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SUMMARY: The dorsalis pedis artery (DPA) is the largest blood vessel distal to the ankle joint. It is the continuation of the anterior tibial artery (ATA) and runs along the dorsum of the foot until the 1st intermetatarsal space. The DPA gives rise to five branches, viz. medial tarsal, lateral tarsal, arcuate, deep plantar and dorsal metatarsal arteries. Given the vast blood supply provided by the DPA, in the current era of microvascular surgery, the anatomy of the DPA is of increasing interest to anatomists, surgeons and angiographers. The aim of this study was to outline the course, origin, branching patterns and possible variations of the DPA. The present study included the dissection of forty (n=40) cadaveric specimens of the lower limb region (Left: 25; Right: 15). The origin, course and branching patterns of the artery were studied. These morphological parameters were further analysed with regard to laterality to determine if a correlation existed. The Pearson Chi-square test was employed and a p value of less than 0.05 was deemed statistically significant. Although the DPA was present in 97.5 % of cases, it followed the standard anatomical description in only 42.5 % of cases. The DPA originated from the peroneal artery in 5 % of cases. In 25 % of cases, DPA deviated laterally. Variation in the branching pattern of the DPA, which was recorded in 50 % of cases, was further classified according Types 1 to 6. The findings of this study correlated closely with most previous studies. However, the incidence of lateral deviation of the DPA was higher in this study as well as the incidence of Type 1 variation in branching pattern. Additionally, this study proposes a novel variation in branching pattern which has been termed Type 6, which displays a recurrent branch of the Type 5 variation. The DPA has an important role in a clinical setting since the DPA flap is employed in reconstructive surgeries and peripheral circulation may be assessed by the palpation of the DPA pulse. Therefore, a thorough understanding of the anatomy of the DPA is of prime importance to podiatrists, surgeons, anatomists and angiographers.
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INTRODUCTION

As the largest artery distal to the ankle joint, the dorsalis pedis artery (DPA) is the chief artery of the foot (Standring et al., 2016). The DPA is the downward continuation of the anterior tibial artery (ATA) at the level of the ankle joint and courses obliquely along the dorsum of the foot to reach the 1st intermetatarsal space (Standring et al.). At this point, the DPA passes inferiorly between the two heads of the 1st interosseous muscle to complete the plantar arterial arch (Kelikian & Sarrafian, 2011; Standring et al.).

Along its course, the DPA gives rise to the medial tarsal, lateral tarsal, arcuate, deep plantar and 1st dorsal metatarsal arteries. This standard anatomic description has been recorded by many authors (Vazquez et al., 2006; Kelikian & Sarrafian; Moore et al., 2014; Standring et al.). However, Standring et al. noted the variant nature of the DPA with regard to its course, origin and branching pattern. Results of recent studies by Vijayalakshmi et al. (2011), Kulkarni & Ramesh (2012), Rajeshwari et al. (2013) and Kumari & Bharti (2016) corroborated this statement. These authors have only identified the standard anatomy of the DPA in 56 %, 15.2 %, 55 % and 73 % of cases, respectively.

El-Saeed et al. (2008) recorded a variation in the origin of the DPA where the peroneal artery gave rise to the DPA. This variation has been recorded by many authors (Vijayalakshmi et al.; Kulkarni & Ramesh; Rajeshwari et al.; Shetty et al., 2013; Kumari & Bharti; Cheung et al., 2017).

Variation in the course of the DPA, such as a lateral deviation of it has been recorded by Vijayalakshmi et al., Awari & Vatsalaswamy (2016), Kumari & Bharti and Vengadesan & Pushpalatha (2017).
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Furthermore, variations in the standard branching pattern of the DPA have been recorded by El-Saeed et al., Kulkarni & Ramesh and Kumari & Bharti. Knowledge of the branching pattern of the DPA is invaluable to the surgeon during preoperative vascular mapping of the foot (Chow et al., 2005). It may prevent iatrogenic injury and increase the success rate of podiatric surgery.

