Optimization of the citric acid production by *Aspergillus niger* through a metabolic flux balance model
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**Idiophase**, the citric acid producing stage of *Aspergillus niger* was mathematically modeled to identify required genetic manipulations to optimize citric acid production rate. For this reason, a consistent picture of cell functioning had to be achieved. The transient idiophase nature was established by stoichiometric analysis. The main intracellular fluxes were computed by application of material and physiological constraints (ATP, reduction equivalents, proton motive force) at culture time 120 hours. The HMP pathway accounts for 16% of the glucose input (carbon basis), the Krebs cycle for 13% and the citric acid synthesis for the remaining 71%. This profile implies an operative glycerol-P shuttle. It recycles 93% of the cytosolic glycerol-P to cytosolic DHAP thus coupling the transformation of cytosolic NADH to mitochondrial FADH. A cellular maintenance energy of 3.7 mmol ATP/g.h was determined. It would be spent in fueling cytoplasmatic (1.4 mmol H⁺/g.h) and mitochondrial (1.8 mmol H⁺/g.h) H⁺-ATPase pumps with efficiencies of 0.65 and 1.2 mmol H⁺/mmol ATP respectively. The role and extent of the alternative respiration system activity and polyol excretion is accounted by the model as well. In addition, the significance of GABA shunt and futile NH₄⁺/NH₃ cycle were rejected. According to the developed model, the specific citric productivity would be increased in 45% by an unique change if glucose influx were duplicated. Differences with predictions from other model that required many manipulations are also discussed.
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*Aspergillus niger* fermentation is the world’s leading source of commercial citric acid. This process has thus been the subject of many studies (reviews of Kubicek et al. 1994; Röhr, 1998; Kristiansen et al. 1998). Several efforts were developed to integrate the core of this knowledge in highly structured dynamical models (Torres, 1994a; Torres 1994b; Torres et al. 1995; Torres et al. 1998; Alvarez–Vazquez et al. 2000). However, no recent attempts are reported about to gain insight trough macroscopic and energetic modeling strategies despite of some early precedents (Verhoff and Spradlin, 1976; Röhr et al. 1987).

Macroscopic approach is attractive due to the general character of its assumptions and because it works without detailed mechanistic kinetic information. The availability of *in vivo* kinetic information under representative physiological conditions is usually scarce particularly in the case of pelletized fungus as *Aspergillus* (Hess et al. 2000). Hence, both modeling strategies must be considered as complementary and cross-validating (Schmidt et al. 1998).

On the other hand, overexpression of some key enzymes such as phosphofructokinase and pyruvatekinase (Ruijter et al. 1997) or citrate synthase overproduction (Ruijter et al. 2000) were not successful in increasing the citric rate production.

In view of this picture, based in metabolic flux analysis - a formalism derived from macroscopic approach - we have developed a mathematical model of the process. This model, was aimed not only to the better understanding and description of the process but also to help in the design of the best genetic strategies leading to the optimization of
citric acid production rate. An important hypothesis developed in the present model is the existence of a close energetic coupling between the citric acid production and the intracellular pH regulation. This is due to the evidence of the strong acidic conditions that are required for *A. niger* to produce citric acid (extracellular pH ≈ 2). Accordingly we have included the quantitative description of the proton motive force operating among the cellular compartments as well as their interplay with the H⁺-antiport and H⁺-symport transport mechanisms. Other metabolic processes such as polyols and amino acids excretion are also analyzed in this physiological context.

In dealing with these goals, the first step was the quantitative elucidation of the main metabolic pathways involved. Since citric acid is obtained through a biphasic batch process, the analysis was focussed at the idiophase stage (80-220 hours culture time) when the growth drops and citric acid production becomes the main cellular activity. At this stage, we have quantitatively tested the significance of those pathways that have been the subject of some discussion (the hexose monophosphate pathway, the GABA shunt, the NH₄⁺/NH₃, the Krebs cycle and the alternative respiratory system among others) due to their potential influence over the cellular energetic status.

In the following Model set-up section the relevant system inputs and outputs are defined and the available experimental data evaluated for consistency according to macroscopic theory principles (Erickson et al. 1978; Roels, 1983; Noorman et al. 1991; Andrews 1993). In the Model development section, the macroscopic approach was extended to intracellular level through metabolic flux analysis techniques (Niranjan and San, 1988; Vallino and Stephanopoulos, 1993; Bonarius et al. 1995; Vanrolleghem et al. 1996; Delgado and Liao, 1997). Here, the unique flux distribution compatible with the material (carbon), energy

### (a) Input/output characterization

Mostly based on data gathered by the Kubicek group (Röhr et al. 1987) we have calculated the time course of macroscopic yields (Figure 1). The analysis of these data lead us to identify three different idiophase stages: early idiophase (between 80 and 120 hours); medium idiophase (120-180 hours) and late idiophase (180-220 hours). This finding suggests that the idiophase it is not a sole physiological stage and therefore an unique biochemical entity. This finding is further supported by experimental evidences. First, it has been observed that different polyols are excreted at the early and medium idiophase (Röhr et al. 1987), some of them being re-consumed in later stages (Röhr et al. 1987; Omar et al. 1992). Second, Kubicek et al. (1979) reported increases in the amino-acids and ammonium cellular pools in the early and medium idiophase stages, while the intracellular citric acid (Prömper et al. 1993), ammonium and RNA/DNA pools drops in the later idiophase stage (Kubicek et al. 1979). Finally, it is well known the fact that an alternative respiratory system (Kirimura et al. 1987) is active during idiophase when changes in the transport electron chain (Wallrath et al. 1991; Schmidt et al. 1992 ) and in the energy transduction efficiency (Prömper et al. 1993) occur.

The stoichiometry of each one of the identified idiophase stages can be seen in equations [1], [2] and [3].

