Soil carbon dioxide efflux in conilon coffee (Coffea canephora Pierre ex A. Froehner) plantations in different phenological phases in tropical climate in Brazil
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ABSTRACT

The agricultural sector is considered an important source of greenhouse gases, in which the coffee crop has an important contribution, participating in the dynamics of soil C and CO₂ emissions. In this way, the aim of this study was to analyze and quantify CO₂ emissions in different phenological phases in soil cultivated with conilon coffee (Coffea canephora Pierre ex A. Froehner), and compare it with CO₂ flow in forest and pasture area. The experiment was conducted in the Experimental Area of the Federal Institute of Espírito Santo, Southeastern Brazil, in an experimental plot of 0.5 ha cultivated with conilon Vitória coffee clones. The quantification of soil CO₂ flux was performed between July 2016 and June 2017, this period comprising all the seasons of the year, using in two rounds of ratings (day and night), with five replicates each. For comparison purposes, another measurement of the CO₂ stream was conducted in June 2017 with an evaluation period of 24 h. The highest CO₂ emission occurred during the harvest phases of the fruit (206.7 mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹). The lowest daily CO₂ flow records were observed in the rest and flowering phases with values of 82.1 and 83.6 CO₂ mg m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively. The CO₂ emission from the forest was higher than the emissions that occurred in the area planted with conilon coffee and pasture, during dry season.
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INTRODUCTION

Climate change has caused increasing concern worldwide due to the high emissions of anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂) and its residence time in the atmosphere being the focus of many recent studies with the aim of understanding its causes and consequences (Chang et al., 2016; Raj, 2016; Arneth et al., 2017; Dryden et al., 2017).

The efflux of CO₂ from the soil is a very important component in which it is estimated that 75-80 Gt C yr⁻¹ is emitted globally into the atmosphere (Drake et al., 2012). Therefore, this high amount of released CO₂ can exert a great influence in increasing the concentration of this gas in the atmosphere, which can promote disturbing climatic changes, causing direct and indirect impacts on the agroecosystems and in particular on the growth and development of the plants (Panosso et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2017).
The agriculture sector is indicated as an important emitting source of greenhouse gas (GHG), but it can behave like atmospheric CO₂ drainage with the process of photosynthesis, depending on the management and agricultural practices applied to the soil (Forkel et al., 2016; Stout et al., 2016). In Brazil, the main source of CO₂ emissions are land use and management practices, accounting for 76% of CO₂ emissions (Brasil, 2009). Several studies report that the elevated rate of soil CO₂ efflux are strongly related to the conditions of higher temperature and soil moisture (Jia et al., 2018).

Coffee plantations among other economic segments are also responsible for GHG emissions (Belizário, 2013). The conilon/robusta coffee (Coffea canephora Pierre ex A. Froehner) exerts a significant influence on the socioeconomic and agribusiness aspects of the State of Espírito Santo, accounting for 76.58% of Brazilian conilon coffee production and 17.00% of the world’s robusta coffee with cultivated area 286.371 ha, registered as the largest cultivated area of Brazil (CONAB, 2016).

Considering the importance of conilon coffee in the economy of the state of Espírito Santo, knowledge about the control of soil CO₂ emissions in the different phenological stages of the coffee crop is fundamental, since relatively small changes in soil respiration rates can alter atmospheric concentrations CO₂ sequestration rates, as well as soil C sequestration rates (Iqbal et al., 2009).

In addition, such information provides us with important indications of root and microbial processes as well as enable us to establish sustainable land use models and to estimate global C fluxes that affect climate change, and these processes are strongly related to the characteristics edaphic and climatic conditions (Buysse et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2018). Thus, this study aims to analyze and quantify CO₂ emissions through temporal analysis during cultivation conilon coffee in the northwest of the state of Espírito Santo, Brazil.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study area and climate**

Field experiments were conducted in the Experimental Area of the Federal Institute of Espírito Santo, Campus Itapina (IFES), Colatina (19°32'22" S, 40°37'50" W; 71 m a.s.l.), northwestern region of Espírito Santo, Brazil. The climate of the region is Tropical Aw, according to the climatic classification of Köppen. The region is characterized by irregular rainfall and high temperatures, with a well-defined rainy season occurring between October and January, and average climatological precipitation of 1029.9 mm (Sales et al., 2018).

