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ABSTRACT: Antibody levels to some periodontal pathogens are associated with enhanced levels of inflammatory markers. The purpose of the current study was to examine the relative contribution of serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) subclass antibody level factors and local factors on the probing pocket depth in chronic periodontitis. Serum samples were taken from 444 patients diagnosed with moderate and severe periodontitis and 223 control subjects. The IgG subclass antibody titers to Porphyromonas gingivalis (Pg), Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (Aa) and Tanerella forsythia (Tf) using indirect immunoassay (ELISA) were determined. The relative contribution of patient, tooth and site-associated parameters on the probing pocket depth were evaluated with a hierarchical multilevel model. The results indicated that periodontitis patients had detectable levels of IgG1 and IgG2. High IgG1 and IgG2 antibody levels against Aa occurred in 132 and 142 periodontitis patients, respectively. High IgG1 and IgG2 antibody levels against Pg occurred in 141 and 138, periodontitis patients, respectively, and High IgG1 and IgG2 antibody levels against Tf occurred in 121 and 136 periodontitis patients, respectively. The majority of the variance was attributed to the site level (48%). The multilevel analysis associated deeper probing depth with subject factors (serum IgG1 and IgG2 antibody to Pg and Aa), tooth factors (tooth type), and site factors (mesial-distal location and bleeding on probing). Elevated serum IgG1 and IgG2 antibody to Pg and Aa (subject factors) reflects destructive periodontal disease status.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic periodontitis is the consequence of interactions between specific subgingival bacterial species and multilateral host responses to the infection. Additionally, it has been shown that antibody levels to some periodontal pathogens are associated with enhanced levels of inflammatory markers and incidence of cardiovascular diseases (Holmlund et al., 2011; Rams et al., 2006). The assessment of these antibodies in longitudinal studies also suggests a relationship between this measure of the host response and disease progression at both subject and site levels (Kinane et al., 1999). These findings sustain the
Porphyromonas gingivalis prevalence of several Gram-negative species, including periodontitis have been related with the increased progressive attachment loss in established chronic (Taubman subgroup of subjects or individual subject basis between antibody levels and disease activity on a longitudinal study indicated strong relationships disease severity (Sakai that elevated antibody levels are correlated with progression and a majority of the studies concluded are associated with destructive periodontal disease used to support identification of microbial species that actinomycetemcomitans causing a major dilution of the disease progression tended to fail due to the large number of inactive sites in identifying the determinants of this progression have use means of full mouth measurements of the subjects destruction or protection is still not clear. Attempts to identify periodontal disease activity and to predict the occurrence of disease progression for a site or subject by means of clinical methods of evaluation. Consequently, it is not necessary for risk factors to play the equivalent role in predicting disease progression both at the site and subject level (Tu et al., 2004).

Sites that show increasing pocket depth and progressive attachment loss in established chronic periodontitis have been related with the increased prevalence of several Gram-negative species, including Porphyromonas gingivalis, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans and Tannerella forsythia. Serum antibody to periodontal pathogens has been used to support identification of microbial species that are associated with destructive periodontal disease progression and a majority of the studies concluded that elevated antibody levels are correlated with disease severity (Sakai et al., 2001).

A variety of clinical courses of infectious disease seen may be a consequence of the particular biological properties of a certain immunoglobulin G (IgG) subclass (Sakai et al., 2001). Protein antigens generally produce IgG1 whereas LPS and polysaccharide antigens tend to stimulate IgG2 response (Sakai et al., 2001). A longitudinal study indicated strong relationships between antibody levels and disease activity on a subgroup of subjects or individual subject basis (Taubman et al. 1992). However, the precise function of each IgG subclass antibody in periodontal destruction or protection is still not clear. Attempts to use means of full mouth measurements of the subjects in identifying the determinants of this progression have tended to fail due to the large number of inactive sites causing a major dilution of the disease progression (Haffajee & Socransky, 1986).

Application of multilevel analysis, which takes the clustering effect of periodontal research data into consideration, may supply a more precise elucidation of the accepted hierarchical structure of the clinical findings of periodontitis and variables on the observed microbiologic-immunological association.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate, using a multilevel analysis, the relative contribution of P. gingivalis, A. actinomycetemcomitans and T. forsythia IgG subclass and other subject and local (tooth and site) factors on the probing pocket depth in subjects with chronic periodontitis.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Subjects. Six hundred and sixty seven adult patients (444 patients diagnosed with moderate and severe periodontitis, with at least 14 natural teeth; and 223 control-healthy subjects) who attended the dental clinics of the University of Antioquia, CES University, University of Valle, El Bosque University and Javeriana University were recruited from January 2009 to December 2011. Informed and written consent was obtained from each participant. The study design was approved by the Ethics Committee on Human Research of each University. Exclusion criteria included diabetes, autoimmune diseases, pregnant or nursing women, consumption of systemic antimicrobials, non-steroidal analgesics or anti-inflamatory drugs in the last six months, and periodontal therapy during the last six months.

Clinical Evaluation

Site parameters: Probing depth (PD) was measured at six sites per tooth (mesiobuccal, buccal, distobuccal, distolingual, lingual and mesiolingual) in all teeth excluding third molars using a calibrated standard probe (UNC-15, Hu-Friedy, Chicago, IL). Measurements for a given subject were made by a trained and calibrated clinician in each university. Gingival index (Loe & Silness. 1963), presence of plaque and bleeding on probing (BOP) were also assessed at each site. The intra-examiner and inter-examiner reproducibility were assessed before and during the study. The intra-class correlation coefficients for mean PD and clinical attachment level (CAL) were 0.85-0.95 and 0.82-0.90, respectively. The diagnosis of chronic periodontitis was made based on criteria defined at the workshop sponsored by the American Academy of Periodontology (AAP) (Armitage 1999).