In this way we have depicted a more realistic, fine tuned picture of the idiophase stage as a sequence of at least three different metabolic scenarios. It should be noted that in equations [1] and [2] the nitrogen source is not present although biomass synthesis occurs. This fact is discussed later in the Macromolecular demand and Intra and extracellular ammonium sections. The CO₂ production rate is discussed in section (b) of the Model Development and

### Model set-up

#### (Early idiophase)

\[
1 \text{ mol glucose} + 1.50 \text{ mol O}_2 \\
3.81 \text{ g biomass} + 0.62 \text{ mol citric acid} + 0.76 \text{ mol CO}_2 + 0.37 \text{ mol polyols}
\]  
[1]

#### (Medium idiophase)

\[
1 \text{ mol glucose} + 2.40 \text{ mol O}_2 \\
1.54 \text{ g biomass} + 0.74 \text{ mol citric acid} + 1.33 \text{ mol CO}_2 + 0.05 \text{ mol polyols}
\]  
[2]

#### (Late idiophase)

\[
1 \text{ mol glucose} + 3.91 \text{ mol O}_2 + 0.42 \text{ mol polyols} \\
0.86 \text{ mol citric acid} + 2.41 \text{ mol CO}_2
\]  
[3]

(reductance grade, Gibbs free energy) and physiological balances (ATP, NADH, FADH, proton motive force) was found. Finally, in the Optimization section the resulting model was used to identify the best targets for genetic manipulation aiming at the improvement of the process productivity.

#### (b) Macromolecular demand

Metabolic demands for the biomass synthesis were computed from the specific requirements of its main macromolecular components, namely: proteins/aminocids, RNA/DNA (Kubicek et al. 1979),
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Polysaccharides/chitin (Kisser et al. 1980) and lipids (Jernejc and Cimerman, 1992). But, given the small idiophase growth rate ($\mu \leq 4.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$; Kubicek and Röhr, 1986) it was concluded that none of these pools represent a significant cellular burden (data not shown). However, due to its possible involvement in the GABA cycle, both the protein and aminoacid pools were analyzed in detail. At the idiophase, the \textit{A. niger} protein content is around 30% w/w (Ma et al. 1985), less than the observed in other fungus (Jorgensen et al. 1995) but in close agreement with the high protease activity detected (Ma et al. 1985). We were able to compute a protein degradation net rate of $1.55 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ (protein per biomass per time). To interpret the observed amino acid pools increase, two accumulation mechanisms are proposed (Table 1). Those amino acids related with glutamate metabolism (arginine, glutamine, GABA, ornithine, alanine and aspartate) accumulate due to their own synthesis rather than the result of cellular proteolysis. The remaining ones being mainly originated from proteolysis although some concomitant catabolization also occurs. It was concluded that at idiophase, even when there is a biomass growth, neither its protein nor its amino acid pools are at steady state. A question remains at this point, related with the detected glutamate synthesis that could be generated through the activity of a GABA cycle that bypass the Krebs cycle. This point will be discussed later in section (b) of the Model development (see also Appendix).

**Model development**

The idiophase stages identified in section (a) of the Model set-up are discrete approximations to the actual changes operating in \textit{A. niger}. Hence, for metabolic flux analysis only instantaneous inputs and outputs were considered. Although the citric acid yield remains almost constant from 120 to 180 hours (Figure 1A), values at 120 hours were selected since polyol excretion is better determined and no re-consumption reported at this time. The specific macroscopic rates considered (Carbon-mmol.g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) were: substrate, 6.85; CO$_2$, 1.04; citrate, 4.87; glycerol, 0.264; erythritol, 0.316; arabinol, 9.4$ \times 10^{-2}$; mannitol, 4.4$ \times 10^{-2}$; biomass, 0.178, and oxygen, 2.16 mmol·g$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$. An overview of the metabolic pathways analyzed is showed in Figure 2 while the details of the reactions are presented in Table 2.

![Figure 1. Time course of macroscopic yields during \textit{A. niger} idiophase.](image1.png)

**A.** Citric acid ($\Phi_{p/s}$), CO$_2$ ($\Phi_{co_2/s}$) and Biomass ($\Phi_x/s$) substrate yields. Values from Röhr et al. (1987)

**B.** Polyol ($\Phi_{polyol/s}$) and Oxygen ($\Phi_O_2/s$) substrate yields. The substrate-polyol yields were determined by carbon balance among the cellular input (glucose) and outputs (citric acid, biomass and CO$_2$). The negative values are indicative of net polyol consumption. The oxygen-substate yields was calculated by reductance grade balance among the all compounds interchanged between the cell and the medium. In all these calculations an average polyol composition [CH$_{2.54}$O]$_{3.72}$ was assumed.
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Figure 2. *Aspergillus niger* metabolic network at 120 hours culture time (see Table 2 for more details).

**(a) Intra and extracellular ammonium**

Analysis of the available data from different sources (Kristiansen and Sinclair, 1978; Kubicek et al. 1979; Jernejc et al. 1992) clearly shows that nitrogen provided by the culture media is insufficient to sustain the reported idiophase biomass levels. On the other hand, it has been also reported that *A. niger* accumulates ammonium at idiophase (Kristiansen and Sinclair, 1978; Habison et al. 1979). These contradictory observations can be however reconciled on the light of the observation of an intense proteolytic activity (Ma et al. 1985) and the postulation of a futile NH$_4^+$/NH$_3$ cycle, as it has been observed in other biological systems (Kleiner, 1985; Wu et al. 1993).

Previous estimations (data not shown) shows that the loss of cytosolic NH$_3$ does not exceed 30 µmol NH$_4^+$·g$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$. In order to test the magnitude of fluxes associated with the tentative GABA cycle we computed the ammonium flux from cellular proteins (Section II in Appendix).

**(b) The role of the Krebs and Hexose Monophosphate (HMP) cycles during idiophase**

The previous idiophase stoichiometric analysis showed that a significant amount of CO$_2$ is produced. This CO$_2$ can be generated through the activity of three metabolic processes: the HMP (Legisa and Mattey, 1988); the Krebs cycle (Kubicek and Röhr, 1986; Kubicek et al. 1994) or the GABA cycle (Kumar and Punekar, 1997).

After the quantitative analysis of GABA cycle (R14 reaction in Table 2) was carried out, it was concluded that it occurs only in a minor extent (Appendix). To discriminate between the two remaining alternatives we evaluated the magnitude of the polyols excretion. Since the erythritol and arabitol excretion are accounted by the model (R3 and R4 reactions respectively, Table 2), the Ribulose-5-P metabolism (Ru-5P) have to be included. At this point two mechanisms could explain the occurrence of this pentose, either a conventional HMP pathway (R2 reaction) or the combined action of transketolases and transaldolases (R5 reaction). Moreover, *A. niger* excretes glycerol (R11 reaction), suggesting thus that a glycerol-P shuttle would be present (R9 and R10 reactions). That implies that NADH is consumed in the cytoplasm in the reaction transforming DHAP into glycerol (c). A minor fraction of this glycerol-P(c) must be transformed in glycerol (R11 reaction) and then excreted while the rest of it will be translocated to mitochondria becoming glycerol-P(m). In the mitochondria,
glycerol-P would be transformed into DHAP(m) and transferred to cytoplasm as DHAP(c). The oxidation of glycerol-P(m) to DHAP(m) would be coupled either with the NADH(m) or FADH(m) synthesis. All the above proposed mechanism were tested and compared with the available experimental observations (Table 3).

From the above analysis and the Φo2 and Φc rates observed it is concluded that the Krebs cycle, the HMP pathway and the glycerol-P shuttle are operatives at the idiophase. It should be noted that the assumption about an inactive Krebs cycle leads to a marked underestimation of CO2 rate, while the assumption of a non-operative glycerol-P shuttle leads to a not feasible scenario due to the balance between production and demand of NADH can not be closed.

However, the material balances alone not allow to conclude which, the NAD+(m) or FAD2+ (m) acts as the glycerol-P shuttle acceptor. To clarify this point further energy balances were required. Hence, the following specific metabolic fluxes were established, expressed as C-mmol. g−1 h−1:

- R0: 6.85; R1: 5.57; R2: 1.06; R3: 0.79; R4: 0.094; R5: 0; R6: 0.044; R7: 6.04; R8: 5.776; R9: 3.02; R10: 2.756; R11: 0.264; R12: 4.872; R13: 0.904; R14: ≤ 0.02; R23: 0.264. This flux distribution shows that that the HMP pathway accounts for up to 16% of the carbon-glucose uptake, the Krebs cycle accounts up to 13% while the remaining 71% would be accounted by citric acid synthesis.

### (c) ATP balances

An antiport carrier ATP4−(m), H+(m)/ADP3−(c) (Alberts et al. 1994) through reaction R21 is included in the model (Table 2). The net demand of ATP at the cytoplasmatic compartment is computed by equation [4], while the net rate of mitochondrial ATP synthesis ready to be exported is given by equation [5].

\[
R_{ATP/ADP} = \frac{(1/6) [R1 + R2 + R6 + R7 + R12] - (2/3) R8 + R_{ATP-ase cytop.}}{[4]}
\]

\[
R_{ATP/ADP} = (3 R15 + 2 R16) + (1/3) R13 - R_{ATP-ase mithoc}
\]

At steady state both magnitudes are equal. This allows us to calculate the cellular maintenance energy (Pirt, 1975), m, (equation [6]):

\[
m = R_{ATP-ase cytop.} + R_{ATP-ase mithoc.} = (3 R15 + 2 R16) + (1/3) R13 + (2/3) R8 - (1/6) [R1+R2+R6+R7+R12]
\]

On the other hand thermodynamic analysis showed that citrate excretion is not an energy consuming process by itself, but the excretion of their associated proton (R12 reaction). In fact, the ΔG(ΔG_{citrate-diffusion}=+3 cal.mmol−1) due to the citrate gradient (4 mM vs. 680 mM; Netik et al. 1997) would be counteracted by the exceedingly negative ΔG(ΔG_{citrate-electric field}=−13.85 cal.mmol−1) associated to the interaction between the citrate anion and the membrane potential (Δφ ≈ -200mV). This fact prevented us to include a specific term associated with the citrate excretion in equation [4]. Instead, the corresponding ATP consumption was considered in the H+·ATPase cytop. term. Therefore, the electroneutrality constraint (3 H+ should be translocated at the H+·ATPase per citrate mol) was computed by adding a proton flux term (1/6 R12) to equations [4] and [6]. With the above set up, we were in conditions to elucidate which (NAD+ or FAD2+) acts as the mitochondrial acceptor at the glycerol-P shuttle.

The total respiration rate (Φo2) is composed by the oxidative phosphorylation term (R15+R16) and the alternative respiration system term (R17). As the respiration rate takes a fixed value at a given culture time (section (b) of Model set-up), R15 and R16 result a function of the R17 rate. In turn, the total respiration rate (and thus also R17) is a function of the reduction equivalents generated by the operating metabolic pathways. Since the respiration ratio accounted for the alternative respiratory system is known [(R17/Φo2) = R17/(R15+R16+R17)], it was used as an additional constraint in order to discriminate among tempting mechanisms. This constraint is important in connecting the energy (ATP) and reductive (NADH/FADH) balances (Appendix IV). In addition, from the above analysis the cellular maintenance energy and the ATP-ase pumps activities can be determined (Section (d) of Model development). Results are shown in Table 4.

The two scenarios in Table 4, were analyzed under the following two assumptions. First, the R15 reaction was considered null because citric acid producing A. niger strains lose the phosphorylating site I at the idiophase (Wallrath et al. 1991; Schmidt et al. 1992). Second, we have considered the occurrence of some transhydrogenase activities (R24 and R13 reactions in Table 2) since the accuracy of the Φo2 predicted rates in Table 3 were improved after its inclusion (data not shown). Comparison of the predicted alternative respiratory ratio values with those experimentally measured by Kirimura et al. (1987) showed that hypothesis II is the only one feasible. In this scenario, the estimated coefficient of cellular maintenance was m = 3.66 mmol ATP. g−1 h−1 (Table 4).

### (d) Stoichiometry of H+·ATP-ase pumps

Under the idiophase pH conditions (pH_{mitochond.} ≈ 7.4; pH_{cytopl.} ≈ 6.4; pH_{out}≈2; membrane potential ≈ 200 mV) the proton motive force for cytoplasmatic and mitochondrial compartments were determined as Δμ_i^{cytoplasm, out}=+10.7 cal.mmol−1 and Δμ_i^{mitochond., cytoplasm,}=+6 cal.mmol−1, respectively. Furthermore from these data stoichiometry coefficients for the H+·ATP-ase pumps (κ = 0.65 mmol H+·mmol−1 ATP; β = 1.17 mmol H+·mmol−1 ATP) can be
deduced. In turn, the availability of these values together with the mass balances equations for $P_i(c)$, ATP(m), $H^+$ (m) and equation [6] allow us to compute the undetermined remaining fluxes (Appendix). The rates calculated are shown in Table 5. At this point the model metabolic network is fully determined.

**Optimization of the citrate rate production**

An important application of the present approach is it application to the optimization of citric acid rate production. This optimization would imply the improvement of both, the citric acid synthesis (R12 reaction) and its excretion rate (R18 reaction). In turn, each one of the optimum solutions must fulfill either the material as well as the energy constraints. Thus, the first step in the optimization procedure is the definition of such constraints.

Equation [7] determines an upper bound to citrate synthesis based in carbon availability as obtained from the cytosolic pyruvate mass balance.

$$R_{12} \leq \Phi_{glucose} - (1.5 \Phi_{erythritol} + 1.5 \Phi_{arabitol} + \Phi_{mannitol} + \Phi_x + R_{13})$$  [7]

Moreover, an independent constraint based in energy considerations can be obtained from the cellular maintenance energy equation [6], as is presented in equation [8]:

$$R_{12} \leq (18 R_{15} + 12 R_{16} + 2 R_{13} + 4 R_{8}) - (6 R_{19} + 6 R_{20} + R_1 + R_2 + R_6 + R_7)$$  [8]

As R8 depends on R1, R2, R6 and R7 we can accordingly rewrite equation [8] as equation [9]. In this form equation [9] summarizes both, the carbon and energy constraints.

$$R_{12} \leq (18 R_{15} + 12 R_{16} + 2 R_{13} + 2 \Phi_{glucose}) - (6 R_{19} + 6 R_{20} + 5 \Phi_{mannitol} + 4 \Phi_{glycerol} + 11.5 \Phi_{erythritol} + 7.5 \Phi_{arabitol} + 4 \Phi_x)$$  [9]

Examination of equation [7] suggest three strategies leading to increases in citric acid synthesis rate: i) To increase the glucose uptake; ii) to decrease the biosynthesis rate of by-products (i.e. polyols), and iii) to decrease fluxes diverting mass from the pathway leading to citrate precursors, namely, the biomass synthesis ($\Phi_x$) and the Krebs cycle (R13). On the other hand, it can be clearly seen from equation [9] that the synthesis of citric acid (R12) describes not only a carbon consuming process but also an energy consuming one. Consequently, it would be expected R12 be improved either by a higher ATP availability from oxidative phosphorylation (R15/R16) or by a diminished activity of $H^+/ATP$ases (R19/R20).

Regarding equation [9], the influence of both, glucose uptake and polyols excretion was already commented in relation to carbon availability. In addition this equation serves to interpret the beneficial effect of reducing the polyols synthesis in terms of the consumption of reductive power and the subsequent diminished ATP availability. From this same equation it can be seen that the Krebs cycle (R13) would have a dual effect, a bigger and positive one as a source of FADH and ATP and a quantitatively less important but negative one due to the carbon loss as CO$_2$.

An upper bound for citrate excretion can be derived from the mass balances of cytosolic citrate and cytosolic $H^+$ as it is showed in equation [10]. Here, it is worthwhile to note here that citrate excretion is not an energy consuming process by itself but their associated $H^+$ transport processes.

$$R_{18} \leq (2 \alpha R_{19} + 2 R_{22} + 2/3 R_{13}) - (2 \beta R_{20} + 2 R_{21} + 2/3 R_8)$$  [10]

Other interesting relationship that can be drawn is expressed by equation [11]. It comes from the fact that the mitochondrial $P_i$ uptake (R22) can be expressed as a function of the mitochondrial $H^+/ATP$ase pump activity (R20), while the R8 reaction can be expressed in terms of the R1, R2, R6 and R7 fluxes:

$$R_{18} \leq (1.306 R_{19} + 1.5 R_{13} + \Phi_{erythritol} + \Phi_{arabitol} + \Phi_{mannitol} + \Phi_x + \Phi_{glycerol} + 2.44) - (2.165 R_20 + 2 R_21 + 0.667 \Phi_{glucose})$$  [11]

This set of constraints allows us to find out which changes will lead to improvements in citric acid production rate. In Table 6 the results obtained from these explorations are showed.

**Discussion**

Any non trial and error optimization design could only be accomplished from a consistent picture of the cellular physiology. In this work we have made some progress on the understanding of the biochemical basis of idiophase metabolism, the citric acid producing stage of *A. niger*.

The model allowed us to quantify the carbon flux distribution through some of the main metabolic processes present (HMP pathway: 16%; Krebs cycle: 13%; citrate synthesis: 71% expressed as fraction of carbon uptake). We concluded that a GABA cycle can not have a significant influence on citric acid productivity. This finding have been supported by Kumar et al. (2000). On similar basis the negligible energetic importance of an NH$_4^+/NH_3$ futile cycle was established.

The model strongly suggests an important role for a glycerol-P shuttle (ATP balances section). Together to the Krebs cycle, it would provide the FADH(m) that the cells divert toward oxidative phosphorylation (R16). All the
NADH(m) is re-oxidized by the alternative respiration system without ATP gain (R17) since the phosphorylating site I is inactive at idiophase. In fact, the cytoplasmatic R8 reaction would provide 58% of the total ATP produced, either directly (substrate phosphorylation) or indirectly (NADH(c) transformation to FADH(m)) which represents an unusually high ratio for an aerobic process. In this way cells are able to minimize the miss-match between the reductive and ATP balances observed at the citric acid synthesis stage.

Hence, according with our model the citric acid synthesis (R12 in Table 2) would produce up to 43% of the total NADH but consuming only 12% of the total ATP. These unbalances implies thus the operation of some redox regulatory mechanism in order to maintain an steady state. Our model support (Appendix, section IV) the claim by several authors (Kubicek et al. 1994; Kristiansen et al. 1998) that some reductive equivalents are consumed either as polyols or through the alternative respiration system. Another model finding refers to the importance of H\(^+\)-ATPases. They seems to be the main ATP demanding process, consuming up to 55% of the ATP. The rate of ATP demand for cellular maintenance was determined as 3.7 mmol ATP.g\(^{-1}\).h\(^{-1}\).

The present work constitutes an attempt to design optimization strategies of the citric acid production rate in *A. niger* by integrating in a mathematical framework the most relevant aspects of the *A. niger* physiology. In this regard the most noticeable finding was the identification of the glucose carrier (R1 reaction) as the most suitable step as target for a up modulation. Table 6 shows that the two-fold up modulation of the glucose carrier activity will cause an 45% increase in the citric acid productivity. This prediction has been made on the assumption that the glucose uptake is coupled with the transport of H\(^+\) through a symport mechanism (Warnacke and Slayman, 1980; Nicolay et al. 1983). If, as it has been recently claimed (Wayman and Mattey, 2000) the glucose uptake is not coupled to an H\(^+\) flux, the increase in citrate rate production would be even greater. But this is not the only transport process suitable for citric acid rate improvement. Our exploration showed that increases in the mitochondrial phosphate carrier activity (R22) would enhance the citric acid rate production (Table 6). The main factor underlying this effect is the enhancement on the ATP availability. Thus, while the activity of cytoplasmatic H\(^+\)-ATPase will be diminished, the mitochondrial ones should be more active. Since the mitochondrial pump is energetically most efficient (section (d) of Model development) a net ATP saving can be expected. Others perturbations based in the blocking of some diverting fluxes, *i.e.* the HMP pathway (R2) or the excretion polyols, mainly glycerol (R11) and arabitol (R4), have been shown to have null effect on the citric acid production.

Reported failures to increase *A. niger* citric acid production by overexpression of one enzyme (citrate synthase; Ruijter et al. 2000) or two enzymes (phosphofructokinase and pyruvate kinase; Ruijter et al. 1997) are in agreement with our model predictions, since not any one of these enzymes are pinpointed as flux controlling steps. On the other hand the big flux control showed by the glucose carrier is in agreement with conclusions arising from other model developed (Alvarez-Vazquez et al. 2000). In their approach Alvarez-Vasquez et al. showed that in order to attain significant increases in citric acid rate production at least 12 additional enzyme modulation are required. This discrepancy can be explained in terms of the inner differences in the modeling strategies as well as in terms of the different underlying physiological assumptions. Regarding the first point, it should be stated that the optimization scenarios considered are not the same. While the Alvarez-Vasquez et al. model predictions were referred to a broader range of changes (up modulation of enzyme activities of 1-50 times the basal values), in the present one those changes do not exceed two times the basal values. Other features present in the current version that help to explain the observed results differences refers to the recognition of the fact that *A. niger* idiophase is not an unique physiological steady state; the distinction made between the two different H\(^+\)-ATPases pools; the compartmentalization of the ATP, NADH, FADH and H\(^+\) pools; the linkage of each H\(^+\) pool to the activity of antiport and symport carriers; and the independent consideration of the NADH and FADH consumption through in respiration (R15, R16, R17 reactions) that includes variable ratios of (P/O) efficiency.

In contrast with other optimization solutions (Alvarez-Vazquez et al. 2000) the implementation of the optimum solutions through genetic changes are, in the preset case, much more feasible. The first change to be explored in order to seek an improvement of production rate is the overexpression of the glucose carrier (R1). By the same token the overexpression of the mitochondrial phosphate carrier activity (R22) would enhance the citric acid rate production. These type of genetic changes have not been carried out yet, but they are well available with the current DNA recombinant techniques in the *A. niger* citric acid production realm (Verdoes et al. 1994; Yu et al. 2000). The glucose carrier have been characterized (Torres et al. 1996) and we are currently working on the characterization of the mitochondrial transport steps. We are confident that the availability of the relevant information on the transport steps and the conclusions derived from this integrative model approach will encourage in the near future the directed optimization of the process through the overexpression of few, selected metabolic steps.

Finally, it is our view that any rational design of optimization strategies of biotechnological processes should be based on predictions from well-developed models. In the present case the model integrates the available information...
about the biochemistry of *A. niger* idiophage while in conditions of citric acid production. The current model set-up provides us not only with an better understanding of the *A. niger* metabolism, but also with rational strategies for the optimization of the process.
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Table 1. Specific rates of some amino acids at early idiophase in *A. niger*. Values were calculated from Kubicek et al. (1979), Ma et al. (1985) and Kubicek (1986). The percentage of each amino acid in the biomass composition (reported for *Penicillium*) was taken from Jorgensen et al. (1985).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Amino acid per Biomass yield</th>
<th>Specific Intraacellular Accumulation Rate</th>
<th>Specific Production Rate from Protein Hydrolysis</th>
<th>Specific Excretion Rate</th>
<th>Specific Dilution Rate by Growth</th>
<th>Net Specific Production Rate (^{(a)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nmol. g(^{-1})</td>
<td>nmol. g(^{-1}).h(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>35.40 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic</td>
<td>28.14 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ornithine</td>
<td>11.92 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine</td>
<td>25.40 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>20.45 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>4.18 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic</td>
<td>6.51 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>0.81 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>1.43 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>5.57 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treonine</td>
<td>2.58 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>1.60 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hystidine</td>
<td>2.82 (\times) 10(^3)</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(a)}\) determined as \(V_{\text{net}} = (V_{\text{synth.}} - V_{\text{degrad.}}) = [(V_{\text{accumul.}} + V_{\text{excret.}} + V_{\text{growth-dilution}}) -(V_{\text{proteolysis}} \cdot \text{Yield}_{\text{AA/protein}})]\)
Table 2. Main *A. niger* metabolic processes at 120 hours culture time. Reactions are expressed in carbon-mol basis.

| R0    | Glucose (out) $\rightarrow$ Glucose (c) + (1/6) $H^+$ (c) |
| R1    | Glucose (c) + (1/6) ATP (c) $\rightarrow$ 1 F6P (c) |
| R2    | Glucose (c) + (1/6) ATP (c) + (1/6) $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ (5/6) Ru5P (c) + (1/3) NADPH (c) + (1/6) $CO_2$ |
| R3    | Ru5P(c) + (1/10) NADH (c) + (1/10) $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ (4/10) Erythritol (c) + (6/10) F6P (c) + (1/10) $Pi$ (c) |
| R4    | Ru5P (c) + (1/5) NADH (c) + (1/5) $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ Arabinol (c) + (1/5) Pi (c) |
| R5    | F6P (c) + (1/4) G3P (c) $\rightarrow$ (5/4) Ru5P(c) |
| R6    | Glucose (c) + (1/6) ATP (c) + (1/6) NADH (c) + (1/6) $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ Mannitol (c) + (1/6) Pi (c) |
| R7    | F6P (c) + (1/6) ATP (c) $\rightarrow$ (1/2) G3P (c) + (1/2) DHAP (c) |
| R8    | G3P (c) + (1/3) Pi (c) $\rightarrow$ Pyruvate (c) + (2/3) ATP (c) + (1/3) NADH (c) + (1/3) $H_2O$ |
| R9    | DHAP (c) + (1/3) NADH (c) $\rightarrow$ Glycerol-P (c) |
| R10   | Glycerol-P (c) $\rightarrow$ DAHP (c) + (1/3) FADH (m) |
| R11   | Glycerol-P (c) + (1/3) $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ Glycerol (c) + (1/3) Pi (c) |
| R12   | Pyruvate (c) + (1/6) NADH (c) + (1/6) ATP (c) + (1/6) $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ Citrate (c) + (1/3) NADH (m) + (1/6) Pi (c) |
| R13   | Pyruvate (c) + (1/3) Pi (m) $\rightarrow$ $CO_2$ + (4/3) NADH (m) + (1/3)FADH (m) + (1/3) $H^+$ (m) |
| R14   | Pyruvate (c) + (1/3) $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ $CO_2$ + (2/3) NADH (m) + (1/3) NADH (c) + (1/3) FADH (m) + (1/3) $H^+$ (m) |
| R15   | NADH (m) + (1/2) $O_2$ $\rightarrow$ $H_2O$ + 3 ATP (m) |
| R16   | FADH (m) + (1/2) $O_2$ $\rightarrow$ $H_2O$ + 2 ATP (m) |
| R17   | NADH (m) + (1/2) $O_2$ $\rightarrow$ $H_2O$ |
| R18   | Citrate (c) + (1/2)ATP (c) + (1/2) $H^+$ (c) $\rightarrow$ Citrate (out) + (1/2)ATP (c) |
| R19   | ATP (c) + $\alpha$ $H^+$ (c) + $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ ADP (c) + $\alpha$ $H^+$ (out) + $Pi$ (c) |
| R20   | ATP (m) + $\beta$ $H^+$ (m) + $H_2O$ $\rightarrow$ ADP (m) + $\beta$ $H^+$ (c) + $Pi$ (m) |
| R21   | ATP (m) + ADP (c) + $H^+$ (m) $\rightarrow$ ATP (c) + ADP (m) + $H^+$ (c) |
| R22   | $Pi$ (c) + $H^+$ (c) $\rightarrow$ $Pi$ (m) + 2 $H^+$ (m) |
| R23   | DHAP (c) $\rightarrow$ G3P (c) |
| R24   | NADPH (c) + NAD$^+$ (c) $\rightarrow$ NADP$^+$ (c) + NADH (c) |

R0: $H^+$-symport Glucose carrier; R1: Hexokinase; R2: G-6P dehydrogenase + Gluconate-6P dehydrogenase; R3: Ru-5P isomerase + Erythro reductase + Phosphatase; R4: Ru-5P isomerase + Reductase+ Phosphatase; R5: Transketolase + Transaldolase; R6: G-6P epimerase + Phosphatase; R7: Aldolase; R8:G-3P dehydrogenase + Enolase; R9: Glycerol-P dehydrogenase (c); R10: Glycerol-P translocator + Glycerol-P dehydrogenase (m) + DAHP translocator; R11: Phosphatase; R12: $H^+$-symport Pyruvate translocator [piruvate$^\text{-1}$(c)/$H^+$ (c)] + Pyruvate descarboxylase (m) + Pyruvate kinase (c) + Malate dehydrogenase (c) + mitochondrial Citrate translocator [malate$^\text{-2}$(c)/citrate$^\text{-2}$(m), $H^+$ (m)] + Malate dehydrogenase (m) + Citrate synthase (m); R13: $H^+$-symport Pyruvate translocator [piruvate$^\text{-1}$(c)/$H^+$ (c)] + Pyruvate decarboxylase (m) + Krebs cycle enzymes + mitochondrial transhydrogenase; R14: Pyruvate translocator [piruvate$^\text{-1}$(c)/$H^+$ (c)] + Pyruvate decarboxylase (m) + Citrate synthase (m) + Aconitase (m) + IDH (NADP dependent) + KG dehydrogenase (m) + Glutamate dehydrogenase (m) + Translocator Dicarboxilic Acids (m)+ GABA cycle enzymes (c) + Succinate dehydrogenase (m) + Fumarase (m) + Malate dehydrogenase (m); R15: Phosphorylation oxidative of NADH (m); R16: Phosphorylation oxidative of FADH (m); R17: Alternative respiration; R18: citrate translocator (c); R19: cytoplasmatic $H^+$-ATP-ase; R20: mitochondrial $H^+$-ATPase; R21: ATP translocator [ATP$^\text{3-}$ (m), $H^+$ (m)/ADP$^\text{3-}$ (c)]; R22: $H^+$-symport Phosphate carrier; R23:Triose-P isomerase; R24: cytoplasmatic transhydrogenase enzyme; (m): mitochondrial; (c): cytoplasmatic; (out): extracellular.
Table 3. Discrimination among the occurrence of possible operative stages of the Krebs cycle, glycerol-P shuttle and alternatives routes for Ribulose-5 Phosphate generation [HMP vs TK+TA]. Model predictions in terms of specific respiratory rates are compared with the experimental observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSUMED PROCESSES</th>
<th>PREDICTED VALUES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Krebs Cycle</strong></td>
<td><strong>HMP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Carbon flux distribution estimations based on the proposed mechanisms. Carbon input (glucose) and carbon outputs (citric acid, arabitol, glycerol, mannitol, erythritol and biomass) being at fixed values.

(b) Values determined as the half of the total available reduction equivalents at mitochondrial compartment from the flux above distribution.

(c) N.D ≡ not determined.

Table 4. Predicted cellular maintenance energy and alternative respiration ratio according to the type of operating acceptor for the translocated reducing equivalents when a Glycerol-P shuttle mechanism was considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th>Calculated Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I (b)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NADH₂(c)⇒NADH₂ (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (c)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NADH₂(c)⇒FADH₂ (m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Evaluated as R17/(R15+R16+R17).

(b) Settings: R15=0; R16=1/3R13; R17=1/3(R2+R10+R12)+R13; R24=(1/3)R2. Mitochondrial NAD⁺ is assumed as the Glycerol-P shuttle acceptor. Hence, mitochondrial FADH would be provided by the Krebs cycle (R13) while all the mitochondrial NADH generated must be consumed by the alternative respiration (R17). The NADH(m) pool would be made up by the contributions from Krebs cycle (R13), mitochondrial citrate excretion (R12), glycerol shuttle operation (R10) and (R24).

(c) Settings: R15=0; R16=1/3(R2+R13+R10); R17=R13+(1/3)R12; R24=(1/3)R2. Mitochondrial FAD⁺ is assumed as the Glycerol-P shuttle acceptor. Hence, the FADH generated would represent the contribution of reduction equivalents translocated through the glycerol shuttle (R24 and R10) as well as the ones produced at the Krebs Cycle (R13). The rate of the alternative respiratory system (R17) should collect all the mitochondrial NADH₂ produced [Krebs cycle (R13) plus citrate synthesis (R12)].
Table 5. Determination of reaction fluxes after application of energy balances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Fluxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mmol.g(^{-1}).h(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R19</td>
<td>Cytoplasmatic H(^{+})-ATPase</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R20</td>
<td>Mitochondrial H(^{+})-ATPase</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R21</td>
<td>ATP/ADP Carrier</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R22</td>
<td>Mitochondrial Phosphate Carrier</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Effects on the *A. niger* idiophase synthesis and excretion citrate rates to changes in different metabolic processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Perturbation Magnitude (%)</th>
<th>Expected Response (^{(a)}) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon Synthesis</td>
<td>Direct Citrate Excretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose uptake (R1)</td>
<td>+100</td>
<td>+140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol-P Phosphatase (R11)</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>+5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5P Reductase (R4)</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>+1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6P-DH (R2)</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>+12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R16</td>
<td>+100</td>
<td>Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP/ADP Carrier (R21)</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmatic H(^{+})-ATPase (R19)</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial H(^{+})-ATPase (R20)</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(_i) Carrier (R22)</td>
<td>+100</td>
<td>Null</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(a)}\) The expected response was calculated as \((\Delta R_{\text{optimized}}/R_{\text{optimized}})\% \approx [\delta \ln(R_{\text{optimized}})/\delta \ln(R_{\text{perturbated}})](\Delta R_{\text{perturbated}}/R_{\text{perturbated}})\).
Optimization of the citric acid production by *Aspergillus niger* through a metabolic flux balance model

APPENDIX

I. Polyols and oxygen yields and rates

**Polyols.** For macroscopic analysis a simple process representation was obtained by substitution of the overall set of excreted polyols (Röhr *et al.*, 1987) for an unique formula equivalent. The mean composition used was \([\text{CH}_2.54\text{O}]_n\), \(n=3.72\) since the average composition of polyols showed minor variations among the different idiophase stages (data not shown). This expression is a weighted average of the elementary composition of all polyols involved, considering as weight factor their own excretion rate. However, when the balance of intracellular fluxes was done, individual polyols were considered. Macroscopic rates were calculated by means equation (A.1) where \(\Phi\) stands for fluxes (in C-moles. g\(^{-1}\). h\(^{-1}\)) of medium exchanged compounds.

\[
\Phi_{\text{polyol}} = \Phi_s - (\Phi_x + \Phi_p + \Phi_{\text{CO2}})
\]  
(A.1)

**Oxygen.** Reductance balance was used to the determination of the oxygen yields at the idiophase stages considered in the model: early, medium (A.2) and late (A.3). In these equations \(\Phi\) stands for fluxes (in C-moles.g\(^{-1}\).h\(^{-1}\)) between cell and medium, while \(\gamma\) stands for the reductance grade.

\[
\Phi_{o2} = \left(\Phi_s \cdot \gamma_s\right) - \left(\Phi_x \cdot \gamma_x + \Phi_p \cdot \gamma_p + \Phi_{\text{polyol}} \cdot \gamma_{\text{polyol}}\right)/4
\]  
(A.2)

\[
\Phi_{o2} = \left(\Phi_s \cdot \gamma_s + \Phi_{\text{polyol}} \cdot \gamma_{\text{polyol}}\right) - \left(\Phi_x \cdot \gamma_x + \Phi_p \cdot \gamma_p\right)/4
\]  
(A.3)

II. Determination of the rate of GABA cycle

At the early idiophase the NH\(_3\)/NH\(_4^+\) pool is considered at steady state (free diffusion). This fact, together with the absence of nitrogen at the culture medium allows us to write down the following mass balance equation:

The balance equations for the extracellular (A5), cytoplasmic (A6) and mitochondrial compartments (A7) are the followings:

\[
\frac{d\text{NH}_4^+(\text{out})}{dt} + \frac{d\text{NH}_4^+(\text{c})}{dt} + \frac{d\text{NH}_4^+(\text{m})}{dt} = 0
\]  
(A.4)

\[
\frac{d\text{NH}_4^+(\text{out})}{dt} = V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ GENERATION(out)}} - V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ UPTAKE(c)}} = 0
\]  
(A.5)

\[
\frac{d\text{NH}_4^+(\text{c})}{dt} = V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ UPTAKE(c)}} - V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ DISSOCIATION}} + V^{\text{AA(c)}} \text{CATABOLIZA} - V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ UPTAKE(m)}} = 0
\]  
(A.6)

\[
\frac{d\text{NH}_4^+(\text{m})}{dt} = V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ UPTAKE(m)}} - V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ DISSOCIATION}} - \text{R14} + V^{\text{AA(m)}} \text{CATABOLIZA} = 0
\]  
(A.7)

By summation of equations A5-A7 we obtain (A.8), suitable for the R14 reaction.

\[
\text{R14} = \left(V^{\text{AA CATABOLIZA}} + V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ GENERATION(out)}}\right) - \left(V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ DISSOCIATION}} + V^{\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ DISSOCIATION}}\right) = 0
\]  
(A.8)

Following the same procedure we can write the corresponding equation for NH\(_3\) in each compartment:

\[
\frac{d\text{NH}_3(\text{c})}{dt} = V^{\text{NH}_3 \text{ DISSOCIATION}} + V^{\text{NH}_3 \text{ LEAKAGE}} - V^{\text{NH}_3 \text{ LEAKAGE}} = 0
\]  
(A.9)
From equations (A.9) to (A.11) we obtain (A.12):

\[
\frac{d\text{NH}_3\text{(out)}}{dt} = V_{\text{LEAKAGE}}^{\text{NH}_3\text{(c)}} - V_{\text{GENERATION}}^{\text{NH}_3\text{(out)}} = 0 \\
\frac{d\text{NH}_3\text{(m)}}{dt} = V_{\text{DISSOCIATION}}^{\text{NH}_3\text{(c)}} - V_{\text{LEAKAGE}}^{\text{NH}_3\text{(m)}} = 0
\]

(A.10)

(A.11)

\[
V_{\text{LEAKAGE}}^{\text{NH}_3\text{(c)}} = V_{\text{DISSOCIATION}}^{\text{NH}_3\text{(c)}} + V_{\text{DISSOCIATION}}^{\text{NH}_3\text{(c)}}
\]

(A.12)

By substitution of A.12 in A.8 we finally obtain:

\[
R_{14} = V_{\text{CATABOLIZATION}}^{\text{AA}}
\]

(A.13)

### III. Energy Balances

Assuming a steady state the H\(^+\) balance equation

\[
\frac{1}{3} R_{13} - \beta R_{20} - R_{21} + 2 R_{22} = 0
\]

allows us to write equation A.14:

\[
0.30133 + 2 R_{22} = R_{21} + 1.1669 R_{20}
\]

(A.14)

Analogously, the mitochondrial ATP balance

\[
\frac{1}{3} R_{13} + 3 R_{15} + 2 R_{16} - (R_{20} + R_{21}) = 0
\]

allows us to write equation A.15:

\[
R_{21} = 2.7412
\]

(A.15)

By substituting equation A.15 into A14 we obtain the uptake rate of mitochondrial Pi:

\[
R_{22} = 0.08345 R_{20} + 1.21996
\]

(A.16)

From the mass balance for cytoplasm Pi, we obtain A.17:

\[
R_{19} - R_{22} = (\frac{1}{3}) R_{8} - [(\frac{1}{6}) R_{2} + (\frac{1}{10}) R_{3} + (\frac{1}{5}) R_{4} + (\frac{1}{6}) R_{6} + (\frac{1}{3}) R_{11} + (\frac{1}{6}) R_{12}]
\]

\[
R_{19} - R_{22} = 0.7435
\]

(A.17)

By substitution in A.17 of A.16 we obtain A.18:

\[
R_{19} - 0.08345 R_{20} = 1.9635
\]

(A.18)

That together equation 6 (see Energy balance section in the main text) we finally obtain A.19, that allow us the determination of the H\(^+\)-ATPase rates.

\[
R_{19} + R_{20} = 3.662
\]

(A.19)

After evaluation of R19 and R20 it can be determined the values for R21 and R22.
IV. Energy and reductive balance relations

The alternative respiration fraction can be envisaged as a consequence of an imbalance between the energy and reductive mechanisms. Only if the oxygen demand based in ATP is equal to the oxygen demand from the reductive equivalents, all the respiration rate would be ATP associated. Hence, for conservation of ATP equation A.20 must be fulfilled, $a_o$, $a_x$, $a_p$ standing for ATP yields of respiration, biomass and citric acid respectively; $\Phi$ being the corresponding specific productivity respectively and $m$ the ATP cellular maintenance as defined in equation (6) in the text.

On the other hand, equation A.21 must be satisfied by the reductive equivalents. The subindex $i$ refers to any product different but biomass that consume or produce NADH, NADPH or FADH. $a_o^H$, $a_x^H$ refers to yields in reduction equivalents for oxygen and biomass respectively.

\[
a_o^H \cdot f_{o_2}^{total} = a_x \cdot f_x + \sum a_i \cdot f_i
\]

Accordingly the following expression (A.22) is derived for the ratio of the alternative respiration system rate, which equivalent to the previously presented for the alternative respiration ratio $[R_{17}/(R_{15}+R_{16}+R_{17})].$

\[
1 - \left( \frac{\phi^{ATP\text{-associated}}}{\phi^{total}_{o_2}} \right) = 1 - \left( \frac{a_o^H}{a_o} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{a_x \cdot \phi_x + a_p \cdot \phi_p + m}{a_x^H \cdot \phi_x + \sum a_i \cdot \phi_i} \right)
\]