**Characterization of the experimental area**

An experimental plot of 0.5 ha cultivated with the clonal var. conilon Vitória (Incaper 8142) (Coffea canephora Pierre ex A. Froehner) was used to quantify CO₂ efflux. The plot consisted of 1974 plants aged 12 and 13 yr (production of 3360 kg ha⁻¹ in 2012). Tillage was implanted in 2004 and 2005 in conventional planting system, with spacing of 3×1 m and managed with sprinkler irrigation system applying, fortnightly, a water depth of 9.3 mm h⁻¹ for 3 h d⁻¹. The chemical fertilization, pruning and post-harvest treatment were performed according to the technical recommendations for the crop (Ferrao et al., 2007). The control of spontaneous vegetation was carried out with the application of glyphosate, however since 2009 this control method was modified for mechanical management (mowing). The spontaneous vegetation was left in the area after mowing as a source of organic matter, characterizing a conservationist practice. The soil of the experimental area is classified as Dystrophic Red-Yellow Latosol (Embrapa, 2013) and the chemical characteristics are presented in Table 1.

**Soil CO₂ emissions**

The quantification of the C-CO₂ flux was carried out monthly by the chemical method with trap of alkalis, during the period of rest, flowering, fruit granulation, fruit maturation and harvest of the branches (12-mo). The evaluations were realized in two shifts (day and night) with five replicates each.

Large-aperture glass vials containing 10 mL 0.5 N NaOH solution were placed on the soil, opened and immediately covered with a black plastic bucket with a capacity of 22 L of cylindrical shape, covering a soil area of 697.46 cm². The edges of the plastic bucket were buried in the ground for about 3 cm deep pile and soil around the bucket to prevent contamination with atmospheric CO₂. During the day shift, the bottles remained in the coffee area from 07:00 to 17:00 h (10 h) and at night from 17:00 to 07:00 h (14 h).
After the evaluation period of each shift, flasks were quickly collected, closed and taken to the Chemistry Laboratory of the IFES for the titration with 0.1 M HCl solution using a solution of phenolphthalein 1%. In each flask was added 1 mL barium chloride in order to precipitate the captured CO$_2$ avoiding its loss to atmosphere. As a control, a vial submitted to the same conditions described above, but hermetically sealed was used. The same titration process described was also applied to the control flasks.

The CO$_2$ mass released per unit area and time (mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) was calculated considering the total CO$_2$ mass released during the stay under the bucket and its area of coverage.

\[
\text{CO}_2 = \left\{ \left( V_B - V_A \right) \times NHCl \times Fc \times Eq \text{ CO}_2 / A \times T \right\} \times 10^4 \times 4/3
\]

where, $V_B$ is the difference in volumes of hydrochloric acid spent in titration of blank; $V_A$ is difference in volumes of hydrochloric acid spent in titration of the sample; $NHCl$ is normality of hydrochloric acid (0.1); $Fc$ is hydrochloric acid correction factor; $Eq \text{ CO}_2$ is CO$_2$ gram equivalent (22); $A$ is coverage area of the bucket; $10^4$ is transformation area (m$^2$); $T$ is collection time (h); 4/3 is the factor that corrects the efflux value of CO$_2$ that is underestimated in 25% by chemical method.

Concomitantly with soil CO$_2$ efflux measurements, soil temperature and soil moisture were determined. The soil temperature was measured using the digital thermometer (Incoterm, Porto Alegre, Brazil) at a depth of 10 cm, while the soil moisture was determined with the electronic soil moisture meter (HidroFarm, Falker, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil), which performs the measurement of soil moisture by the high frequency soil impedance (ISAF) in depth from 0 to 20 cm. Soil temperature and humidity were recorded at 07:00 h in all monthly evaluations in coffee area and at 17:00 h in the evaluations of C-CO$_2$ emissions in coffee plantation, pasture and forest. Meteorological variables, such as temperature, relative humidity and rainfall were obtained through the automatic meteorological station located near the experimental field of the IFES.

To determine the aerial biomass production, three samples were collected for each month, except for the months of July, August, September and October 2016. Sampling was performed randomly, between the lines of planting, using a wooden frame of 0.16 m$^2$.

In order to complement this study, CO$_2$ flow measurements were performed in a coffee plantation (experimental area), in a Brachiaria sp. pasture (irrigated) and in an Atlantic forest area (natural regeneration phase, with arboreal individuals with height (H) > 3 m), located on the banks of the Doce River, five collecting points in each area, on 8 June 2017 in a 24 h sampling period, during the dry season. The methodology used (24 h) was the same adopted in the evaluation of CO$_2$ emissions in the period from July 2016 to June 2017. Soil temperature and humidity in the coffee plantation, forest and pasture were recorded at 07:00, 07:30 and 08:00 h.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were submitted to ANOVA by the F test and the means compared by the Tukey test at 5% probability. Statistical analyses were performed using the software SPSS 15.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) and R 3.2.1. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The temperature variations in the evaluated period were characteristic of the region, with minimum temperatures (Tmin) occurring in winter (10.9 °C), maximum (Tmax) in summer (42.5 °C) and intermediate (Tmed) in autumn and spring (26.5 °C) (Figure 1A). Rainfall variations were also characteristic of the region, with great rainfall concentration at the
end of spring, remaining during the summer, which is the rainiest period of the region. The highest rainfall concentration occurred in November and December of 2016 and February of 2017, with 236.4, 116.1 and 136.5 mm, respectively, corresponding approximately two-thirds of the total annual precipitation (Figure 1B).

Assessing the average of CO₂ emission of each phenological phases of conilon coffee, it was observed that during the daytime shift, the lowest respiratory activities were recorded in rest, flowering and fruit granulation phases, with values of 49.8, 66.6 and 58.4 CO₂ mg m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively. The highest efflux rates occurred on the phenological phases of fruit maturation and harvest, with values of 86.1 and 93.9 CO₂ mg m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively. In the night shift, the largest CO₂ releases occur in the phenological phase of harvest with value of 89.2 CO₂ mg m⁻² h⁻¹, differing significantly from the others (Figure 2A). The last two phenological stages of coffee (fruit maturation e harvest) present an increase in biomass and natural deposition of vegetal residues due to fall of leaves, fruits and of branches eliminated with the pruning that remain in the crop as mulch. The efflux of CO₂ in the night shift was always lower than daytime efflux, with significant difference in the phenological phases of rest and fruit maturation (Figure 2A), totaling during the cycle values of 308.0 and 354.8 CO₂ mg m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively, night and daytime (Figure 2B). Therefore, the decomposition and consequent release of CO₂ may have been favored by solar radiation.

The lower CO₂ outflow observed in night shift can be explained at least partly by the fall in temperature, which would reduce the microbial activity in the soil. According to studies by Kirschbaum (1995), the rate of decomposition of organic matter in the soil is higher as the temperature and humidity of the soil increases, giving off CO₂, which is emitted to the atmosphere. Therefore, the decomposition and consequent release of CO₂ may have been favored by solar radiation. In addition, Kirschbaum (1995) argue that rising temperatures lead to the long-term decline of C stored in the soil.

Analyzing the soil respiration in the conilon coffee (day + night) (Table 2), upon release of CO₂ during the phenological phases evaluation, it was found that the average emitted was 132.2 mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹, with the highest CO₂ emission during the harvest phase. The lowest daily CO₂ flow records were observed in the rest and fruit granulation phases.

Soil moisture during 12-mo of evaluation presented an average of 21.4%, being higher in February and May, while the lowest record was obtained in November (Table 2). It is worth mentioning that the recordings were made at the time of the spring equinox and summer solstice period of increased rainfall intensity (Figure 1B). The highest soil temperature was recorded in the summer, with 26 °C for December 2016 and February 2017, and the minimum temperature was recorded in the spring, with 22 °C for June.

The high CO₂ emission observed during the harvest phase of the branches may be related to the increase of the microbial activity in the organic matter decomposition process, due to the increase of moisture and deposition of matter in the soil from the invading plants that were harvested, fall of fruits in the harvest, thinning and self-pruning. Currently, the

Figure 1. Monthly averages of maximum, minimum and average air temperatures (A) and rainfall (B) at Colatina, Espírito Santo.
biosphere absorbs around 20% of CO₂ emissions, but simulations of global vegetation models suggest that CO₂ emissions from landscape changes are still poorly understood, since the effects of the harvesting processes, deforestation and the change in land use and land cover over time are not known (Arneth et al., 2017).

Table 2. Moisture and temperature soil and mean values of CO₂ (night + day) production in each phenological phase in the 12-mo of evaluation, in a conilon coffee area at Colatina, Espírito Santo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenology</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Soil moisture</th>
<th>Soil temperature</th>
<th>Day + Night</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>Jul/16</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug/16</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowering</td>
<td>Sep/16</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>177.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct/16</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>130.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit granulation</td>
<td>Nov/16</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>117.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec/16</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan/17</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>128.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>110.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit maturation</td>
<td>Feb/17</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>171.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar/17</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>133.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apr/17</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>138.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>135.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td>May/17</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>206.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun/17</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>159.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>183.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1583.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In general, comparing monthly CO₂ emissions with soil moisture, it was verified that these variables were related, with higher CO₂ emissions in the months that the soil moisture was higher and vice versa (Figure 3). Similar results were found by McKnight et al. (2017), who showed that soil moisture also influenced CO₂ fluxes in pasture and forest areas, finding a higher CO₂ emission in the forest area. The same authors also concluded that although soil moisture plays an important role in soil CO₂ flow rates among land use types, more research is needed on soil C loss mechanisms and how they are driven by changes in land use.

