Histometric Study of Alveolar Bone in Rats Submitted to Ethanol During Lactation
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SUMMARY: The present work studied the adverse effects of maternal exposure of rats to alcohol during lactation, on the development of their offspring. Histometric evaluation by karyometry and of the alveolar bone at the level of the first upper molar of the sucking was performed. Two groups of animals, one coming from mothers exposed to drinking water containing 20% ethanol during the total lactation period and the other of controls coming from mothers receiving only alcohol-free drinking water during this period. On the 21 first day of lactation the young of each group were aleatorily selected and following anesthesia, their heads severed; after histological treatment, serial 6 µm sections on the frontal plane at the molar level, stained with hematoxilin and eosin, were obtained. The experimental results produced, suggest that sucking from ethanol-treated mothers, show retarded post-natal growth, their alveolar bones presenting scarce, little calcified trabeculae, and a more abundant bone marrow compared to controls.
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INTRODUCTION

In Latin America, consumption of alcoholic beverages by the feminine sex has been increasing dramatically over the last twenty years (Veazie & Smith, 2000), being considered one of its most serious public health problems. Complications at somatic and psychic levels, besides profound repercussion on the social environment are involved (Faustino & Stipp, 2003).

In Brazil, preliminary data raised by the Federal University of São Paulo, verified a 6.6% prevalence of alcoholism in the population of 24 counties of the State of S. Paulo, with male predominance, but of 19.16% of the female population (Burgos et al., 2004).

In women, compared to men, alcohol reaches higher concentrations in the blood, and is absorbed in higher amounts due to the larger amount of their body fat and lesser amount of body liquid. Therefore, it is more harmful in women of similar corporal mass. These consumption patterns refer to non-pregnant women; in this condition, total abstinence from alcohol is recommended (Hochgraf et al., 1995).

During pregnancy, alcohol may cause serious physical and mental deficiencies in the fetus, as well as a predisposition for its consumption in adults (Grant, 1997). Drinking during pregnancy is the major known cause of congenital anomalies. Fetal alcohol syndrome, one of the major consequences of its utilization during that period, occurs in about 2.2 of every 1000 living newborn, accounting for a specific pattern of congenital malformations that include delayed pre-and postnatal growth, face dimorphism, ptosis, strabismus, short lip fissures, member anomalies, nervous system dysfunction (Clarren & Smith, 1978); microcephaly, cardiac defects (Jones et al., 1973; Jones & Smith, 1975; Hanson et al., 1976; Peiffer et al., 1979), and of neural tube (Goldstein & Arulanantham, 1978) and renal anomalies (DeBeukelaer et al., 1977; Goldstein & Arulanantham; Peiffer et al.)
Since 1983, according to Mennella & Beauchamp (1993), alcohol has been considered a drug that following ingestion, passes into maternal milk demanding its abolition during the whole lactation period. An alert has been made about the precautions necessary concerning the potential of the exposure to alcohol excreted in mother milk: in special since studies by Little et al. (1989), evidenced that alcoholic mothers do not modify their habit of its consuming alcoholic beverages during lactation.

The chronic exposure to ethanol during the lactation period, induces significant metabolic disturbances in mothers, that include alterations in mammary gland function leading to decreased total milk production, and of milk having an increased lipid content (Vilaró et al., 1987). Its continuous ingestion during this phase, alters milk chemical composition (Sanchis & Guerri, 1986; Viñas et al., 1987) resulting in the appearance of ethanol and acetaldehyde (Sanchis & Guerri) and exacerbating ethanol effects on the newborn (Guerri et al., 1984).

Do Carmo et al. (1999) observed that maternal alcohol ingestion decreases milk production, leading to serious malnutrition in the rat offspring, partly compensated by the increased lipid content of milk that leads to metabolic adaptations preventing severe hypoglycemia and maintenance of minimal levels of hepatic glycogen.

Keeping in mind that lactation is an important, vulnerable period and that maternal exposure to alcohol during this phase, may lead to adverse effects to the developing offspring, it is the aim of this work to histometrically (by karyometry and stereology), evaluate the alveolar bone at the level of the first upper molar of the young rats that had been submitted to the effects of ethanol during lactation.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Ten female albino Wistar rats, weighing on an average 200 g, together with 80 cubs from various litters, were placed in individual cages receiving solid food and water *ad libitum*. They were aleatorily divided into two groups:

Group 1 was made up of 5 rats that received 20% ethanol in their drinking water, during the whole lactation period (21 days), plus 8 young; group 2, contained the same number of rats, but only water in their drinking vessels during an identical period of time.

On the 21st day of lactation, 5 young from each group were aleatorily selected making up the treated and controls of this study, respectively. They were weighted on a precision balance and sacrificed by an anesthetic overdose (injection of 3% Hypnold).

The heads of the young were separated from their bodies and following histological treatment, serial 6 µm slices starting at the frontal plane at the molars, dyed with hematoxylin-eosin.