Myocutaneous flaps of the DPA have become an integral component in reconstructive surgeries of the hand, eye socket and pharyngocutaneous fistulae (Mamatha et al., 2014; Kulkarni & Ramesh). The viability of the flap is solely dependent on the degree of vascularity of this vessel (Kulkarni & Ramesh). An additional clinical implication of the DPA is the use of the DPA pulse for the assessment of peripheral arterial perfusion (Mowlavi et al., 2002; Vijayalakshmi et al.; Kulkarni & Ramesh). Presence of the DPA pulse rules out circulatory disease, whereas its absence may be indicative of occluded vessels.

This study aimed to investigate the anatomy of the DPA by outlining its origin, course and branching patterns.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

A sample of forty adult cadaveric feet (n=40) were dissected at the Discipline of Clinical Anatomy, University of KwaZulu-Natal in accordance with the National Health Act no 61 of 2003. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (BE302/17).

All specimens with previous ankle surgery, fractures, dislocations or any other macroscopic evidence of pathology were excluded from this study.

The dissection procedure employed an anterior approach to the dorsum of the foot (Tank & Grant, 2012). The skin of the dorsum of the foot was removed and fascia reflected to expose the tendons of the tibialis anterior, extensor hallucis longus (EHL) and extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles. The DPA was then identified in a neurovascular bundle, between the proximal part of the tendons of the EHL and EDL (Tank & Grant).

This was a descriptive study where the frequencies of the origin, course and branching pattern of the DPA were recorded and these parameters were then correlated with laterality. Due to the absence of detailed cadaver records; the age, sex and population group of the specimens were not documented.

The statistical analysis was performed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 21.0. The Pearson Chi-square test evaluated the level of statistical significance (if any), with a p value of less than 0.05 deemed to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Incidence.** The DPA was identified in 97.5 % of cases (Right: 35 %; Left: 62.5 %) (Table I).

**Course.** The DPA was found to pass from the midpoint between the malleoli to the proximal end of the 1st intermetatarsal space in 42.5 % of the sample size (Fig. 1, Table I). In addition, the standard anatomical course, where the DPA passed from the midpoint of the bimalleolar axis to the 1st intermetatarsal space, was also exhibited in 12.5 % and 30 % of feet on the right and left sides, respectively (Table I).

The variant course of the DPA, where it crossed the lateral malleolus and passed forward along the lateral dorsum of the foot to reach the proximal end of the 1st intermetatarsal space, was recorded in 25 % of cases (Right: 7.5 %; Left: 17.5 %) (Fig. 2, Table I).

**Origin.** The DPA arose from the peroneal artery and passed to the 1st intermetatarsal space in 97.5 % of cases (Right: 7.5 %; Left: 30 %) (Fig. 3, Table I).

**Branching Pattern.** The anomalous course of the DPA was observed in 50 % of cases (Table I). These variations were classified into 6 Types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Presence (%)</th>
<th>Standard Anatomical Course (%)</th>
<th>Anomalous Course (%)</th>
<th>Anomalous Origin (%)</th>
<th>Anomalous Branching pattern (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II. Frequency of variations of the DPA %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Sample Size (n)</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>Standard Course (%)</th>
<th>Anomalous Course (%)</th>
<th>Anomalous Origin (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El-Saeed et al. (2008)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vijayakshi &amp; Ramesh (2011)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulkarni &amp; Ramesh (2012)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>57.60</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajeshwari et al. (2016)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>90.48</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar &amp; Bharti (2016)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vengadesan &amp; Prashanthala (2017)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>97.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study (2017)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>97.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Standard course of the DPA: Dissection (A) and corresponding schematic diagram (B) (Anterior view; right foot).

