This paper provides an overview of the lithic technology of human groups that inhabited Colombian territory between the Late Pleistocene and the early Holocene. The chosen methodology was to document and compare the lithic technology regionally, in order to show the differences in theoretical and methodological approaches applied.
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In the earliest periods of Colombian prehistory that roughly coincide with the Late Pleistocene and early Holocene, lithic artifacts compose the majority of the scarce evidence that constitutes the record for this time in human history. Just as in other places, Colombian archaeology has used lithic technology to define cultural periods, suggest subsistence strategies and record regional interactions (Aceituno et al. 2011; Aceituno and Rojas 2012; Castillo and Aceituno 2006; Correal 1986; Gnecco 2000; López 1999; Salgado 1988-1990).

The aim of this paper is to present a synthesis of the studies that have dealt with the lithic technology of the Late Pleistocene and early Holocene. For this we review the most representative case studies and selected those that have more general information data, analysis and broader impact within the discipline in terms of lithic technology studies.

---

*This article was originally presented at the “Early Lithic Technologies: Beyond Regional Projectile Point Typologies” Symposium at the 77th SAA Meeting Memphis, Tennessee, April 2012. Guest editors Kurt Rademaker and César Méndez conducted the peer-review process following the Journal’s editing policies.

1. Departamento de Antropología, Grupo de Investigación Medio Ambiente y Sociedad, Universidad de Antioquia, AA 1226 Medellín, Colombia. csfjac@antares.udea.edu.co; sneiderrojas@yahoo.com

was occupied by hunter-gatherers from the Late Pleistocene into the Middle Holocene, showing a great continuity in their material culture. The lithics found throughout this time period has been called the Abriense or edged tool tradition (Correal 1986; Hurt et al. 1972). One of the earliest dates for Colombia comes from the site of El Abra where 37 chert flakes and a Holocene faunal assemblage (i.e., no extinct species) were dated at 12,400 ± 160 BP (GrN-5556) [cal BC 13,236:12,121] (Correal 1986; Hurt et al. 1972). At the open air butchering site called Tibitó, more Abriense stone tools were recovered associated with faunal remains of mastodon (Haplomastodon sp. and Cuvieronius sp.), American horse (Equus sp.) and deer (Odocoileus virginianus) dated at 11,740 ± 110 BP (GrN-9375) [cal BC 11,826:11,312] (Correal 1986; Hurt et al. 1972). The third site is Tequendama whose earliest occupation is dated stratigraphically between 12,500 BP and 10,920 ± 260 BP (GrN-6539) [cal BC 11,358:10,193] and contains Holocene faunal remains similar to those found at El Abra II but associated to a different kind of technology called Tequendamiense. The main differences cited between the Tequendamiense and Abriense class are the use of allochthonous materials and the presence of scrapers, thinning flakes and a projectile point fragment in the former (Correal and Van der Hammen 1977:34). These data suggest that human entry into the Sabana de Bogotá occurred during the Guantiva Interstadial, a period of time that displayed a slight rise in temperatures allowing a faunal-rich Andean forest development (Correal 1986; Correal and Van der Hammen 1977).

Correal defined the early evidences in the Sabana de Bogotá within the Abriense and Tequendamiense industries (Correal and Van der Hammen 1977:28). Abriense class is made by direct percussion to extract flakes without platform preparation using mostly local lidite. Flakes are then retouched with direct percussion and were, therefore considered in the edge-trimmed tool tradition (Figure 2) (Correal 1986; Correal and Van der Hammen 1977:167).
Within this class, most of the tools were categorized as triangular flakes (the predominant type), side and end scrapers and knives (Van der Hammen, Correal and Lerman 1966, 1969).

Tequendamiense class, on the other hand, is characterized by a finer technique than Abriense, which allowed the manufacture of more elaborate tools, made on high quality chert brought from Middle Magdalena Valley in fact the Tequendamiense artifacts may have been brought to the Sabana de Bogota site from Middle Magdalena. Tequendamiense tool making technique involved platform preparation allowing the production of highly standardized prismatic flakes and blades (Correal 1986; Correal and Van der Hammen 1977:168). Some tools show edge retouch and three bifaces and a plane-convex scraper display pressure flaking thinning (Correal and Van der Hammen 1977:167). Technologically, the bifacial thinning is the key difference between the Tequendamiense and the Abriense class. Chronologically Tequendamiense artifacts date between ~ 11,000 and ~ 10,000 BP, roughly coinciding with the Abra interstadial (Correal 1986).

There is reason to question the differentiation made between the two class thirty years ago, due to the spatial proximity and chronological contemporaneity. As Correal himself has argued, it is likely that both industries belong to the same human groups that inhabited the Sabana de Bogota towards the end of the Pleistocene (Correal 1986). The initial classification of both assemblages followed a morpho functional approach despite the
efforts to do use-wear analysis (Correal 1981). Both industries were associated with the faunal remains recovered from the archaeological sites. This linkage forged the idea that both industries—Abriense and Tequendamiense—were strongly related to hunting and butchering activities. However towards the end of the 1990s Nieuwenhuis (2002) challenged that hypothesis with the results of her use-wear analysis that employed high power magnification, and suggested that Abriense and Tequendamiense were technologies with wide spectrum uses that included plant processing (Nieuwenhuis 2002:66).

The Middle Valley of Magdalena River (from now on Middle Magdalena) (Figure 1) is the other Colombian region with lithic assemblages that belong chronologically to the Late Pleistocene. It is located in a large fluvial valley in the middle of the country ranging from 150 to 450 m asl within the Tropical Moist Forest biome (López 1989, 1999:31-32). In this region the chronological sequence is more varied than in the Bogota Sabana. There is a site with lithic specimens (eight unifacial tools in a clay matrix) called Pubenza that has been dated to 16,400 ± 420 BP (GrN-19857) [cal BC 18,830:16,869] associated with mastodon (Haplomastodon waringi) and other animal remains within a dated stratified sequence, dated between 16,550±150 BP (GrN-662) [cal BC 18,403:17,629] and 13,280±110 BP (GrN-20101) [cal BC 14,333: 13,687] (Correal 1993; Van der Hammern and Correal 2001). Without entering on the debate that this site has generated, we can say that this early date contributes to the evidence that humans entered South America during the Late Pleistocene far earlier than the Clovis First model suggested, a model now thoroughly discredited.

Use-wear analysis has suggested that projectile points were used for fishing and hunting, as well as for butchering and processing the skins of captured animals (Nieuwenhuis 2002:105). Scrapers have been associated with hide processing (Nieuwenhuis 2002:89). In some unspecified tools Nieuwenhuis (2002:92, 96) reports the recovery of vegetal fibers, phytoliths, and starch grains. These analyses suggest a wide spectrum economy adapted to a riverine environment (Otero and Santost 2002), and weaken the argument of the existence of a tradition of specialized megafauna hunters and gatherers in the Middle Magdalena, suggested as a last refuge for these animals at the end of the Pleistocene (López 1999:101).

Along the Magdalena River Valley there are several surface collections of the same lithic tradition, which suggests the importance of the river as a corridor for human expansion in the Northern Andes (López 1999:108).

The Early Holocene: Lithic Technology Diversification

At the beginning of the Holocene (~10,000 BP) significant environmental changes affected the flora and fauna of northern South America (Marchant et al.
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During this time there is a notable growth in number of archaeological sites in the Andean zone as well as adaptive adjustments to the new environmental conditions (Aceituno et al. 2013; Ranere and López 2007). Archaeobotanical evidence suggests that there is an increase in the processing of plants (likely for consumption), alteration of forest ecosystems, as well as the first evidences of plant cultivation (Aceituno et al. 2012; Aceituno and Castillo 2005; Gnecco 2000; Morcote et al. 1998; Santos 2008). In terms of lithic technologies there are continuities as well as discontinuities in northern South America.

In the Sabana de Bogota the biggest change is the gradual disappearance of debitage techniques associated with Tequendamiense class at ~ 10,000 BP and the continuity into the Holocene of the Abriense class (Correal 1986). In the Middle Magdalena the terminal Pleistocene lithic technology continues into the middle Holocene. Generally speaking this has been interpreted as continuity in subsistence strategies for both regions (Correal 1986; Otero and Santos 2002).

Most of the archaeological record from the early Holocene is located within the Western and Central Cordilleras, and an isolated site in the Colombian Amazon basin. In the Cordillera Central, on the Popayan Plateau (Figure 1), two sites—San Isidro and La Elvira—are located at 1,700 m asl in the premontane humid forest (Gnecco 2000:17).
Over 70,000 artifacts were recovered from both sites, 1,252 tools are classified as and the rest asdebitage (Gnecco 2000:48, 85). The preceramic component of San Isidro has been dated between 10,050±100 BP (Beta-65878) [cal BC 10,027: 9,310]and 9,530±100 BP (Beta-65877) [cal BC 9220: 8,632] (Gnecco 2000:48). San Isidro lithic technology is composed of retouched and unretouched unifacial tools, bifacial tools (including stemmed points), grinding tools such as handstones and flat grinding bases, and a single ground stone axe (Gnecco 2000:53).

The Popayan Plateau archaeological investigations were the first ones to analyze the social significance of technology and mobility patterns. Gnecco and Bravo’s (1997), analysis of technology based on the operatorie chaine (Leroi Gourhan 1971), technological style (Lechtman 1977), and isocrestic style (Sackett 1982), considered that the bifacial reduction sequences represented socially and idiosyncratically determined methods for tool production. The mobility patterns were correlated with artifact curation levels; it’s the low levels recorded indicated that there was low mobility (Gnecco 2000:123).

Research conducted in the Popayan Plateau also pioneered in use-wear and residue analysis within Colombia. The use-wear analysis attempted to use low and high power magnification, aiming to observe micro fractures on the working edges (low power) and striations and polish on surfaces (high power). However, the study was only able to identify traces of micro-fractions and polish on artefacts from San Isidro (Gnecco 2000:161-165). Residue analysis was performed on a grinding tool, identifying phytoliths and starch grains, suggesting the importance of plants for the early inhabitants of the Popayan Plateau (Piperno and Pearsall 1998:200).

North of the Popayan Plateau following the Cauca River, in the region denominated Middle Cauca (Cordillera Central), where an important number of archaeological sites are located (over ~ 20) in the moist premontane forest at about ~1,600 m asl (Aceituno and Loaiza 2007:29) (Figure 1). Early Holocene components from all these sites are dated between 10,120±70 BP (Ua-24497) [cal BC 10,078: 9,447] (Aceituno y Loaiza 2007:44) and 4,180±70 BP (Beta-95063) [cal BC 2,905: 2,577] (INTEGRAL 1997) with many dates in between. Lithic technology is mainly composed of three main groups: (1) flint-knapped artifacts, (2) axes/waisted-hoes, (3) grinding tools.

Flint-knapped artifacts include cores, debitage, and flaked tools. Flake tools are obtained by direct percussion and predominately unretouched with different working edge angles, related to cutting, shaving and scraping activities (Figure 4a). These flake tools are manufactured on readily available local raw materials such as basalts, andesites, and dacites, among others (Aceituno and Loaiza 2007:57-65). A special case is the lithic workshop of El Antojo, where thousands of flakes (~ 4,000) as well as cores and a bifacial preform, were recovered (Aceituno and Loaiza 2007:77; INTEGRAL 1997). Axes/waisted-hoes were manufactured on local volcanic rocks, by direct percussion and in some cases grinding the edges. Most of them have waists on the basal end for hafting (Figure 4b). Grinding tools are composed of handstones and grinding bases (Figure 5) very much like the ones find in other areas close to Colombia, like Panama and Ecuador (e.g. Cooke and Ranere 1992; Castillo and Aceituno 2006; Cardona et al. 2007; Ranere 2008; Stothert 1985).

The Middle Cauca lithic technology is mostly oriented towards the exploitation of plant resources. Phytoliths and starch grains recovered from highlight the importance of plants in the economy of early Holocene groups in this Andean region. Moreover, the recovery of starch grains from axes/waisted-hoes, suggest that they were used to process tubers and rhizomes and not so much as axes (Aceituno and Loaiza 2007). The nature of the Middle Cauca’s lithic technology –low diversity, absence of some phases of the operatorie chaine, and presence of curation (in some axes/waisted-hoes)– has been interpreted as evidences of residential mobility (Aceituno and Loaiza 2007:79).

North of the Middle Cauca, in the Middle Porce River Basin (from now on Middle Porce) (Cordillera Central) (Figure 1) at the intersection of the humid tropical forest and humid premontane forest (between ~ 850 and 1,180 masl) are six sites dated between 10,260±50 BP (Beta-205293) [cal BC 10,225: 9,818] and 3,650±40 BP (Beta-205297) [cal BC 2,139: 1,917] (Castillo and Aceituno 2006; Cardona et al. 2007; Otero et al. 2006:409).

Middle Porce lithic technology is similar to that of the Middle Cauca and it is composed of three main groups: (a) axes/hoes (Figure 6a), (b) grinding tools, and (c) flint-knapped tools. This last group is the largest one and includes four bifacial projectile points (Figure 6b), and many other types
such as cutting tools, scrapers, burins, perforators, and wedges. These tools are manufactured mostly on local white quartz and a few on foreign chert and lidite (Aceituno 2001).

In terms of economy, the lithic technology from Middle Porce has been identified with a broad spectrum strategy that includes plant gathering and cultivation as well as hunting. Both axes/hoes and grinding tools are closely associated to plant exploitation, supported by the recovery of phytoliths and starch grains from these types of artifacts (Castillo and Aceituno 2006; Otero and Santos 2012:198-199).
Figure 5. Middle Cauca: Grinding bases. 
*Cauca Medio:* bases de molienda.

Figure 6. Middle Porce: (a) axes; (b) bifacial projectile point. 
*Porce Medio:* (a) hachas; (b) punta de proyectil bifacial.

Based on the presence of all the phases of the *operatorie chaine* it has been argued that some sites (021 and 045) are residential camps. The predominance of expedient tools along with the identification of curated tools has been interpreted as an indicator of low residential mobility (Aceituno 2001).

In the upper Porce River Basin, about 100 km south of Middle Porce (Figure 1) there are two sites with similar technology to what has been previously described, named La Morena site dated between 10,060±60 BP (Beta-245566) [cal BC 9,881: 9366] and 4,170±50 BP (Beta-245565) [cal BC 2,890: 2,619] (Santos 2010: 25-29) and La Blanquita site dated 7,720±50 BP (Beta-162328) [cal BC 6,640: 6,468] (Botero 2008). In this same region but in a different site two chert stemmed projectile points were recovered on the surface, and have been associated with the Middle Magdalena tradition, suggesting relations between the Cordillera Central and the Middle Magdalena (López 1999:107).

In the Calima River Basin (Figure 1) two sites have been dated 9,670±100 BP (Beta-23476) [cal BC 9,291: 8,782] (Sauzalito site) and 4,090±90 BP (Beta-16839) [cal BC 2,895: 2,459] (El Pital site), both located in the premontane humid forest. Calima lithic technology is characterized by unifacial flakes, hammer stones, anvils, handstones, and axes/hoes (with hafting preparations on the basal end) (Herrera et al. 1988; Salgado 1988-1990). During the early and
middle Holocene, the Calima and Middle Magdalena regions share the same lithic technology.

Peña Roja site is located in the Colombian Amazon region (Figure 1) and has been dated between 9,250±140 BP (Beta-52964) [cal BC 8,849: 8,211] and 8,090±60 BP (UCR-3419) [cal BC 7,301: 6,823] (Mora 2003: 92; Piperno and Pearsall 1998:204). The lithic assemblage is composed of unifacial tools such as scrapers, shavers, small flakes, choppers, wedges, grinding bases and manos, handstones and anvils (Cavelier et al. 1995). This diverse lithic technology has been associated to a wide spectrum economy that includes hunting for tropical rain forest fauna, as well as plant collection, especially palm fruits as indicated by the thousands of macrobotanical remains of several palm genera (Oenocarpus, Mauritia y Astrocaryum), as well as other wild fruits (Morcote et al. 1998). In Peña Roja archaeologists also recovered phytoliths (Piperno and Pearsall 1998:204-205).

Discussion

The methodological and theoretical approaches taken by Correal and his team set the initial direction of lithic technology studies in Colombia (López 1999:30-31). The definition of Abriense and Tequendamiense lithic industries was the first attempt at lithic classification and typology and served as a working model for lithic analysis in Colombia. Abriense, for example, has been used as a classification model for any simple lithic technology disregarding time and place within Colombia. This usage has occurred despite the fact that Abriense was initially conceived as a referent for an archaeological culture, in the historical particularism sense, and not as a global typological referent based on technofunctional criteria.

By the end of 1980s and the beginning of the 1990s an important change happened on lithic technology analysis. Salgado (1988, 1990) based on the Calima assemblages, suggested important differences with the Sabana de Bogota lithic technologies, and connected the tools with the exploitation of forest resources emphasizing the role of plants amongst the early Calima inhabitants. López (1991, 1999) contributed an important step by including debitage as an indicator of reduction sequences. That said, the work of Gnecco and his team (Gnecco 2000; Gnecco and Bravo 1997) was as important as Correal’s in his time, because it introduced the concept of operatorie chaine and applied procesualists analysis to expand upon the simple classificatory focus that had prevailed thus far.

The current tendency in lithic technology analysis has evolved from a classificatory focus (very important to name and describe archaeological cultures) to an eclectic focus that mixes European and North American theoretical and methodological traditions, aiming to relate lithic assemblages with behavioral aspects, such as manufacture, use, discard, and social production of artifacts, among others.

Finally it is important to mention that there are important fields that remain pretty much unexplored, such as use-wear and residue analysis that could aid archaeologists in understanding important cultural aspects and reclaim the importance of lithic analysis that it deserves. There have been some studies on residue analysis that evaluate microbotanical remains (e.g. Aceituno et al. 2001; Aceituno and Lalinde 2011; Morcote 2008; Piperno and Pearsall 1998) and that have shown the importance that lithic technology had on plant processing. This research has allowed professional archaeologists to suggest hypotheses about adaptive strategies and resource management. The application of novel techniques and theoretical approaches is key to fostering the advancement of knowledge of early hunters and gathers in northwest South America.
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Note

All calibrated results have 2 sigma calibration with program Calib Rev 7.0.0 (data set used: intCal3.14c) from Reimer et al. 2013