
- Badilla, F., J.C. Toledo, y C. Barreno. 1996. Patogenicidad de *Metarhizium anisopliae* en adultos de la "chinche salivosa" *Aeneolamia albofasciata* y *Prosapia* spp. (Homoptera: Cercopidae) en la caña de azúcar en Escuintla, Guatemala. *Manejo Integrado de Plagas* 42:39-44.
- Blatta, S. 2001. La mosca blanca del tabaco *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius, 1889) (Hemiptera: Leyrodidae) II. Enemigos naturales. *Rev. Terralia* on line. Disponible en <http://www.terralia.com/revista23/pagina56.asp> Leído el 29 de diciembre de 2003.
- Candido, G. 1999. Virulence of the entomopathogenic fungus *Paecilomyces amoenerosues* (Hennigs) Samson toward the whitefly *Bemisia argentifolii* Belows and Perring. 100 p. Thesis MSc. University of California, Riverside, California, USA.
- Cloyd, R. 2001. The dilemma of tank-mixing. *Greenhouse Manage. Prod.* 21:66-67.
- Duke, J. 1983. Handbook of energy crops. On line. Available at http://www.hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/duke_energy/dukeindex.html Accessed 29 December de 2003.
- Estrada, V., A. Vélez, y N. López. 1997. Estandarización de una metodología para obtener cultivos monoespóricos del hongo *Beauveria bassiana*. *Cenicafé* 48:559-565.
- Evans, G.A. 2007. The whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) of the world and their host plants and natural enemies. 715 p. USDA/Animal Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Riverdale, Maryland, USA.
- Fransen, J. 1990. Natural enemies of whiteflies: Fungi. p. 187-211. In Gerling, D. (ed.) *Whiteflies: their bionomics, pest status and management*. Intercept, Wimborne, UK.
- Fransen, J., K. Winkelman, and J.C. Van Lenteren. 1987. The differential mortality at various life stages of the greenhouse whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae), by infection with the fungus *Aschersonia aleyrodidis* (Deuteromycosina: Coelomycetes). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 50:158-165.
- García, J., y A. López. 1997. Evaluación de cepas nativas de *Verticillium lecanii* (Zimm.) Viégas en el control de la mosca blanca de los invernaderos *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Westwood). *Rev. Colomb. Entomol.* 23:25-30.
- Glare, T., and M. O'Callaghan. 2000. *Bacillus thuringiensis*: Biology, ecology and safety. 350 p. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.
- Gómez, H. 1999. Aislamiento e identificación de hongos entomopatógenos de la "mosca blanca" *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) en Lima, Perú. *Rev. Per. Entomol.* 41:83-86.
- Gómez, H., A. Zapata, y H. Gamarra. 2000. Producción de hongos y virus entomopatógenos para el control de plagas agrícolas. 44 p. Servicio Nacional de Sanidad Agraria (SENASA), Lima, Perú.
- Landa, Z., L. Osborne, F. López, and J. Eyal. 1994. A bioassay for determining pathogenicity of entomogenous fungi on whiteflies. *Biol. Control* 4:341-350.
- Liceras, L.Z., y J.C. Clemente. 2006. Plagas insectiles en el cultivo de lúcumo *Pouteria lucuma* [R. et P.] O. Kze., en la Provincia de Trujillo, La Libertad. *Pueblo Cont. (Perú)* 17:5-10.
- Morales, A., y C. Cardona. 1996. Evaluación de diferentes hongos entomopatógenos sobre las moscas blancas *Bemisia tabaci* y *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*. 21 p. Informe final. Convenio CIAT-Agrevo S.A. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), Palmira, Colombia.
- Morton, J. 1987. Biriba. p. 88-90. In J. Morton (ed.) *Fruits of warm climates*. Creative Resource Systems. Miami, Florida, USA.
- Núñez, E. 1995. Reporte de Perú. In R. Caballero and A. Pitty (eds.) *In Memoria, IV Taller Latinoamericano sobre moscas blancas y geminivirus*, Zamorano. 16-18 Octubre, 1995. Zamorano, Honduras. CEIBA 36:157-162.
- Núñez, E. 1998. Los Aleyrodidae peruanos y sus controladores biológicos. p. 5. In XL Convención Nacional de Entomología, Ica. Noviembre de 1998. Sociedad Entomológica del Perú, Lima, Perú.