Key: A- Dorsalis pedis artery; B- lateral tarsal artery; C- Medial tarsal artery; D- Distal; D1- 1st dorsal metatarsal artery; D2- 2nd dorsal metatarsal artery; D3- 3rd dorsal metatarsal artery; D4- 4th dorsal metatarsal artery; E- Arcuate artery; L- Lateral; M- Medial; P- Proximal

Fig. 2. Anomalous course of the DPA: Dissection (A) and corresponding schematic diagram (B) (Anterior view; left foot).

Key: A- Dorsalis pedis artery; D- Distal; D1- 1st dorsal metatarsal artery; D2- 2nd dorsal metatarsal artery; D3- 3rd dorsal metatarsal artery; D4- 4th dorsal metatarsal artery; E- Arcuate artery; L- Lateral; M- Medial; P- Proximal

Fig. 3. Anomalous origin of the DPA: Dissection (A) and corresponding schematic diagram (B) (Anterior view; right foot).

Key: A- Dorsalis pedis artery; D1- 1st dorsal metatarsal artery; D- Distal; L- Lateral; M- Medial; P- Peroneal artery; PR- Proximal
Type 1. The arcuate artery was absent in 32.5\% of cases (Fig. 4a).

Type 2. The DPA gave rise to the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} DMA in 10\% of cases (Fig. 4b).

Type 3. The DPA gave rise to the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} DMA and the LTA gave rise to the 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} DMA in 7.5\% of specimens (Fig. 4c).

Type 4. The 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} DMA arose from a large LTA in 5\% of cases (Fig. 4d).

Type 5. The “U-shaped loop” was found in 5\% of specimens (Fig. 4e).

Type 6. The “U-shaped loop” with a recurrent branch occurred in 2.5\% of cases (Fig. 4f).

No statistically significant differences were recorded for the comparison of the morphological parameters with laterality.

DISCUSSION

Upon intricate dissection of 40 cadaveric specimens, the DPA was present in 97.5\% of cases (Table I). In 2012, Kulkarni & Ramesh found the DPA in 57.6\% of cases which is significantly lower than the result of
the present study (Table II). On the other hand, the findings of Rajeshwari et al. and Kumari & Bharti were very similar to this study with an incidence of 90.48 % and 97.5 %, respectively (Table II). The absence of the DPA may result in clinical misdiagnosis as an indicator of peripheral arterial perfusion, since the absence of the DPA pulse may instead be interpreted as an occluded vessel (Kulkarni & Ramesh). Therefore, Vijayalakshmi et al. suggested confirmation by angiography before treatment is administered.

The present study recorded the standard course of the DPA as arising from the ATA, at the level of the ankle joint, situated in the midline of the ankle. The vessel then passed obliquely along the dorsum of the foot to reach the first intermetatarsal space (Fig. 1). The DPA followed this standard course in 42.5 % of cases in this study (Fig. 1, Table I). Although, slightly decreased in prevalence, the results of this study were similar to that of Vijayalakshmi et al. and Rajeshwari et al. who noted incidences of 56 % and 54.76 %, respectively (Table II). On the other hand, Vengadesan & Pushpalatha documented a much higher frequency in the prevalence of the standard anatomical course of the DPA of 90 % (Table II).

This study recorded a lateral deviation in the course of the DPA in 25 % of cases (Fig. 2, Table I). However, in previous studies this was found with consistently lesser frequencies as Vijayalakshmi et al., Awari & Vatsalaswamy and Vengadesan & Pushpalatha recorded it in 4 %, 4 % and 5 % of cases, respectively (Table II).

The DPA pulse is commonly used in a clinical setting for the evaluation of peripheral circulation. Mowlavi et al. suggested the dorsal most prominence of the navicular bone as a bony landmark for the palpation of the DPA pulse. However, the present study found cases where the DPA was deviated laterally. In these cases palpation at this landmark may indicate absence of pulse and disease, whereas it is an anatomical variation. This further emphasises the need for clinicians to be aware of such a variation and the frequency with which it occurs.