The mechanism of soil respiration is associated with soil moisture and temperature conditions, factors that are dependent on local climatic conditions and the management that is applied to the soil. Davidson et al. (2002) stated that sunny day after a rainy or irrigation period there is a significant increase in CO₂ emission. This increase is due to the exit of CO₂ present in the pores with water drainage. This fact may explain the large flow of CO₂ that occurred in September, February and May.

The aerial biomass stock assessment showed significant highest average in March 2017 (83.3 g) and lower in November and December 2016, with 32.8 and 32.3 g biomass, respectively (Figure 4). Campana et al. (2007), analyzing the characteristics of litter in coffee (Coffea arabica L.) plantations in the Zona da Mata of Minas Gerais, Brazil, recorded for the agroforestry system mean litter deposition of 50.7 g m⁻² DM per month, significantly higher than 37.2 g m⁻² verified in monoculture. Note that there is an increase in biomass production over the months that may be related to greater intensity of coffee leaves drop in the coffee fruit ripening season constituting strong drains for photoassimilates.

In this study, it may be noted the relationship between the flow of CO₂ and biomass stock for the stages of fruit granulation, fruit maturation and harvest, in which higher biomass stocks coupled with humidity can be led to a greater CO₂ emission (Figure 5). The fact that the biomass flow and biomass stock did not show a relationship in the maturation phase may be due to the low humidity that occurred in those two months, since soil moisture is the main factor responsible for net primary productivity and therefore strongly affects soil carbon accumulation and cycle (Moyano et al., 2013). La Scala et al. (2006) also find relationship between the CO₂ flow and the aerial biomass stock in areas of native forest and sugar cane, respectively. Oliveira et al. (2013), and Signor et al. (2014) also reported a positive relationship between soil CO₂ emissions and organic matter content, which is related to the addition of plant residues.

Analyzing the CO₂ medium flows in the coffee area, grassland and forest which occurred on 8 June 2017, it was observed that the average CO₂ flux from the forest were 83.7 mg m⁻² h⁻¹, being higher than the emissions that occurred in the area cultivated with conilon coffee and pasture, with values of 58.8 and 62.9 mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively (Table 3). It is worth mentioning that the evaluation was done in the dry season at the end of autumn and that the present study

Figure 3. CO₂ emissions per month (day + night) related to soil moisture (%) at 12 months of sampling acreage with conilon coffee, at Colatina, Espírito Santo.
did not aim to measure absolute values of CO$_2$, but only to establish comparisons between the CO$_2$ emissions of the soil in the different vegetation cover.

This significant difference in CO$_2$ efflux the forest area and the other areas can be attributed to the vegetation cover, which is a determinant factor on the microbial activity (Borges et al., 1999). In the horizons of forest soils, several factors must have contributed to the observed results, among them, the quality and quantity of vegetation (dead cover), organic matter content, litter thickness, soil moisture, species diversity, number of botanic families.

Peña et al. (2005) evaluating microbial respiration as an indicator of soil quality in the forest ecosystem showed that the increase in litter and organic matter content contributed to higher values of CO$_2$ production in samples of the more advanced stages of vegetation and that these differences are the result of the forest’s evolutionary process. Analyzing the
microbial activity in soil under agroforestry systems, Silva et al. (2012) obtained similar results to that found in this study. The authors stated that forest areas presented higher CO₂ emissions when compared to the areas of agroforestry systems. In studies carried out by Villatoro (2004) in the evaluation of CO₂ flow in coffee agroforestry system in Guatemala, the author concluded that the soil respiration was higher, resulting in a higher respiratory activity. A study by Peixoto (2010) in soils, grassland and natural vegetation noted that the CO₂ emission values on grassland (11.02 mg CO₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) were superior to the soils emissions by cultivation of sugarcane (2.16 mg CO₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹), and in the same study it was verified that of 11 analyzed soils, seven presented greater respiration in forest condition. Fialho et al. (2006) found higher CO₂ flux in native forest conditions than in planted area with banana in all depths evaluated. Gama-Rodrigues et al. (2008) when comparing the effect of different vegetation cover on the chemical and microbial attributes of a Red-Yellow Latosol, obtained superior values of respiration for grassland when compared in cultivated soils.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The highest magnitudes of CO₂ efflux were observed at flowering, fruit maturation and harvest phases, with the harvest phase being responsible for the highest average CO₂ emission. The possible explanation for high CO₂ emissions are related to the increase in soil moisture recorded on the days of the evaluations and the amount of biomass collected, showing that the variables were related.

The CO₂ emission from the Atlantic forest sample was higher than the emissions from the area planted with conilon coffee and pasture, probably due to the quality of the organic matter, as well as other climatic and microclimatic factors provided by the living and dead vegetation covering the ground.
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