

DEFINITION AND DIAGNOSIS OF A NEW TRIBE OF SIGMODONTINE
RODENTS (CRICETIDAE: SIGMODONTINAE), AND A REVISED
CLASSIFICATION OF THE SUBFAMILY

DEFINICION Y DIAGNOSIS DE UNA NUEVA TRIBU DE ROEDORES
SIGMODONTINOS (CRICETIDAE: SIGMODONTINAE), Y UNA
CLASIFICACION REVISADA DE LA SUBFAMILIA

Guillermo D'Elía¹, Ulyses F. J. Pardiñas², Pablo Teta³, & James L. Patton⁴

¹Departamento de Zoología, Universidad de Concepción, casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile.
Email: guillermo@udec.cl

²Centro Nacional Patagónico, Casilla de Correo 128, Puerto Madryn, Chubut, Argentina.

³Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales,
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Avenida Intendente Güiraldes 2160,
Pabellón II, 4º Piso (C1428EHA), Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

⁴Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, 3101 Valley Life Sciences Building,
Berkeley, CA, 94720 USA.

ABSTRACT

A new tribe of sigmodontine rodents is formally defined and diagnosed. The tribe contains the extant genera *Abrothrix* (including *Chroeomys*), *Chelemys*, *Geoxus*, *Pearsonomys*, and *Notiomys*, a group of taxa distributed in the central and southern Andes and lowlands both east and west of the cordillera. The new tribe presents a unique combination of characters including nasals and premaxilla usually projected anterior to the incisors, moderately trumpeted; zygomatic plate with upper free border reduced or obsolete; and third upper molar reduced and sub-cylindrical in out-line with an internal ring-like enamel fossette. Molecular phylogenetic analyses indicate that *Abrothrix* is sister to a clade containing the remaining genera of the new tribe.

KEYWORDS: *Abrothrix*, *Chelemys*, *Chroeomys*, *Geoxus*, *Pearsonomys*, *Notiomys*, South America, classification.

With approximately 84 extant genera, cricetids of the subfamily Sigmodontinae (Reig 1980) are one of the most diverse and complex groups of New World mammals. Notably, new sigmodontine taxa are still being erected on the basis of both newly discovered species (e.g., Pardiñas *et al.* 2005) and from revisionary museum work (e.g., Weksler *et al.* 2006). Distributed predominantly in South America, sigmodontines also reach Central and North America, and one extant genus is endemic to the Galapagos Islands (D'Elía 2003a).

Sigmodontine genera have been arranged into different groups, most of which have been given the formal rank of tribes (e.g., Hershkovitz 1966;

Reig 1980). In the last 15 years phylogenetic analyses using either or both morphological and molecular data have been used to delimit these groups (e.g., Braun 1993; Smith & Patton 1993, 1999; Stepan 1995; D'Elía 2003b; Pacheco 2003; Weksler, 2003; D'Elía *et al.* 2003, 2005, 2006a, 2006b), causing several instances of major reconsiderations on their limits and contents. One of the most surprising results of DNA sequences phylogenies was a clade of taxa (*Abrothrix*, *Chelemys*, *Chroeomys*, *Geoxus*, *Notiomys*, and *Pearsonomys*), distributed primarily in the South and Central Andes and traditionally considered to be typical akodontine rodents (and in some cases

even considered subgenera of *Akodon* [e.g., Reig 1987]), which do not form part of the akodont radiation (Smith & Patton 1999; D'Elía 2003b; see also the allozyme based studies of Spotorno *et al.* 1990 and Barrantes *et al.* 1993). Smith & Patton (1999:106-107) recommended ranking this group of genera as a tribe, suggesting that an adequate name for it would be Abrotrichini. Although these authors enumerated chromosomal, protein, and parasite features that may be used to diagnose the group, they made no formal definition or diagnosis (e.g., Musser & Carleton, 2005: 1087). Here we provide such a definition and diagnosis. We base our revised classification on the results and conclusions of Carleton (1980), Reig (1980, 1987), Smith & Patton (1993, 1999), Steppan (1995), Engel *et al.* (1998), D'Elía (2003b), Weksler (2003, 2006), Jansa & Weksler (2004), Percequillo *et al.* (2004), Steppan *et al.* (2004), D'Elía *et al.* (2003, 2005, 2006a, 2006b), Musser & Carleton (2005), and Weksler *et al.* (2006) and our assessment of qualitative characters. We recognize a new tribe of Sigmodontinae (family Cricetidae) that we describe as follows.

Abrotrichini, new tribe

Fig. 1

Type genus.—*Abrothrix* Waterhouse, 1837.

Contents.—*Abrothrix* Waterhouse, 1837 (including *Chroeomys* Thomas, 1916; *Habrothrix* Wagner, 1843), *Chelemys* Thomas, 1903, *Geoxus* Thomas, 1919, *Notiomys* Thomas, 1890, and *Pearsonomys* Patterson, 1992.

Definition.—The clade composed of the last common ancestor of *Abrothrix*, *Chelemys*, *Geoxus*, *Notiomys*, and *Pearsonomys* and all of its descendants.

Diagnosis.—A tribe of the subfamily Sigmodontinae (*sensu* Reig 1980) grouping mice of small to medium size (from approximately 20 g in *Notiomys edwardsii* to 75 g in *Chelemys macronyx*) distinguishable by the following combination of characters: pelage long and soft; tail typically short and well haired; feet large and strongly built, with naked palms; claws equally robust on fore- and hind-feet (Gyldenstolpe 1932; Osgood 1943); skull with long muzzle and rounded braincase, without frontal or lambdoid crests; interorbital region amphora-shaped; nasals and

premaxillae slightly projected anterior to the incisors to somewhat trumpeted; nasals longer than frontals; zygomatic plate typically narrow, with the upper free border reduced or absent; infraorbital foramen wide; frontal sinuses moderately developed; palate long; anterior border of mesopterygoid fossa square shaped; mandibular ramus generally gracile and elongated, except in *Chelemys* (Gyldenstolpe 1932; Osgood 1943; Reig 1987); upper incisors broad and ungrooved; teeth brachyodont to mesodont; upper and lower molars with labial and lingual cusps arranged in opposite or slightly alternate pairs; first upper/lower molars with reduced, fan-shaped, procingulum and shallow or absent anteroflexus(id); first upper molars with a very shallow to obsolete anteromedian flexus; para- and metaflexus strongly oriented backward to transversely oriented; paracone globose and oriented forward, mesoloph(id) poorly to moderately developed, usually fused to the paracone; third upper molars reduced and sub-cylindrical in outline, except in *Chelemys*, with an internal ring-like enamel fossette (Gyldenstolpe 1932; Reig 1987; D'Elía *et al.* 2006a). Axial skeleton includes 13 ribs, 13 thoracic vertebrae, 6 lumbar vertebrae, and 18-29 caudal vertebrae (Steppan 1995). Stomach unilocular-hemiglandular (Carleton 1973; D'Elía *et al.* 2006a).

Etymology.—Waterhouse (1837: 21, footnote) coined *Abrothrix*, the type genus of the new tribe, from the Greek words “abros” (soft or delicate) and “thrix” (hair), which capture a key trait of these rodents that he had noted (“fur long and soft”). The tribal name is constructed by dropping the case ending from the genitive singular form of *Abrothrix* (ICZN, Article 29.3), or abro-trichos, to create the stem abrotrich. Thus, the family-group name becomes abrotrich + ini = Abrotrichini, not Abrothricini.

Known distribution.—Argentina (Pliocene-Recent), Bolivia (Recent), Chile (Pleistocene-Recent), Ecuador (Recent), Peru (Recent). Species of this tribe are known from the altiplano in west-central Peru and western mountain ranges in Argentina and Bolivia to southernmost Argentina and Chile, including several austral off-shore islands and the Patagonian steppes to the Atlantic Coast (Osgood 1943; Patterson *et al.* 1984; Teta *et al.* 2006; Fig. 2).



FIGURE 1. View of different Abrotichini morphological traits. A) Enlarged pointed rostrum (*A. sanborni*). B) Amphora interorbital region with well developed frontal sinuses (*A. sanborni*). C) Orientation of the zygomatic plate and large infraorbital foramen (*N. edwardsii*). D) Nasals distinctly pointed at their posterior end (*A. longipilis*). E) Nasals and premaxillae projected anterior to the incisors (*A. sanborni*). F) Narrow zygomatic plate with reduced [arrow] upper free border (*A. andinus*). G) Upper incisors robust and orthodont (*C. macronyx*). H) Typical abrotichine upper molar occlusal pattern with procingulum fan-shape, reduced mesolophs, and internal ring-like enamel fossette in M3 (*A. sanborni*). I) Long palate (*A. jelskii*). J) Robust and high coronoid process (*C. macronyx*). K) Enlarged mandible with inconspicuous capsular projection (*A. lanosus*). L) Bilevel coronal topography (*A. longipilis*). Abbreviations: ca = capsular projection, co = condyle, cp = coronoid process, fs = frontal sinus, if = infraorbital foramen, n = nasal/s, pa = palate, pm = premaxillary, zp = zygomatic plate.

FIGURA 1. Vistas de distintas características morfológicas de Abrotichini. A) Rostro alargado y acuminado (*A. sanborni*). B) Región interorbitaria en forma de ánfora con senos frontales bien desarrollados (*A. sanborni*). C) Orientación de la placa zigomática y gran desarrollo del foramen infraorbitario (*N. edwardsii*). D) Nasaes largas y acuminados en su extremo posterior (*A. longipilis*). E) Nasaes y premaxilares proyectados anteriormente a los incisivos (*A. sanborni*). F) Placa zigomática estrecha con borde libre reducido (flecha) (*A. andinus*). G) Incisivos superiores robustos y ortodontes (*C. macronyx*). H) Típica morfología oclusal de los molares superiores desplegada por los abrotichinos, con un procíngulo con forma de abanico, mesolofos reducidos y una foseta interna de esmalte en forma de anillo en el M3 (*A. sanborni*). I) Paladar largo (*A. jelskii*). J) Proceso coronal robusto y alto (*C. macronyx*). K) Mandíbula alargada con una proyección capsular inconspicua (*A. lanosus*). L) Topografía coronal en dos niveles (*A. longipilis*). Abreviaturas: ca = proyección capsular, co = cóndilo, cp = proceso coronoides, fs = seno frontal, if = foramen infraorbitario, n = nasal/es, pa = paladar, pm = premaxilar, zp = placa zigomática.

Phylogenetic relationships.—Phylogenetic relationships among abrotrichine genera have been studied by Smith & Patton (1999), D'Elía (2003b), and D'Elía *et al.* (2006a) based on both mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. Data are available for all currently recognized genera, although not for all species. These studies delineate a basal dichotomy separating *Abrothrix* from a clade composed by the remaining abrotrichines. The distinction of both clades is marked, with both strongly supported and corroborated by patterns of morphological variation. Within the latter clade, the three predominantly fossorial abrotrichine genera (*Geoxus*, *Notiomys*, and *Pearsonomys*) form a clade to which *Chelemys* is sister. Relationships among species of *Abrothrix* have not been thoroughly explored as some species have not been included in any phylogenetic analysis (we are aware of on-going studies that include *A. illuteus*, *A. lanosus*, *A. markhami*, and *A. sanborni*). Available phylogenetic studies, together with some unpublished phylogenetic analyses, indicate that current generic classification of abrotrichines may require adjustment since some genera as currently delimited are not monophyletic (D'Elía, Pardiñas, and Lessa unpublished data; see also D'Elía *et al.* 2006a).

Remarks.—Based on information summarized by Reig (1987) for several abrotrichine taxa (*Abrothrix* spp., *Chelemys macronyx*, *Geoxus valdivianus*) and data provided by Liascovich *et al.* (1989) for *A. illuteus*, and Spotorno *et al.* (1990) for additional species of *Abrothrix*, Smith & Patton (1999) suggested that a diploid number of $2n = 52$ could be a synapomorphy for the abrotrichine group. While no study has tested that hypothesis, new data indicates that the $2n = 52$ is not a diagnostic character of the tribe. *Pearsonomys annectens* has a $2n = 56$ (D'Elía *et al.* 2006a), unpublished results of M. Gallardo indicate that some abrotrichine taxa also depart from a $2n = 52$, and a population of *Abrothrix olivaceus* with a $2n = 44$ was recently documented by Rodríguez & Theiler (2007). The karyotype of *Notiomys edwardsii* and some other forms remain unknown. Further studies are needed to assess abrotrichine chromosomal evolution. What is important here is to acknowledge that a $2n = 52$ is not a diagnostic feature of Abrotrichini, new tribe.