Tooth parameters: A tooth type nominal variable was also included in the analysis (Molars-premolars=posterior teeth; incisors-canines=anterior teeth).

Subject parameters: age, sex, body-mass index
(BMI), presence of A. actinomycetemcomitans, P. gingivalis and T. forsythia, serum IgG1 and IgG2 antibody to P. gingivalis, A. actinomycetemcomitans and T. forsythia were included in the multilevel analysis.

**Microbial Sampling.** Microbial sampling on periodontitis patients was performed on pockets \( \geq 5 \) mm and the deepest six pockets were selected for sampling, whereas one site in each sextant was chosen for control subjects. After removing supragingival plaque with curettes and isolating the area with cotton pellets, the paper points (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) were inserted into each periodontal pocket for 20 seconds. The paper points were transferred to a sterile tube to obtain a pool of samples for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) processing and identification of P. gingivalis, A. actinomycetemcomitans, and T. forsythia.

PCR was performed as described by Ashimoto et al. (1996) and Saiki et al. (1988). The reaction took place in a final volume of 25 ml, from which 5 ml corresponding to the sample and 20 ml to the reaction mixture that contains buffer of PCR 1X (50mM KCl, 10 mMTris-HCl (pH 9.0 a 25°C), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1% of Triton®X-100), 1.25 U of Go Taq flexi DNA polymerase (Promega®), 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.1% buffer (pH 9.6) and incubated overnight. The plates were blocked for an hour to 37°C with 150 mL of solution of phosphate buffer (PBS, pH: 7.2) with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma), 1% milk and avidin (Avidin/Biotin Blocking Kit, Vector Labs). The sera were diluted 1/100 in PBS-Tween-BSA (1%)-biotin solution (Avidin/Biotin Blocking Kit, Vector Labs) and were incubated at 37°C for hour. Anti-IgG1- dilution 1:5000 (Mouse anti-Human IgG1, Invitrogen) or anti-IgG2 dilution 1:10000 (Mouse anti-Human IgG2, Sigma) in PBS-Tween-BSA (1%) solution, were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Streptavidin peroxidase (Invitrogen, USA) in a dilution 1/1500 dilution was incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Between each incubation period, three washes were carried out with 250 µl PBS-tween (0.05%) solution for 2 minutes in stirring (Multiwasher-MW2001, SUMA). Finally, 50 µl phosphate/citrate buffer solution (0.5 M, pH= 5) was added with o-Phenylenediamine (OPD-PIERCE) and a concentration of 1 mg/ml was added and activated with H₂O₂ for 5 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with a solution of sulphuric acid (2.5 M). Absorbance values were read at 490 nm (Lector StatFax2100 AWARENESS TECHNOLOGY INC). The concentration of IgG1 and IgG2 in the samples for each of the microorganisms was calculated by linear regression analysis with a known concentration curve (5 µg/ml - 0.152 µg/ml, dilution 1:2) of human immunoglobulin IgG1 o IgG2 (Sigma). Every sample was valued in triplicate.

As described by Rams et al. the 90th percentile of all ELISA A. actinomycetemcomitans, P. gingivalis and T. forsythia antibody measurements, determined by using an Excel 2010 spreadsheet (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA), served as a threshold for the identification of study subjects demonstrating high versus low serum IgG antibody levels to the three study organisms.

All microbiological and immunological laboratory procedures were performed blind, without knowledge of the clinical status of the study subjects or of the periodontal sites sampled.

**Statistical Analysis.** Data were entered into an Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) database and were proofed for entry errors. Normal distribution of continuous variables was verified with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with Liliefors correction. Categorical data were analyzed with the \( X^2 \) test. The significance level was set at 0.05 for all tests.
The influence of different factors on the outcome was investigated with multilevel regression analyses. A three-level random intercept regression model was constructed: site at level 1, tooth at level 2 and subject at level 3. This technique allows the identification of single-tooth effects or parameters while still considering the individual patient as a statistical unit and the dependencies of site data within a patient.

A variance components model (null model) was constructed using PD as the dependent variable but without inserting explanatory variables. The null model was used to estimate the overall variability of PD and to attribute it to the patient, tooth and site levels. The normality assumption of PD was verified and multi-collinearity was performed. Subsequently, a series of explanatory variables were then entered into the model (covariate model). This further step allowed examination of the relationship between each covariate and the dependent variable. The fit change of each model (-2log likelihood) including /excluding explanatory variables was calculated and the significance was tested by chi-square analysis.

All data handling and statistical testing were performed with a software package (SPSS, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 19, Chicago, IL). A statistical package designed specifically for multilevel modeling (Multilevel Models Project Institute of Education, MLwiN, version 2.16, London, U.K) was used to investigate the influence of subject, tooth and site-related covariates on the outcome variable.

RESULTS

A summary of each level variable is described in Table I. On average, participants were middle aged (47 ± 9 years of age). The majority of the sample consisted of women (64.5%), with normal weight (body mass index of 26 ± 4.3). A total of 444 patients were diagnosed with moderate (n=256) and severe (n=188) periodontitis. Additionally, control subjects without pockets and CAL ≤ 2 mm were included (223 patients). