Is autotoxicity responsible for inhibition growth of new conspecific seedlings under the canopy of the invasive *Acacia dealbata* Link?

¿Es la autotoxicidad responsable de la inhibición del crecimiento de nuevas plántulas conespecíficas bajo el dosel de la invasora *Acacia dealbata* Link?
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**ABSTRACT**

Autotoxicity is a particular form of allelopathy and is suspected to be responsible for regulating intraspecific competition under the *Acacia dealbata* Link (Fabaceae) canopy. We established a bioassay with controlled conditions following the natural patterns of plant material accumulation under the *A. dealbata* canopy to determine the effects of chemical compounds released by leaves, bark, flowers and pods of the invasive species on seedling germination and early growth of conspecific seedlings. Morphological changes caused by *A. dealbata* plant parts in roots of *A. dealbata* seedlings grown in natural and controlled conditions were evaluated with scanning electron microscopy. The composition and behavior of the phytotoxicity of litter under the *A. dealbata* canopy throughout its phenological cycle were studied. The main chemical compounds in the soil under the canopy were identified. Most of the tested plant parts inhibited the germination and the growth of young seedlings, prevented the root hair formation, destroyed the rizodermis and altered the parenchyma tissue of radicles. The pods caused the greatest autotoxicity in seedlings from both study conditions and dominated the plant material accumulated under the canopy for almost all of the phenological cycle. Soil analysis by GC-MS revealed the abundance of fatty acids and the presence of steroids. These results suggest that *A. dealbata* can control the growth of new conspecific seedlings under its own canopy, and improves the interspecific competitive performance of its adult plants in its non-native range.
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**RESUMEN**

La autotoxicidad es un fenómeno particular de alelopatía y se sospecha que es responsable de regular la competencia intraespecífica bajo el dosel de *Acacia dealbata* Link (Fabaceae). Se estableció un bioensayo en condiciones controladas, siguiendo patrones naturales de acumulación del material vegetal en el dosel de *A. dealbata*, para determinar los efectos de compuestos químicos liberados por hojas, corteza, flores y vainas de esta especie invasora en la germinación y el crecimiento inicial de plántulas conespecíficas. Mediante microscopía electrónica de barrido se evaluaron cambios morfológicos inducidos por las diferentes partes de *A. dealbata* en raíces de plántulas conespecíficas cultivadas en condiciones controladas y naturales. Se estudió la composición y el comportamiento de la fitotoxicidad del material vegetal depositado bajo el dosel de *A. dealbata* durante su ciclo fenológico. Se identificaron los principales compuestos químicos presentes en el suelo situado bajo el dosel. La mayoría de los órganos evaluados inhibieron la germinación y el crecimiento temprano de las plántulas, impidieron la formación de pelos radicales, destruyeron la rizodermis y alteraron el tejido parenquímatico de las radículas. Las vainas provocaron la mayor autotoxicidad en ambas condiciones de estudio, y dominaron el material vegetal acumulado bajo el dosel por casi todo el ciclo fenológico. El análisis de suelos mediante GC-MS, reveló abundancia de ácidos grasos y presencia de esteroides. Estos resultados sugieren que *A. dealbata* puede controlar el crecimiento de nuevas plántulas conespecíficas bajo su propio dosel, y mejorar la competitividad interespecífica de sus plantas adultas en su rango no nativo.

**PALABRAS CLAVE**: Alelopatía, aleloquímicos, invasiones biológicas, competencia intraespecífica, cambios morfológicos, rango no nativo.
INTRODUCTION

Allelopathy includes harmful or beneficial effects of one plant on another plant by the production of chemical compounds that release into the environment (Rice 1984, Lambers et al. 2008, Ren et al. 2015). A particular form of allelopathy is autotoxicity, which occurs when the released chemical compounds inhibit the growth of plants of the same species (Miller 1996, Lambers et al. 2008, Yan et al. 2015). Consequently, autotoxicity can result in the inhibition of seedling growth or delayed germination, thus limiting the fitness of the dominant members of a population (Schenk et al. 1999). Usually, autotoxicity studies cover a wide range of taxonomically distant species and reveal different mechanisms of autotoxicity (Sinkkonen 2007). Residues of Daucus carota, Saccharum officinarum and Triticum aestivum, and root exudates of Cucumis sativus, Lolium perenne, Fragaria x ananassa and Colocasia esculenta have been observed to cause autotoxic growth inhibition in several agricultural crops (Wu et al. 2001, Kraus et al. 2002, Ye et al. 2004, Jasicka-Misiak et al. 2005, Kitazawa et al. 2005, Sampietro 2006). In silviculture, autoxins in litter and humus inhibit the reestablishment of several economically important confiers (Mallik 2002, Chen et al. 2005).

The autotoxic effects can reduce seed germination and seedling establishment of conspecific (Perry et al. 2005, Liu et al. 2008). Allelochemicals may be stored in glands and subsequently released into the environment (Nilsson et al. 1998, Kong et al. 2008, Ren et al. 2015). Further, some species are capable of detoxifying their own allelochemicals (Schulz & Wieland 1999). Despite the multitude of adaptations, autotoxicity has been observed at all stages of plant growth. There have been decades of studies showing that autotoxicity can alter plant responses to population density in monospecific stands (Hirano & Kira 1965, Jiang et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2015, Bouhaouel et al. 2015, Yan et al. 2015).

Although allelopathy and autotoxicity are predominantly studied within an agricultural context (Miller 1996, Liu et al. 2008, Zhang et al. 2015), they can also play an important role in natural systems, for example, during succession (Wilson & Rice 1968, Bonanomi et al. 2005) or exotic plant invasions (Callaway & Aschehoug 2000, Hierro & Callaway 2003). It has been suggested that the population density in stands of Acacia dealbata Link (Fabaceae) in a non-native range is regulated mainly by autotoxicity (Aguilera et al. 2015). This was corroborated by studies of shade tolerance involving this species, where it was observed absence of viable new seedlings under the canopy (Aguilera et al. 2015a). Recently, it has been reported that A. dealbata seedlings planted under their own canopy have difficulty growing (Fuentes-Ramirez et al. 2011). Taken together, these studies suggest that several traits make A. dealbata, an invasive species, successful in different Mediterranean ecosystems in the world (Richardson et al. 2011, Fuentes-Ramirez et al. 2011, Rodriguez-Echeverria et al. 2013).

Some laboratory and field studies show evidence that A. dealbata has inhibitory effects on germination and early growth of other plant species; most of them being native understory shrubs and herbs (Carballeira & Reigosa 1999, Lorenzo et al. 2008, Lorenzo et al. 2012). In addition, it is reported that A. dealbata induces changes in net photosynthesis and respiration rates of several native understory species in northwestern Spain (Lorenzo et al. 2011), as well as morphological changes (inhibition of root hairs formation, deformation and tissue destruction of rhizoderms, increase in thickness in the cell elongation zone, among others) on native seedlings from Mediterranean ecosystems of South America (Aguilera 2015, Aguilera et al. 2015b). The absence or scarcity of vegetation under the A. dealbata canopy is often attributed to an allelopathy phenomenon (Fuentes-Ramirez et al. 2011, Aguilera et al. 2015c), and, consequently, to the inhibitory effects from the secondary metabolites released by this species (Lorenzo et al. 2013, Aguilera et al. 2015). Aguilera et al. (2015d) identified biomolecules present in leaves, flowers, pods and the bark of A. dealbata that may be involved in this process, mainly to resorcinol, lupanine and stigmastanol.

It is hypothesized that new seedlings of A. dealbata do not survive under the canopy of conspecifics plants due to inhibition by autotoxicity. The aims of the present study are: (i) to determine the effect that different plant parts of invasive A. dealbata may have through direct contact of germination and early growth of conspecific plants, (ii) to assess whether morphological changes occur in radicles of A. dealbata seedlings by means of compounds released by conspecifics plants, (iii) to relate the phenological cycle of A. dealbata with the bioactive plant material naturally deposited under the conspecific canopy, and (iv) to identify chemicals with potential phytotoxic effects present in the soil under the A. dealbata canopy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY AREA AND PLANT MATERIAL

The study area (~10 ha) is characterized by temperature of 12.4 °C, relative humidity of 87.0% and annual average rainfall of 827.0 mm (Sanitibáñez & Uribe 1993). The relief is undulating and the natural predominant vegetation is woodland, dominated by native trees belonging to Nothofagaceae family, besides Aristotelia chilensis (Molina) Stuntz and Drimys winteri J.R. Forst. et G. Forst. Also, there are many small stands of invasive A. dealbata and Teline monspessulana (L.) K. Koch occupying spaces free from...
native trees and edges of a path. Plant material (pods, leaves and flowers) of A. dealbata was collected in the campus of the University of Concepción (36°49’42.33” S, 73°01’54.95” W at 62 m.a.s.l), under A. dealbata’s canopy after natural deposition during 2014. The sampling was conducted in January for pods and seeds, and in June for leaves, bark and flowers (glomerulus globular inflorescence). Pods and seeds were collected and stored in closed plastic bags at 8 °C until they were used in the bioassays (June). The seedlings of A. dealbata (~7 cm from the root tip to the apical bud) from seeds naturally germinated under conspecific canopy were collected in May. These were carefully removed from the soil to prevent damage to the radicles, which can interfere with results of scanning electron microscopy.

**Bioassay**

This experiment was carried out under controlled conditions (temperature, light intensity, relative humidity and photoperiod) to avoid interference of multiple factors that can act simultaneously in natural conditions. Thus, it was possible to assess separately the autotoxicity potential of each plant material from A. dealbata. The plant parts that had naturally fallen under the A. dealbata canopy were quantified using 25 random quadrats of 63.6 cm². Predominant plant material inside these quadrats was collected, weighed and used to calculate a biomass fall rate. Litter averages of the leaves, pods and flowers were 314.4, 518.8 and 518.8 g/m², respectively. The equivalent for Petri dish (63.6 cm²) was 2, 3.3 and 3.3 g, respectively. Bark was extracted from five randomly selected trees and a pool was formed from them (3 g for Petri dishes). Each different type of plant material was placed into a Petri dish covered with a Whatman No 1 paper disc moistened with 20 ml of distilled water. The standard amount of water was added as per previous successful experiments (Aguilera 2015). Petri dishes without of plant material and covered with Whatman No 1 paper disc moistened with 5 ml of distilled water were used as a control. Thirty A. dealbata seeds were sown in each Petri dish, which were sealed with Parafilm® to prevent evaporation, and were incubated for 23 days in a growth chamber at a temperature of 20 °C, relative humidity of 70-75% with a light/dark cycle of 12/12 h and infructescence light of 80 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Seven replicates (Petri dishes) were maintained for each treatment (plant parts). The pH was measured directly in Petri dishes at the beginning and at the end of the experiment by pH-indicator strips pH 0 - 14 (Acilit® MERCK, Darmstadt, Germany), and ranged from 6 to 6.5, an adequate value for the germination and seedling growth of A. dealbata (Dave’s Garden 2010). Germination was calculated according to Fernandez et al. (2013) and the value was expressed as a percentage (GP). Radicle length (RL) and hypocotyl length (HL) of each seedling were measured. Additionally, necrosis of the radicle (RN) was assessed and classified according to five categories (Table 1).

**Scanning electron microscopy**

Three-millimeter segments of root hair zone (ten from each treatment and ten segments from radicles of A. dealbata randomly collected under conspecific canopy in natural conditions) were fixed for 24 h in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in a sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.2 at 4 °C. They were then washed with a sodium phosphate buffer (0.1 M) twice for 10 min each and fixed in osmium tetroxide 1% in a 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer for 2 h at 4 °C. Thereafter, that they were washed with the same buffer twice for 10 min each time. The cross-section cuts consisted of thin sections (about 200 μm) and were cut with a rotation microtome HM 550 (MICROM International GmbH, Walldorf). The samples were then dehydrated for a first time in a 30 - 100% ethanol series and a second time in liquid CO₂ by a critical point dryer (Balzers Union FL-9496, Holland) (Anderson 1951). Segments of root hair zone and cuts were immediately mounted on an aluminum sample holder with a carbon film, and were then gold plated by a metallizer (Edwards S 150 Sputter Coater, USA) for 3 min at 30 mA, leaving a thickness of approximately 400 Å. Specimens were viewed under a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (JEOL JSM- 6380 LV, Japan). A comparison of changes of root hair zone and tissues (rhizodermis, parenchyma cortex, endoderm and vascular tissue) was conducted between control and treatments.

**Monitoring of the bioactive plant material under the canopy**

Ten stands of A. dealbata were selected for monitoring the relative proportion of the different plant parts naturally deposited, which accumulated under its canopy throughout the phenological cycle. Once a month from January to December 2014, a box of 0.50 m² was launched randomly at five different points under the canopy of each stand. A pool was made of all plant material collected in the first 5 to 7 cm approximately. Then, the plant material was classified (pods, leaves, flowers, seeds) and weighed. Subsequently, the proportion of each plant material was determined and expressed in a percentage with respect to the total.

**Extraction and identification of chemical compounds from soil**

Five different sampling points below the canopy of three A. dealbata stands were located at the study area. About 5 to 7 cm thickness of litter on the soil surface were removed and placed in paper bags to be carried to the laboratory. The soil samples were pooled to be homogenized in a single sample and spread on a surface in a thin layer to lessen the moisture for 72 h at room temperature. Subsequently, 2 kg of a pool of soil were weighed. Chemical extraction of soil was started with methanol for 10 days at 22 °C. The resulting solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure with a rotatory evaporator (IKA HB10 digital, Staufen, Germany).
to obtain the crude extracts. From the total extracts, 1 mg was taken and diluted in 5 ml of ethyl acetate. The sample was characterized by means of gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Agilent 7890A, California, USA), with an Agilent 5975C mass detector, using a HP5-MS type fused silica capillary column of 30 m, 0.25 mm inner diameter and 0.25 μm film thickness, as follows:

- Temperature: 250 °C; Detector (mass): 280 °C; Furnace: initial 100 °C for five min, increasing by 8 °C/min up to 250 °C and maintained for 15 min. The detector setting in scan mode ranged from 50 to 500 amu. The carrier gas flow (electronic degree helium) was at 1 ml/min. The compound characterization was carried out by means of comparison with an NIST® database.

**TABLE 1.** Radical necrosis degree classification (Aguilera et al. 2015d). / Clasificación del grado de necrosis de la radícula (Aguilera et al. 2015d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NECROSIS DEGREE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Radicle without discoloration and with abundant root hairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Radicle light brown and reduction of root hairs up to 50% of their length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Radicle brown and 5 to 10% necrosis. No root hairs observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Radicle dark brown and ca. 50% necrosis. No root hairs observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Radicle dark brown and more than 75% necrosis. No root hairs observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

Experiments were established on a completely randomized experimental design. To test the effect of direct contact of plant parts from *A. dealbata* on its own GP, HL, RL and RN, a one-way ANOVA was applied and significant differences were further analyzed using Tukey’s tests. Data normality and homogeneity of variances were respectively evaluated with Kolmogorov–Smirnoff and Levene tests. When the homogeneity of the variances was not achieved, data were Log (n+1) transformed (Zar 1996, Xie et al. 2000). Kruskall–Wallis was applied when data or its transformations did not meet the assumptions for parametric statistics. The level of significance for all statistical analyses was fixed at *P* < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA 8.0 for Windows (StatSoft Inc 2007).

**RESULTS**

**EFFECTS OF PLANT MATERIALS OF *A. DEALBATA* ON CONSPECIFIC SEEDLINGS**

The different parts of *A. dealbata* induced different responses on the germination of seeds and early growth of conspecific seedlings. In this context, the leaves and pods of this species inhibited significantly (*P* < 0.001) its own seed germination (Fig. 1). In particular, germination was approximately 5% due to direct contact with leaves and 20% by the pod treatments. Germination was not affected by contact with seeds, bark and flowers. However, the pods, leaves and flowers inhibited significantly (*P* < 0.001) the HL (Fig. 2a) and the RL (Fig. 2b) when compared to the control. The bark did not influence the RL, but it did influence the HL. The pods of *A. dealbata* caused necrosis (approximately grade 3) in radicles of conspecific seedlings (Fig 2c, Table 1), while the bark, flowers and leaves induced a similar degree of necrosis which were mostly brown and without root hairs.
Morphological effects at a radicle level

The micrographs from the SEM showed that *A. dealbata* in early stages of growth have few root hairs. This was confirmed in the control treatment of the root hairs zone (Fig. 3a). Nevertheless, small root hairs can be observed throughout that area, as well as the superficial cell layers of the rhizodermis. However, this did not occur due to the action of the different parts of *A. dealbata* in the conspecific radicle. In the presence of all plant parts, the formation of root hairs was inhibited and the rhizodermis was affected with varying intensities. For example, it appears that the flowers (Fig. 3b) caused more damage to the inner layers of the rhizodermis than the bark (Fig. 3c). At the same time, the rhizodermis was destroyed by the action of the leaves (Fig. 3d). Similarly, the pods (Fig. 3e) destroyed the cell layers of the rhizodermis, but the appearance of the damage was different than the other plant parts. However, it is worth noting that the damage observed in the root hair zone of radicles from natural conditions (Fig. 3f) was relatively similar to the damage caused by pods in the controlled conditions.

For cross-sections corresponding to the root hair zone, the control (Fig. 4a) showed the presence of a few root hairs and the normal conformation of internal tissues. The flowers (Fig. 4b) inhibited the formation of root hairs, but did not affect the internal tissues of the *A. dealbata* radicle. However, the bark (Fig. 4c) and leaves (Fig. 4d) induced small changes in the isodiametric and polyhedral conformation of cells. Furthermore, the pods (Fig. 4e) caused internal compaction at tissue level interfering the cortex tissue differentiation and vascular connection. At the same time, the cross-section made in seedling radicles from natural conditions (Fig. 4f) showed changes in the isodiametric and polyhedral cell structure of parenchymatous tissue. In this case, damages in the vascular tissues were not observed.

Composition of bioactive plant material under the canopy throughout the phenological cycle

The natural deposit of plant material under the *A. dealbata* canopy occurred throughout its phenological cycle. This deposit was distinguished both quantitatively and qualitatively in each phenological stage. From May to June, the pods from the previous harvest, dominated almost 100% of plant material under the canopy (Fig. 5, Fig. 6c). The floral primordia of *A. dealbata* became visible in early March. The flowers (glomerulus globular in fluorescence) were fully formed in early June, while many of them began to be deposited under the canopy from that moment to September or early October (Fig. 5, Fig. 6a). Flowers predominated under the canopy at least 70% between June and August and pods did not exceed 25% of the plant material mixture in the same period (Fig. 5). The flowers and pods formed a layer of 1 to 3 cm above the soil surface and under the *A. dealbata* canopy (Fig. 6a, 6c). This layer covered the entire surface of the soil under the canopy, except when the stand was located on steep slopes. In such cases, there were empty spaces at the top of the slope, apparently caused by rain runoff. From September on, the flower proportion decreased progressively in the plant material and again pods began to dominate with 60% of the mixture (Fig. 5). The flowers’ decomposition under the canopy was quick. For example, the flowers that were deposited during July and August were decomposed in September. From this moment until October, pods, old leaves and fresh leaves were present in the plant

---

**Figure 2.** Effect of different *Acacia dealbata* parts on hypocotyl length (a), radicle length (b) and radicle necrosis degree (c) of conspecific seedling. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments after one-way ANOVA (*P* < 0.05) and Tukey’s post hoc test; in (c) nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis was applied. / Efecto de diferentes partes de *Acacia dealbata* en la longitud del hipocótilo (a), longitud de la radícula (b) y grado de necrosis en la radícula (c) de plántulas conespecíficas. Distintas letras indican diferencia significativa entre tratamientos después de aplicar ANOVA de una vía (*P* < 0.05) y la prueba post hoc de Tukey; en (c) se aplicó el análisis no paramétrico de Kruskal-Wallis.
material mixture (Fig. 5, Fig. 6b). However, pods remained throughout the phenological cycle and their decomposition was slow (Fig. 6d). Moreover, the leaves always formed the minor portion of plant material mixture under the canopy throughout the phenological cycle, oscillating between 2 and 8% (Fig. 5).

**FIGURE 3.** Effect of different *Acacia dealbata* parts on root hair zone of conspecific seedlings. Presence of Rh and normal Rz in control (a); inhibition of Rh formation and destruction of Rz by flowers (b), bark (c), leaves (d), pods (e), and in radicles of seedlings developed in natural conditions (taken from under the *A. dealbata* canopy) (f). Rh: root hairs, Rz: rhizodermis. / Efecto de diferentes partes de *Acacia dealbata* en la zona de pelos radicales de plántulas conespecíficas. Presencia normal de Rh y Rz en el control (a); inhibición de la formación de Rh y destrucción de Rz por bioactividad de flores (b), corteza (c), hojas (d), vainas (e), y en radículas de plántulas desarrolladas en condiciones naturales (tomadas debajo del dosel de *A. dealbata*) (f). Rh: pelos radicales, Rz: rizodermis.
Figure 4. Effect of different *Acacia dealbata* parts on a root hair zone (cross-section) from radicles of conspecific seedlings. Normal cell and tissue structures in the control (a), no changes in cells and tissues induced by flowers (b), few changes in the polyhedral and isodiametric structure of parenchyma cells induced by bark (c) and leaves (d), compaction at cortex level and the tissue differentiation and vascular connection were lost by pods effect (e), changes in the polyhedral and isodiametric structure of parenchyma cells in radicles of seedlings developed in natural conditions (taken from under the *A. dealbata* canopy) (f). Pc: parenchyma cortex, En: endoderm, Ph: phloem, Xy: xylem. Rh: root hairs, Rz: rhizodermis. / Efecto de diferentes partes de *Acacia dealbata* en la zona de pelos radicales (corte transversal) de raíces de plántulas conspecíficas. Estructuras celulares y tisulares normales en el control (a), ausencia de cambios en células y tejidos por bioactividad de flores (b), pocos cambios en las estructuras poliédricas e isodiamétricas de las células del parénquima por bioactividad de corteza (c) y hojas (d), compactación a nivel de córtex y pérdida de diferenciación tisular y conexión vascular por efecto de vainas (e), cambios en las estructuras poliédricas e isodiamétricas de las células del parénquima de radículas de plantulas desarrolladas en condiciones naturales (tomadas debajo del dosel de *A. dealbata*) (f). Pc: parénquima cortical, En: endodermis, Ph: floema, Xy: xilema. Rh: pelos radicales, Rz: rizodermis.
FIGURE 5. Relative proportion of plant material of Acacia dealbata deposited under its canopy throughout its phenological cycle. On the X axis, 1 corresponds to January and the months continue consecutively until December (12). / Proporción relativa del material vegetal de A. dealbata depositado bajo su dosel a través del ciclo fenológico. En el eje X, 1 corresponde a enero y los meses continúan consecutivamente hasta diciembre (12).

FIGURE 6. Predominant plant material of Acacia dealbata under its canopy at different times of its phenological cycle. Ground covered by fresh flowers during the flowering period (June to August) (a), flowers in decaying process, pods from the previous harvest, fresh leaves and old leaves were observed in September (b), ground were covered by pods from January to May (c), pods have a slow of decomposition process and remain until December (~11 months of being deposited naturally) to be enriched subsequently with a new litter of the next of harvest pods (January to February) (d). In (b) presence of pods is indicated by a circumference, the old leaves by an ellipse and the fresh leaves by an arrow. / Material vegetal de Acacia dealbata predominante bajo su dosel en diferentes momentos del ciclo fenológico. Suelo cubierto por flores frescas durante el periodo de floración (junio a agosto) (a), en septiembre se observaron flores en proceso de descomposición, vainas de la cosecha anterior, hojas frescas y hojas viejas (b), suelo cubierto por vainas de enero a mayo (c), vainas tienen lento proceso de descomposición y permanecen hasta diciembre (~ 11 meses de haber sido depositado de forma natural) para ser enriquecidas posteriormente con una nueva camada de la próxima cosecha de vainas (enero-febrero) (d). En (b) la presencia de vainas se indica por una circumferencia, las hojas viejas por ellipse y hojas frescas por una flecha.
Potential phytotoxic compounds in soil.
The most abundant compounds detected in the soil sample were fatty acids. Some of them were abundant; for example: hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (retention time, RT: 13.600), 9,12-octadecadienoic acid, methyl ester, (E,E)- (RT: 15.233), and octadecanoic acid, methyl ester (RT: 15.496) (Fig. 7). However, from the standpoint of phytotoxic interest, three aromatic compounds were identified although in much less abundance than the fatty acids mentioned above (Fig. 7, Fig. 8). The mass spectrum shows a RT: 22.195 for anthiaergosatn-5,7,9,22-tetraen, 3-acetoxy- (compound 1); RT: 23.831 for tetracyclo [11.4.0.0(3,11).0(7,11)] heptadeca-1(13),14,16-triene-4-carboxylic acid, 14,17-dimethoxy-8-(2-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)- (compound 2); and RT: 26.304 for desmosterol (compound 3) (Fig. 7).

Figure 7. Mass spectrum obtained from the methanolic extract of soil collected under the Acacia dealbata canopy. The letters above the arrows indicate that peaks belong to compound 1 (A), compound 2 (B) and compound 3 (C). These compounds are shown in Figure 8. / Espectro de masas obtenido a partir del extracto metanólico de suelo recogido bajo el dosel de Acacia dealbata. Las letras encima de las flechas indican que los picos pertenecen al compuesto 1 (A), compuesto 2 (B) y compuesto 3 (C). Estos compuestos se muestran en la Figura 8.

Figure 8. Structures of three putative phytotoxic compounds identified from methanolic extracts of soil collected under the Acacia dealbata canopy. Compound 1: Anthiaergosatn-5,7,9,22-tetraen, 3-acetoxy-, Compound 2: Tetracyclo[11.4.0.0(3,11).0(7,11)] heptadeca-1(13),14,16-triene-4-carboxylic acid, 14,17-dimethoxy-8-(2-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)- and Compound 3: Desmosterol. / Estructuras de tres supuestos compuestos fitotóxicos identificados a partir de extractos metanólicos de suelo recogido bajo el dosel de Acacia dealbata. Compuesto 1: Antiaergosatn- 5,7,9,22-tetraeno, 3- acetoxi-, Compuesto 2: Tetracíclo[11.4.0.0(3,11).0(7,11)] heptadeca-1(13),14,16-triene-4-carboxylic acid, 14,17-dimethoxy-8-(2-hidroxi-1-metiletil)- y Compuesto 3: Desmosterol.
DISCUSSION

The present study showed that all plant parts can cause inhibition on the germination and early growth of seeds of *A. dealbata* deposited under the canopy of this same species. Thus, despite the thousands of seeds that are produced by *A. dealbata* on each harvest, apparently, the plant limits itself or prevents intraspecific competition for resources (Schenk *et al.* 1999, Falik *et al.* 2003). Only a small proportion of seeds are able to germinate in such conditions. Therefore, the new seedlings are constantly subjected to the impact of allelochemicals released from the different parts of their mother plant. Altogether, the model bioassay based on direct contact between the plant material with the target seeds can approach to what occurs in natural conditions. In this sense, short-term bioassays in controlled environments are a first attempt to assess the occurrence of allelopathy (An *et al.* 1993, Canals *et al.* 2005). The idea that the impact of allelochemicals released by the different plant parts can be a chronic process was considered (Pedrol *et al.* 2006, Graña *et al.* 2013). It is most likely that this phenomenon occurs in several ways, because each of the plant parts releases different phytotoxic compounds that might have different modes of action (Hol *et al.* 2003). At the same time, the phytotoxic activity of plant materials could be combined and strengthened throughout the phenological cycle (Aguilera 2015, Aguilera *et al.* 2015d). In this context, *A. dealbata* tends to exert a constant phytotoxic pressure over its own seedlings produced from seeds and prevents these from becoming established under its parent canopy. This means that this invader plant may have been able to use its allelochemical potential to regulate the population of new seedlings of the same species.

The autotoxic effect caused by different plant parts was accentuated by morphological changes or damage at the radicle level. On the one hand, the plant parts inhibited root hair formation and contributed to the destruction of the rhizodermis and the internal root tissues (Graña *et al.* 2013, Aguilera *et al.* 2015b). It is important to consider the strong phytotoxic activity induced by pods of *A. dealbata* on radicles of new seedlings. In this case, the internal tissues of radicles collapsed completely and vascular connection was lost. All of these morphological changes showed that some allelochemicals alter cell membranes by disrupting the permeability, ion flow and hydraulic activity at the root level, resulting in cascading effects that can cause severe damage to the stomatal function and the photosynthesis and respiration rates (Einhellig 2004). Similar morphological results were obtained by direct contact of *A. dealbata* parts with radicles of model species (*Lactuca sativa* L.) and the native species (*Quillaja saponaria* Molina) that shares the same distribution range of *A. dealbata* in Chile (Aguilera *et al.* 2015b, 2015c). These authors found that leaves of *A. dealbata* caused damage at the radicle level, similar to the morphological alterations induced by the pods in the present work. It is very interesting that the morphological alterations shown by the seedling roots of *A. dealbata* found in natural conditions were similar to the morphological alterations in the radicles of their own species shown due to direct contact by pods under controlled conditions. In particular, the same damage occurred at the rhizodermis level.

In general, the most intense autotoxic effects that compromised the survival of *A. dealbata* seedlings were induced at the radicle level. Radicle elongation and other morphological changes at the root level have been reported to be more sensitive to allelochemicals when compared to seed germination and hypocotyl elongation (Carballeira & Reigosa 1999, Chon *et al.* 2002, Fritz *et al.* 2007, Coelho de Oliveira *et al.* 2008, Hussain *et al.* 2011). In this context, it is clear that all parts of *A. dealbata* that were tested expressed autotoxic biological activity. However, the pods and leaves were the most effective. The case of the pods is particularly interesting because they remained under the canopy throughout the phenological cycle of *A. dealbata*. At the same time, the pods dominated the plant material deposited under the canopy, except in July and August when the flowers prevailed. This means that the pods can constantly release phytotoxic compounds. Nevertheless, other studies have shown that pods have a strong bioactivity for four months after deposition under the canopy, but the bioactivity progressively decreases after seven months (Aguilera *et al.* 2015d). The pods from the next harvest may be deposited on the litter pods for advanced decomposition of the previous harvest. Thus, a harvest of pods can overlap with the other one, and the litter can continuously maintain phytotoxicity.

Because *A. dealbata* is an evergreen species (Lorenzo *et al.* 2010a), the leaves found under canopy are a low relative proportion of the plant material deposited during the phenological cycle of this invasive species, especially in very windy periods. The highest percentages of leaves found registered under the canopy between June and September were due to strong winds typical of this season in south central Chile (Santibañez & Uribe 1993). The possible impact of phytotoxicity from flowers was for a short time, because they only dominated the plant material mixture under the canopy from June to September. During September, after just four to five weeks the flowers degraded completely, and once again the litter began to be dominated by pods. Moreover, aqueous extracts from *A. dealbata* bark also induced morphological changes and inhibition on its own seedlings, though less intense than what is caused by other plant parts. This revealed that the bark can release allelochemicals (Karmegam *et al.* 2014) when branches and stems are washed by rain or heavy fog. Therefore, these compounds can be routinely incorporated into the substrate located under the canopy and can increase the impact of a chronic phytotoxic effect on its own seeds or seedlings, as
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well as on other plants species of competitive importance for this invasive species (Souza et al. 2010).

Several studies reveal that chemical compounds naturally released by *A. dealbata* have shown allelopathic effects on seed germination, seedling growth, net photosynthetic and respiration rates of agricultural and understory plants, as well as on functional diversity and structure of soil microbes in the invaded range (Carballeira & Reigosa 1999, Lorenzo et al. 2008, Lorenzo et al. 2013). In this regard, a recent study conducted in the Northwest of Spain showed that volatile organic compounds released from fresh flowers of *A. dealbata* inhibited germination and seedling growth of *Trifolium subterraneum* L., *Lolium multiflorum* Lam. and *Medicago sativa* L. native to the region (Souza-Alonso et al. 2014). Moreover, in South America, some different non-volatile compounds present in leaves, flowers, pods and bark of *A. dealbata* have been identified. Of all of them, the most predominant were resorcinol and moretenone in leaves, stigmasterol, D-alpha-tocopherol quinone and lupinin in pods, and methyl p-anisate, p-anisyl alcohol, stigmasterol and anisal in flowers (Aguilera 2015, Aguilera et al. 2015d). These authors also believe that these compounds are responsible of inhibition of germination and growth of *Lactuca sativa* as a model species, and of various native herbaceous plants (e.g. *Helenium aromaticum* (Hook.) H.L. Bailey and *Rodophiala maculate* (L’Hér.) Ravena and trees species (e.g. *Quillaja saponaria*).

Presently, there is no evidence that phytotoxic compounds released by *A. dealbata* were identified directly in the soil. Concerning this, there is an extensive discussion regarding the influence of microorganisms on the allelochemicals released by donor species into soil (Inderjit & Weiner 2001, Inderjit & Nilsen 2003, Inderjit 2005, Inderjit et al. 2011, Zen 2014). It is suspected that some compounds identified in different plant parts of *A. dealbata* may be altered in soil, changing the phytotoxic effects. In the present study, abundant fatty acids were identified in the soil under the *A. dealbata* canopy. Such compounds might be a natural source of energy of soil microorganisms, but have also been mentioned as allelochemicals (Olofsdotter et al. 1998, Blanco et al. 2006). Although less abundant, three aromatic compounds were also identified that may be from plant origin. Of these, compound 1 and compound 3 can be classified as steroids according to their chemical structure. Coincidentally, an abundant presence of stigmasterol was detected in the flowers and pods (Aguilera et al. 2015d). This steroid has been related to bioactivity in cycle and cellular division (Sandjo et al. 2011). The chemical structure of stigmasterol and the compounds identified in the soil are very similar. This suggests that *A. dealbata* is an important source of steroids (among other compounds) that are released to the ground, which would expose them to possible changes caused by the microorganisms present in the soil. Additionally, the long stay of the pods under the canopy could be a systematic source of steroid leachate in the ground. Finally, it is important to consider that the seeds of *A. dealbata* or other cohabiting species mainly make direct contact with the plant material litter found on the ground under the canopy. In this way, the target seeds could receive the direct impact of several phytotoxic compounds that are released by different plant materials from *A. dealbata* that make up the litter throughout the entire phenological cycle of this invader species.

Many plants have well-known attributes (e.g. abundant and wind-dispersed seeds, breakdown of seed dormancy mechanisms, and rapid and early growth) that allow a rapid build-up of populations under appropriate conditions (Bazzaz & Morse 1991). However, their ability to regulate their own decline is not fully understood (Canals et al. 2005). In fact, Lorenzo et al. (2010b) has shown stimulation of *A. dealbata* seeds watered with natural extracts (rain extracts) or litter extracts. Canals et al. (2005) reported that autotoxicity may play a prime role as a population regulator. Inhibitory chemically mediated interactions may maintain the spacing of individuals and prevent density dependent mortality processes, thus ensuring self-perpetuation (Murray 1998). For example, autotoxins from decaying shoots of *Centaura maculosa* L. and *Juncus effusus* L. have been shown to inhibit the germination and the early growth of seedlings of the same species (Ervin & Wetzel 2000, Perry et al. 2005). In mathematical modeling studies (considering several ecologically possible relationships between plant density and autotoxin exposure) autotoxicity has been concluded to affect the outcome of density dependent dose-response experiments (Sinkkonen 2007). These studies support the need to take into account the autotoxic potential of *A. dealbata* to explain self-regulation of the establishment of new seedlings under its canopy (Singh et al. 2010). Chemicals can enter the soil under the canopy through foliar leaching, root exudation, decomposition of plant tissues, or volatilization (Inderjit & Nilsen 2003, Lipinska & Harkot 2007). Thus, in this particular case, the donor and recipient of allelochemicals was the same plant. This process could strengthen the competitiveness of *A. dealbata* by minimizing intraspecific competition.

In summary, this study is the first approach known (combining morphometric, morphological, phenological and chemical studies) to explain the early death and absence of new *A. dealbata* plants from the gamic reproduction plants under its own canopy. The bioassay under controlled conditions allowed us to determine that most of the tested plant parts inhibited germination and the early seedling growth. All plant parts caused morphological alterations at the radicle level that compromise the plant survival in the short term. Particularly, the pods caused the most autotoxicity and dominated the plant material that had been accumulated under the *A. dealbata* canopy for almost all of the phenological cycle. The bioactivity of the pods in natural
conditions was confirmed by the morphological changes shown in radicles of the _A. dealbata_ seedlings developed in natural conditions (taken from under the _A. dealbata_ canopy). These facts are consistent with the identification of several compounds in the soil under the canopy, especially sterols, which are very similar in the chemical structure to the stigmasterol detected in flowers and pods of this invasive species. Such compounds, like others, were highly bioactive in previous studies (Aguilera 2015, Aguilera et al. 2015d). Therefore, the fact that _A. dealbata_ can release autotoxic compounds throughout its phenological cycle can help regulate intraspecific competition under its canopy. This phenomenon has ecological relevance to the invasive process of _A. dealbata_ in its non-native range, because it improves the adult plant’s performance and competitive capability. Focused studies will be needed to find links between the compounds present in the plant and the dynamics of the same after being released into the soil to better clarify this complex relationship of plant-soil-plant from the perspective of chemical ecology.
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