The pelage of the abrotrichinos is generally slate gray or brownish, although there are some blackish (*A. sanborni*, *Chelemys*, *Geoxus*) and brightly colored (*A. jelskii*) forms. Species of *Abrothrix* have elongated phalli with a much reduced or no distal baculum; the genera *Chelemys*, *Geoxus*, *Notiomys* and *Pearsonomys* have a tridigitate distal baculum; the phalli of *Pearsonomys* and *Geoxus* have a prominent dorsal hood that extends beyond the terminal crater (Hooper & Musser 1964; Spotorno 1986; D'Elía *et al.* 2006a). *Abrothrix longipilis*, *A. jelskii*, *A. olivaceus*, and *Geoxus valdivianus* have two pairs of preputial glands (Voss & Linzey 1981). The number of preputial glands in other genera is unknown.

Since Patton & Smith (1999) first identified the abrotrichine clade, the names Abrotrichini, Abrothrichini, and their informal derivatives (including the Spanish abrotrichinos and abrotriquinos) have repeatedly appeared in the literature (e.g., D'Elía 2003; Weksler 2003; Jansa & Weksler 2004; Geise *et al.* 2004; Ojeda *et al.* 2005; Palma *et al.* 2005; Jayat *et al.* 2006). However, none of these usages can be considered as the formal designation of the tribe since no diagnosis was provided, nor did any such appearance explicitly identify the creation of a new taxon (ICZN, 1999: Articles 13 and 16). Similarly, the usage of Abrotrichini by Smith and Patton (1999: 106) cannot be considered a formal designation of the tribe because their statement - "An appropriate name for the new tribe *would be* Abrotrichini ..." [emphasis ours]- clearly indicates only a conditional proposal, and as such, it is not available (ICZN, 1999: Article 15.1, which corresponds to Article 15 of the edition of the Code in usage when the paper was published). Musser & Carleton (2005: 1087) also considered the earlier usages of Abrotrichini to be unavailable and recommended that a "full and proper diagnosis" was needed.

The informal term "Andean clade" has also been used to refer to the abrotrichine group. We note that even though several abrotrichines have exclusively Andean distributions (e.g., *A. jelskii*), several others are distributed in the lowlands west and east of the Andes (e.g., *A. olivaceus*), while still others are not found in the Andes at all (e.g., *P. annectens*).



FIGURE 2. Geographic distribution of Abrotrichini, new tribe.

FIGURA 2. Distribución geográfica de Abrotrichini, nueva tribu.

Currently (Carleton & Musser 2005 with the present modification), nine tribes are recognized within Sigmodontinae; these are: Abrotrichini new tribe, Akodontini, Ichthyomyini, Oryzomyini, Phyllotini, Reithrodontini, Sigmodontini, **Thomasomyini, and Ichthyomyini. In addition, several genera (e.g., *Abrawayaomys*, *Phaenomys*, *Wilfredomys*) are considered as Sigmodontinae incertae sedis** (Smith & Patton 1999; D'Elía 2003; Jorge Salazar-Bravo, personal communication). We are aware of four additional genera that are to be proposed in the near future, two in the Akodontini and two in Oryzomyini. We close this contribution by offering the following revised classification of the extant Sigmodontinae.

Sigmodontinae Wagner, 1843

- Abrawayaomys* Souza Cunha & Cruz, 1979
- Andinomys* Thomas, 1902
- Chinchillula* Thomas, 1898
- Delomys* Thomas, 1917
- Euneomys* Coues, 1874
- Irenomys* Thomas, 1919
- Juliomys* González, 2000
- Neotomys* Thomas, 1894
- Phaenomys* Thomas, 1917
- Punomys* Osgood, 1943
- Wilfredomys* Avila-Pires, 1960

Abrotrichini, new tribe

- Abrothrix* Waterhouse, 1837
- Chelemys* Thomas, 1903
- Geoxus* Thomas, 1919
- Notiomys* Thomas, 1890
- Pearsonomys* Patterson, 1992

Akodontini Vorontsov, 1959

- Akodon* Meyen, 1833
- Bibimys* Massoia, 1979
- Blarinomys* Thomas, 1896
- Brucepattersonius* Hershkovitz, 1998
- Deltamys* Thomas, 1917
- Juscelinomys* Moojen, 1965
- Kunsia* Hershkovitz, 1966
- Lenoxus* Thomas, 1909
- Necomys* Ameghino, 1889
- Oxymycterus* Waterhouse, 1837
- Podoxymys* Anthony, 1929
- Scapteromys* Waterhouse, 1837
- Thalpomys* Thomas, 1916
- Thaptomys* Thomas, 1916

Ichthyomyini Vorontsov, 1959

- Anotomys* Thomas, 1906
- Chibchanomys* Voss, 1988
- Ichthyomys* Thomas, 1893
- Neusticomys* Anthony, 1921
- Rheomys* Thomas, 1906

Oryzomyini Vorontsov, 1959

- Aegialomys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Amphinectomys* Malygin, 1994
- Cerradomys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Eremoryzomys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Euryoryzomys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Handleyomys* Voss, Gómez-Laverde, & Pacheco, 2002
- Holochilus* Brandt, 1835
- Hylaeamys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Lundomys* Voss & Carleton, 1993
- Melanomys* Thomas, 1902
- Microryzomys* Thomas, 1917
- Mindomys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Neacomys* Thomas, 1900
- Necomys* Peters, 1861
- Nephelomys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Nesoryzomys* Heller, 1904
- Oecomys* Thomas, 1906
- Oligoryzomys* Bangs, 1900
- Oreoryzomys* Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
- Oryzomys* Baird, 1857

Pseudoryzomys Hershkovitz, 1962
Scolomys Anthony, 1924
Sigmodontomys J. A. Allen, 1897
Sooretamys Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
Transandinomys Weksler, Percequillo, & Voss, 2006
Zygodontomys J. A. Allen, 1897
 Phyllotini Vorontsov, 1959
Andalgalomys Williams & Mares, 1978
Auliscomys Osgood, 1915
Calomys Waterhouse, 1837
Eligmodontia F. Cuvier, 1837
Galenomys Thomas, 1916
Graomys Thomas, 1916
Loxodontomys Osgood, 1947
Phyllotis Waterhouse, 1837
Salinomys Braun & Mares, 1995
Tapecomys Anderson & Yates, 2000
 Reithrodontini Vorontsov, 1959
Reithrodon Waterhouse, 1837
 Sigmodontini Wagner, 1843
Sigmodon Say & Ord, 1825
 Thomasomyini Steadman & Ray, 1982
Aepeomys Thomas, 1898
Chilomys Thomas, 1897
Rhagomys Thomas, 1917
Rhipidomys Tschudi, 1845
Thomasomys Coues, 1884
 Wiedomyini Reig, 1980
Wiedomys Hershkovitz, 1959

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Alvaro Mones, Michael D. Carleton, and Scott J. Steppan for critically reviewing an earlier version of this paper. Jorge Salazar-Bravo shared unpublished results with us.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BARRANTES, G.E., M.O. ORTELLS & O.A. REIG. 1993. New studies on allozyme genetic distance and variability in akodontine rodents (Cricetidae) and their systematic implications. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 48:283-298.
- BRAUN J.K. 1993. Systematic relationships of the tribe Phyllotini (Muridae: Sigmodontinae) of South America. Special Publication. Oklahoma Museum of Natural History, Norman.
- CARLETON, M.D. 1973. A survey of gross stomach morphology in New World Cricetine (Rodentia, Muroidea) with comments on functional interpretations. *Miscellaneous Publications Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 146:1-43.
- CARLETON, M.D. 1980. Phylogenetic relationships of neotomine-peromyscine rodents (Muroidea) and a reappraisal of the dichotomy within New World Cricetinae. *Miscellaneous Publications Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 157:1-146.
- D'ELÍA, G. 2003a. Rats, mice, and relatives IV. South American rats and mice (Sigmodontinae). In: Grzimek's *Animal Life Encyclopedia*, 2nd edition. Volumes 12-16, Mammals I-V (Eds. Hutchins, M., D.G. Kleiman, V. Geist, & M.C. McDade), pp. 263-279. Gale Group. Farmington Hills.
- D'ELÍA, G. 2003b. Phylogenetics of Sigmodontinae (Rodentia, Muroidea, Cricetidae), with special reference to the akodont group, and with additional comments on historical biogeography. *Cladistics* 19:307-323.
- D'ELÍA, G., E.M. GONZÁLEZ & U.F.J. PARDIÑAS. 2003. Phylogenetic analysis of sigmodontine rodents (Muroidea), with special reference to the akodont genus *Deltamys*. *Mammalian Biology* 68:351-364.
- D'ELÍA G., U.F.J. PARDIÑAS & P. MYERS. 2005. An introduction to the genus *Bibimys* (Rodentia: Sigmodontinae): phylogenetic position and alpha taxonomy. In: *Mammalian Diversification: From Chromosomes to Phylogeography* (Eds. Lacey E. & P. Myers), pp. 211-246. University of California Publications in Zoology, Berkeley.
- D'ELÍA G., A.A. OJEDA, F. MONDACA & M.H. GALLARDO. 2006a. New data of the long-clawed mouse *Pearsonomys annectens* (Cricetidae, Sigmodontinae) and additional comments on the distinctiveness of *Pearsonomys*. *Mammalian Biology* 71:39-51.
- D'ELÍA G., L. LUNA, E.M. GONZÁLEZ & B.D. PATTERSON. 2006b. On the Sigmodontinae radiation (Rodentia, Cricetidae): An appraisal of the phylogenetic position of *Rhagomys*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 38:558-564.
- ENGEL, S.R., K.M. HOGAN, J.F. TAYLOR & S.K. DAVIS. 1998. Molecular systematics and paleobiogeography of the South American sigmodontine rodents. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 15:35-49.
- GEISE, L., M. WEKSLER & C.R. BONVICINO. 2004. Presence or absence of gall bladder in some Akodontini rodents (Muridae, Sigmodontinae). *Mammalian Biology* 69:210-214.
- GYLDENST OLPE, N. 1932. A manual of Neotropical sigmodont rodents. *Kunglia Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, Stockholm* (ser. 3) 11:1-164.
- HERSHKOVITZ, P. 1966. South American swamp and fossorial rats of the scapteromyine group (Cricetinae, Muridae), with comments on the glans penis in murid taxonomy. *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde* 31:81-149.
- HOOPER, E.T. 1959. The glans penis in five genera of cricetid rodents. *Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 613:1-11.

- HOOPER, E.T. & G.G. MUSSER. 1964. The glans penis in Neotropical cricetines (family Muridae) with comments on classification of muroid rodents. *Miscellaneous Publications Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 123:1-57.
- INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE. 1999. *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN)*, 4th Edition. The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature c/o The Natural History Museum. London. Fourth Edition. 306 pp.
- JANSA, S.A. & M. WEKSLER. 2004. Phylogeny of muroid rodents: relationships within and among major lineages as determined by IRBP gene sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 31:256-276.
- JAYAT, J.P., P.E. ORTIZ, P. TETA, U.F.J. PARDIÑAS & G. D'ELÍA. 2006. Nuevas localidades argentinas para algunos roedores sigmodontinos (Rodentia: Cricetidae). *Mastozoología Neotropical* 13:51-67.
- LIASCOVICH, R.C., R.M. BARQUEZ & O.A. REIG. 1989. A karyological and morphological reassessment of *Akodon (Abrothrix) illuteus* Thomas. *Journal of Mammalogy* 70:386-391.
- MUSSER, G.M. & M.D. CARLETON. 2005. Superfamily Muroidea. In: *Mammal species of the world: A taxonomic and geographic reference* Third ed. (Eds. Wilson, D.E. & D.M. Reeder), pp 894-1531. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- OJEDA, A.A., G. D'ELÍA & R.A. OJEDA. 2005. Taxonomía alfa de *Chelemys* y *Euneomys* (Cricetidae, Sigmodontinae): el número diploide de ejemplares topotípicos de *C. macronyx* y *E. mordax*. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 12:79-82.
- OSGOOD, W.H. 1943. The mammals of Chile. *Field Museum of Natural History (Zoology)* 30:1-268.
- PACHECO, V. 2003. Phylogenetic analyses of the Thomasomyini (Muroidea: Sigmodontinae) based on morphological data. Ph.D. Dissertation, The City University of New York, New York, USA.
- PALMA, R.E., P.A. MARQUET & D. BORIC-BARGETTO. 2005. Inter- and intraspecific phylogeography of small mammals in the Atacama Desert and adjacent areas of northern Chile. *Journal of Biogeography* 32:1931-1941.
- PARDIÑAS, U.F.J., G. D'ELÍA, S. CIRIGNOLI & P. SUÁREZ. 2005. A new species of *Akodon* (Rodentia, Cricetidae) from the Northern Campos grasslands of Argentina. *Journal of Mammalogy* 86:462-474.
- PATTERSON, B.D., M.H. GALLARDO & K.E. FREAS. 1984. Systematics of mice of the subgenus *Akodon* (Rodentia: Cricetidae) in southern South America, with the description of a new species. *Fieldiana: Zoology (new series)* 23:1-16.
- PERCEQUILLO, A.R., P.R. GONÇALVES & J.A. OLIVEIRA. 2004. The rediscovery of *Rhagomys rufescens* (Thomas, 1886), with a morphological redescription and comments on its systematic relationships based on morphological and molecular (cytochrome *b*) characters. *Mammalian Biology* 69:238-257.
- REIG, O.A. 1980. A new fossil genus of South American cricetid rodents allied to *Wiedomys*, with an assessment of the Sigmodontinae. *Journal of Zoology* 192:257-281.
- REIG, O.A. 1987. An assessment of the systematics and evolution of the Akodontini with the description of new fossil species of *Akodon* (Cricetidae: Sigmodontinae). *Fieldiana: Zoology (new series)* 39:347-399.
- RODRÍGUEZ, V.A. & G.R. THEILER. 2007. Micromamíferos de la región de Comodoro Rivadavia (Chubut, Argentina). *Mastozoología Neotropical* 14:97-100.
- SMITH, M.F. & J.L. PATTON. 1993. The diversification of South American murid rodents: evidence from mitochondrial DNA sequence data for the akodontine tribe. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 50:149-177.
- SMITH, M.F. & J.L. PATTON. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships and the radiation of sigmodontine rodents in South America: Evidence from cytochrome b. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 6:89-128.
- SPOTORNO, A.O. 1986. Systematics and evolutionary relationships of Andean phyllotine and akodontine rodents. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of California, Berkeley, USA.
- SPOTORNO, A.E., C.A. ZULETA & A. CORTÉS. 1990. Evolutionary systematics and heterochrony in *Abrothrix* species (Rodentia, Cricetidae). *Evolución Biológica* 4:37-62.
- STEPPAN, S.J. 1995. Revision of the tribe Phyllotini (Rodentia: Sigmodontinae), with a phylogenetic hypothesis for the Sigmodontinae. *Fieldiana: Zoology (new series)* 80:1-112.
- STEPPAN, S.J., R.M. ADKINS & J. ANDERSON. 2004. Phylogeny and divergence-date estimates of rapid radiations in muroid rodents based on multiple nuclear genes. *Systematic Biology* 53:533-554.
- TETA, P., U.F.J. PARDIÑAS & G. D'ELÍA. 2006. "Abrotrichinos". In: *Mamíferos de Argentina: taxonomía y distribución* (Eds. Barquez, R.M., M.M. Díaz, & R.A. Ojeda), pp. 192-197. Sociedad Argentina para el Estudio de los Mamíferos, Mendoza.
- VOSS, R.S. 1988. Systematics and ecology of ichthyomyine rodents (Muroidea): patterns of morphological evolution in a small adaptative radiation. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 188:259-493.
- VOSS, R.S. & A.V. LINZEY. 1981. Comparative gross morphology of male accessory glands among

- Neotropical Muridae (Mammalia: Rodentia) with comments on systematic implications. *Miscellaneous Publications, Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 159:1-41.
- WATERHOUSE, G.R. 1837. Characters of new species of the genus *Mus*, from the collection of Mr. Darwin. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1837:15-21, 27-29.
- WEKSLER, M. 2003. Phylogeny of Neotropical oryzomyine rodents (Muridae: Sigmodontinae) based on the nuclear IRBP exon. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 29:331-349.
- WEKSLER, M. 2006. Phylogenetic relationships of oryzomyine rodents (Muroidea: Sigmodontinae): separate and combined analyses of morphological and molecular data. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 296:1-149.
- WEKSLER, M., A.R. PERCEQUILLO & R.S. VOSS. 2006. Ten new genera of oryzomyine rodents (Cricetidae: Sigmodontinae). *American Museum Novitates* 3537:1-29.

Recibido: 01.07.07
Aceptado: 24.09.07