For histometry, 50 nuclei of osteoblasts and 50 osteocytes of each selected animal, were evaluated with an optical microscope with camera lucida (Nikon), and a 1000-fold final magnification; elliptical structures were contoured on white sulfite paper with a black, number 2 pencil. Following the determination of the major (D) and minor (d) diameters with a millimeter ruler, the karyometric parameters: mean geometric diameter, ratios between longest to shortest axis, perimeters, areas, volume to area ratios, eccentricity, shape factor and contours indexes, were obtained (Sala et al., 1994).

The same material was submitted to stereology, by means of an optical microscope with a camera lucida and a preconized Merz (1967) grid application A projection of 20 different fields of 100 points each, totaling 2000 points for each component of the groups was performed. By this technique, the following parameters could be obtained: cytoplasmic volumes, cell volumes, ratios of nucleus to cytoplasm, and numerical cell density (Delesse, 1848; Chalkley, 1943; Tomkeieff, 1945; Weibel, 1969; Sala et al.; 1992).

For statistical comparisons between the morphometric results from treated and control groups respectively, the non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test was utilized.

**RESULTS**

Table I shows the comparison and the statistical analysis between the young of control (C) and ethanol treated (T) rats.

This Table permits the recognition of the statistically significant reduction of the body weights of the group of treated animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>p(U)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>34.86g</td>
<td>20.20g</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II shows the statistical analysis of the comparison between the osteoblasts of control (C) and ethanol treated (T) rats.

It can be observed that of all the karyometric parameters presented, the ten which were statistically different from the control group were: major, minor and mean diameters (µm), major-minor diameter ratio, volume (µm³), area (µm²), perimeter (µm) and the volume-area ratio, eccentricity and contour index. Conversely, the only data that did not show statistically significant differences were those of the shape factor.

Table II. Mean values for major, minor and mean diameters (µm), ratio between major and minor diameter, volume (µm³), area (µm²), perimeter (µm), volume-area ratio, eccentricity, shape factor and contour index for osteoblast nuclei of control (C) and ethanol treated animals (T). Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>p(U)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major diameter</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor diameter</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diameter</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major-minor diameter ratio</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>70.76</td>
<td>43.77</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>2**</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>2**</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume-area ratio</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2**</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape factor</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>12ns</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour index</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table III, mean differences in nuclear parameters of osteocytes are demonstrated for control (C) and treated (T) groups as well as the statistical analysis.

Table III. Mean values for major, minor and mean diameter (µm), and their ratio, volume (µm³), area (µm²), perimeter (µm), volume-area ratio, eccentricity, shape factor and contour index for osteocytes nuclei of control (C) and ethanol treated animals (T). Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>p(U)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major diameter</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor diameter</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diameter</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major-minor diameter ratio</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>6ns</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>110.14</td>
<td>47.54</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter</td>
<td>18.54</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume-area ratio</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>8ns</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape factor</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>5ns</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour index</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>5ns</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be observed that, of all the karyometric parameters presented, the seven which were statistically different from the control group were: major, minor and mean diameters (µm), volume (µm³), area (µm²), perimeter (µm) and volume-area ratio. The four that did not show statistically significant differences were: major-minor diameter ratios, eccentricity, shape factor and contour index.

Table IV demonstrates stereological parameters detected in this experiment.

The stereological analysis of the osteocytes of the young of control (C) and ethanol treated rats (T), respectively, demonstrated on Table IV, reveal that the cytoplasm volume (µm³), the cell volume (µm³) and the numerical cell density (n° of cells/µm³) presented statistically significant differences in the comparison between the groups, in contrast to the relation nucleus/cytoplasm when the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test was applied.

Table V demonstrates the percent mean values of the structure of the alveolar bone of animals belonging to the control and treated groups, respectively.

It can be detected from the table, that treated animals – exempting the bone medulla, that presented a percentage value higher than the controls – bone parameters were reduced when statistically compared with the control groups.

DISCUSSION

Although Aristotle had already observed that alcohol-addicted women, gave birth to children that like their mothers, were taciturn and indolent (Burton, 1866); however, only following isolated observations of Le Moine et al. in France in 1968 and Jones et al., in the United State in 1973, that the teratogenicity of maternal alcoholism was solidly established (Le Moine et al.).

The consumption of alcoholic beverages by sucklings began to attract the attention of
researchers over the recent decades, with significant findings related to sucklings and milk-producers. Such beverages, considered by the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW), as anaesthetic and sedative drugs, are transferred to mother milk following a consumption of 1g/kg/day (Abel, 1964, 1974, 1978; Abel & Dintcheff, 1978).

Thus, the present work demonstrates, using histometry in a unprecedented manner, the alteration caused by ethanol through maternal milk, on the alveolar bone at th first molar level, in rat offspring.