- Núñez, E. 2000. Las moscas blancas y sus enemigos naturales. p. 53. In XLII Convención Nacional de Entomología, Lima. Noviembre de 1998. Sociedad Entomológica del Perú, Lima, Perú.
- Osborne, L., K. Hoelmer, and D. Gerling. 1990. Prospects for biological control of *Bemisia tabaci*. *International Organization for Biological Control/West Palearctic Regional Section (IOBC/WPRS) Bulletin* 13:153-160.
- Ramos, E., S. Alves, M.R. Tanzini, y R.B. Lópes. 2000. Susceptibilidad de *Bemisia tabaci* a *Beauveria bassiana* en condiciones de laboratorio. *Manejo Integrado de Plagas (Costa Rica)* 56:65-69.

- Reátegui, A., y E. Núñez. 1998. Niveles de infestación de *Aleurodicus cocois* (Curtis) en tres hospederos botánicos. p. 78. In XL Convención Nacional de Entomología, Lima. Noviembre de 1998. Sociedad Entomológica del Perú, Lima, Perú.
- Rodríguez Dos Santos, A., y E. Del Pozo Núñez. 2003. Aislamiento de hongos entomopatógenos en Uruguay y su virulencia sobre *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* West. Agrociencia (Cuba) 2:71-78.
- Sánchez, D., y A. Bellotti. 1997. Evaluación de la patogenicidad de hongos *Hypomycetes* sobre la mosca blanca de la yuca *Aleurotrachelus socialis*. 21 p. Informe final. Convenio Cooperativo CIAT-COLCIENCIAS. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), Palmira, Colombia.
- Santamaría, A., J. Costa-Comelles, A. Alonso, J.M. Rodríguez, y J. Ferrer. 1998. Ensayo del hongo entomopatógeno *Beauveria bassiana* (Bálsamo) Vuillemin para el control de la mosca blanca de los cítricos *Aleurothrixus floccosus* (Maskell) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) y su acción sobre el parásito *Cales noacki* (Howard) (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Bol. San. Veg. Plagas 24:695-706.
- Shannon, P. 1996. Hongos entomopatógenos. p. 60-68. In L. Hilje (ed.) Metodologías para el estudio y manejo de moscas blancas y geminivirus. Serie de Materiales N° 37. Centro Agronómico de Investigación y Enseñanza (CATIE), Turrialba, Costa Rica.
- Solter, L., and S. Wraight. 2001. Development, evaluation and safety of entomopathogens for leaf feeding insect defoliators. 301 p. Annual Report of Multi-State Project, Urbana, Illinois, USA.
- Soto, A., y F. García. 2002. Especies de moscas blancas en los cítricos de España Peninsular. Las moscas blancas de cítricos. On line. Disponible en <http://www.seea.es/conlupa/mbcitricos/mbCitricos4.htm> Leído el 29 de diciembre de 2003.
- SPSS. 2003. Statistical package for the social sciences. Base 12.0 user's guide. 368 p. SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA.
- Torres, E., y H. Cárdenas. 1996. *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Wize) Brown & Smith en el control microbiano de la mosquita blanca *Bemisia argentifolii* Bellows & Perring (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). p. 40. In XIX Congreso Nacional de Control Biológico. Simposium de Control Biológico de Mosquita Blanca. Culiacán, 14-15 de noviembre. Sociedad Mexicana de Control Biológico, Culiacán, Sinaloa, México.
- Valencia, L. 2000. La mosca blanca en la agricultura peruana. 20 p. Industria Gráfica Cimagraf, Lima, Perú.
- Valencia, L., N. Mujica, y F. Cisneros. 2000. Las moscas blancas y sus enemigos naturales. Informe de Perú. p. 62. In L. Hilje (ed.) In IX Taller Latinoamericano y del Caribe sobre Moscas Blancas y Geminivirus. Panamá, 22-24 de noviembre. Instituto de Investigación Agropecuaria de Panamá, Panamá, República de Panamá.
- Vidal, C., L.A. Lacey, and J. Fargues. 1997. Pathogenicity of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Deuteromycotina: Hypomycetes) against *Bemisia argentifolii* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) with a description of a bioassay method. J. Econ. Entomol. 90:765-772.
- Zar, J. 1996. Biostatistical analysis. 662 p. 3rd ed. Prentice Hall Upper, Saddle River, New Jersey, USA.
- Zurek, L., W. Watson, and C. Schal. 2002. Synergism between *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Deuteromycota: Hyphomycetes) and boric acid against the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). Biol. Control 23:296-302.