The present study concurred with the findings of Awari & Vatsalaswamy and Vengadesan & Pushpalatha as the DPA arose from the peroneal artery in 5 % of specimens only (Fig. 3, Table I). Furthermore, Shetty et al. stated that in cases where the ATA is congenitally absent or hypoplastic, the peroneal artery directly supplied the distal arterial distribution area of the ATA.

Khaki et al. (2006) defined anatomical variation as the normal range in topography and morphology of the body structures. Cheung et al. suggested that as blood vessels are formed during the 3rd and 4th weeks of embryonic development, abnormal fusions or the regression and divergence of some vessels may result in variable arterial distribution.

In 50 % of specimens in this study, variations in branching patterns of the DPA were observed (Table I). These were classified as:

**Type 1:** As the DPA coursed along the dorsum of the foot, it failed to give rise to the arcuate artery. This study found the arcuate artery to be completely absent in 32.5 % of cases (Fig. 4a). Similarly, Vengadesan & Pushpalatha recorded this in 40 %; however, Rajeshwari et al. only found this in 16.67 % of cases (Table II). Mamatha et al. stated that in the absence of the arcuate artery, the LTA and branches of the plantar arterial arch supply the 2nd to 4th metatarsal spaces (Table II). The absence of the arcuate artery may be a contraindication of DPA flap surgery as the viability of the flap is solely dependent on the vascularity of the DPA (Kulkarni & Ramesh). The absence of the arcuate artery will imply the absence of the 2nd-4th DMA as well thus compromising the viability of the flap (Kulkarni & Ramesh). Consequently, knowledge of the incidence of Type 1 variation is essential to the foot surgeon (Kulkarni & Ramesh).

**Type 2:** Superior to the base of the second metatarsal, the DPA gave rise to 1st and 2nd DMA only. This occurred in 10 % of cases in the present investigation and is the same as the result of El-Saeed et al. (Fig. 4b, Table II). In 2013, Rajeshwari et al. recorded this with a slightly higher incidence of 14.29 % (Table II). It is hypothesized that the 3rd and 4th DMA may arise from the plantar arterial arch in this case (Mamatha et al.).

**Type 3:** The DPA gave rise to the 1st and 2nd DMA while the 3rd and 4th DMA arose from the LTA. This only occurred in 7.5 % of specimens in the present study and Rajeshwari et al. recorded it in 2.38 % of specimens (Fig. 4c, Table II).

**Type 4:** The 2nd, 3rd and 4th DMA arose from a large LTA, while the DPA only gave rise to the 1st DMA at the level of the base of the 1st intermetatarsal space (Fig. 4d). This occurred in 5 % of cases and is similar to the findings of El-Saeed et al. (10 %), Kulkarni & Ramesh (9.1 %), Rajeshwari et al. (10 %) and Kumari & Bharti (5 %) (Table II). Conversely, Awari & Vatsalaswamy found this with a higher frequency in 40 % of specimens (Table II).

**Type 5:** The U-shaped loop variation was found in 5 %
of specimens (Fig. 4e). In these cases, a proximal and
distal LTA was present and both ran obliquely and laterally
to join and form a loop. The 2nd, 3rd and 4th DMA arose
from this loop and the DPA course to the 1st intermetatarsal
space and gave rise to the 1st DMA. This variation is rare,
as Rajeshwari et al. only observed this in 2.8 % of cases
(Table II).

Type 6: U-shaped loop with recurrent branch was observed
in 2.5 % of cases (Fig. 4f, Table II). This variation is unique
to the present study and presented with a proximal and
distal LTA which both coursed laterally to the level of the
base of the 5th metatarsal where they joined to form a loop
and gave rise to the 2nd, 3rd and 4th DMA. Additionally,
a recurrent branch was found proximally joining the two
LTA. The recurrent branch was not previously recorded.
This has a profound surgical implication as the knowledge
of this additional branch may aid surgeons to prevent
iatrogenic injury to the vascular supply of the foot.
Additionally, the presence of the recurrent branch may
improve the viability of the DPA flap in cases of other
arterial variations of the DPA, as it may aid to the
vascularity of the flap.