Concerning mean body weights of the young animals, (20.20g) it was demonstrated that in those treated, it was reduced when compared to the controls (a<0.01).

This result agrees with that obtained by Abel, who in 1974, had observed that suckling, whose mother drank alcohol, showed significantly lower growth levels compared to controls, and by Collard & Chen, 1973 (mentioned by Abel, 1974) who verified that injections of ethanol in milk-producing mice delayed growth of their young.

Oyama et al., (2000) observed that maternal ingestion of ethanol, affected offspring development in a dose-dependent way. At 10 or 20% in drinking water it caused a decrease of body weight, a result however not noted when 5% ethanol was tested.

Thus, the present work demonstrates, using histometry in a unprecedented manner, the alteration caused by ethanol through maternal milk, on the alveolar bone at th first molar level, in rat offspring.

Concerning mean body weights of the young animals, (20.20g) it was demonstrated that in those treated, it was reduced when compared to the controls (a<0.01).

This result agrees with that obtained by Abel, who in 1974, had observed that suckling, whose mother drank alcohol, showed significantly lower growth levels compared to controls, and by Collard & Chen, 1973 (mentioned by Abel, 1974) who verified that injections of ethanol in milk-producing mice delayed growth of their young.

Table IV. Mean values of nucleus-cytoplasm ratios, cytoplasm volumes (mm³), cell volume (mm³), numerical cellular density (n/mm³) of osteocytes of control rats (C) and ethanol treated rats (T). Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>p(U)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus-cytoplasm ratio</td>
<td>0.0943</td>
<td>0.1341</td>
<td>12 *=</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasm volume</td>
<td>1106.55</td>
<td>454.77</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell volume</td>
<td>1216.68</td>
<td>502.32</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical cell density</td>
<td>839688.1</td>
<td>2667655.2</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V. Percent average values of the structure of the upper first molar alveolar bone of control (C) and ethanol treated rats (T) during lactation. Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>p(U)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteocyte nucleus</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4**</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocyte cytoplasm</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medulla</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. Mean values of nucleus-cytoplasm ratios, cytoplasm volumes (mm³), cell volume (mm³), numerical cellular density (n/mm³) of osteocytes of control rats (C) and ethanol treated rats (T). Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

Table V. Percent average values of the structure of the upper first molar alveolar bone of control (C) and ethanol treated rats (T) during lactation. Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

A plausible explanation of these findings, based on two mechanisms of action of ethanol: a direct one on the tissues, or an indirect one, due to the poor nutrition afforded in these cases.

From the point of view of the direct action, many studies have demonstrated that ethanol or its metabolite acetaldehyde, alter protein synthesis, inhibiting its transport and secretion by the liver (Lieber, 1983, 1985). Other studies have indicated that these compounds act at the microtubule level (Matsuda et al., 1979; Baraona et al., 1984). This microtubule alteration is associated with the intracellular accumulation of secretory vesicles and with a general distension of the endoplasmic cisterns (Feldman & Maurice, 1977). Although the liver is the major organ responsible for ethanol oxidation, many alterations produced by the ingestion of ethanol were observed in other tissues, for example, poor functioning of the cardiovascular system, alcoholic myopathy, etc. (Klatsky, 1982).

On the aspect of nutritional alteration, continuous ingestion of alcohol during lactation, alters the chemical composition of milk (Sanchis & Guerri; Viñas et al.), resulting in the appearance of ethanol and acetaldehyde in milk (Guerri & Sanchis, 1986), and exacerbating the effects of ethanol in the newborn (Guerri et al.). Jones & Stewart (1984) observed a decreased gland weight, as well as histological alterations of fat and epithelial cells of mammary tissue of rats receiving ethanol in their drinking water. Such
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RESUMEN: Considerando que la lactancia es un período importante y vulnerable, y que la exposición materna al alcohol durante esta fase puede provocar efectos adversos en las crías en desarrollo, el propósito del presente trabajo es evaluar histométricamente (mediante cariometría y estereología), el hueso alveolar a nivel del primer molar superior, de ratas lactantes sometidas a los efectos del etanol. Con esa finalidad utilizamos dos grupos, uno que recibió etanol al 20% en el bebedero, durante todo el período de lactancia (21días), y otro que recibió solo agua. En el 21º día de lactancia, 5 ratas de cada grupo fueron aleatoriamente seleccionadas. Después de sacrificados, los animales fueron fijados en formol al 10%. Las cabezas, separadas de los cuerpos, fueron incluidas en parafina, y cortadas seriadamente con 6 µm de grosor, a partir de un plano frontal al nivel de los molares, y teñidas con hematoxilina y eosina. Basados en los resultados presentes, es posible concluir que el etanol al 20% en el agua de beber, administrado a ratas lactantes, provoca los siguientes efectos: reducción significativa del peso corporal, alteraciones de las trabéculas, con una medula abundante; a menor y densidad num
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