The morphological parameters investigated in this
study (course, origin and branching pattern), were analysed
to determine if a correlation with laterality existed.
Statistical analysis revealed no significant p values. This
may be attributed to a low sample size and unequal numbers
of left and right feet. In addition, the present study was
unable to warrant bilateral equivalence due to the low
numbers of specimens available for dissection.

For future investigation into the anatomy of the DPA
and to determine a correlation with laterality, it is suggested
that bilateral equivalence is ensured and that cadaveric
records of all specimens are present and available for
analysis with regard to age, sex and population group.

CONCLUSION

This study described the anatomy of the DPA by
outlining its course, origin and branching pattern.
Variations in these parameters were recorded, with the
prevalence of branching patterns Types 1 to 6. The addition
of the Type 6 branching pattern is unique to this study and
was not previously recorded. Knowledge of these variations
is of prime importance to clinicians in the diagnosis of
peripheral arterial disease, to surgeons with regard to
reconstructive DPA flap surgery as well as to angiographers
to ensure the accurate interpretation of imaging studies.
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RESUMEN: La arteria dorsal del pie (ADP) es el vaso sanguíneo más grande distal a la articulación del tobillo. Es la con-
tinuación de la arteria tibial anterior (ATA) y se extiende a lo largo
del dorso del pie hasta el primer espacio metatarsiano. La ADP da
lugar a cinco ramas: a. tarsalis medialis, a. tarsalis lateralis, a.
arcuata, a. plantaris profunda y aa. metatarsales dorsales. Dado
el vasto suministro de sangre proporcionado por la ADP, en la era
actual de la cirugía microvascular, la anatómía de la ADP es de
creciente interés para los anatomistas, cirujanos y expertos en
angiografía. El objetivo de este estudio fue delinear el curso, ori-
gen, patrones de ramificación y las posibles variaciones de la ADP.
El presente estudio incluyó la disección de cuarenta (n = 40) mue-
stras cadavéricas del miembro inferior (izquierda: 25; derecha: 15).
Se estudian los patrones de origen, curso y ramificación de la
arteria. Estos parámetros morfológicos se analizaron
adicionando con respecto a la lateralidad para determinar si
existía una correlación. Se empleó la prueba Chi-cuadrado de
Pearson y se consideró estadísticamente significativo un valor de
p de menos de 0,05. Aunque la ADP estuvo presente en el 97,5 %
de los casos, siguió la descripción anatómica estándar en solo el
42,5 % de los casos. La ADP se originó en la arteria fibular en el 5
% de los casos. En el 25 % de los casos, la ADP se desvió lateral-
mente. La variación en el patrón de ramificación de la ADP, que se
registró en el 50 % de los casos, se clasificó según los tipos 1 a 6.
Los hallazgos de este estudio se correlacionaron estrechamente con
la mayoría de los estudios previos. Sin embargo, la incidencia de
desviación lateral de la ADP fue mayor en este estudio, así como la
incidencia de la variación del tipo 1 en el patrón de ramificación.
Además, este estudio propone una nueva variación en el patrón
de ramificación que se ha denominado Tipo 6, que muestra una rama
recurrente de la variación Tipo 5. La ADP tiene un papel importan-
te en la clínica, ya que el colgajo de la ADP se emplea en cirugías
reconstructivas y la circulación periférica se puede evaluar me-
diante la palpación del pulso de la ADP. Por lo tanto, una com-
prensión profunda de la anatómía de la ADP es de vital importan-
tia para los podólogos, cirujanos, anatomistas y en la angiografía.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Arteria dorsal del pie; Anatomía arterial; Colgajo dorsal del pie; Pulso dorsal del pie.
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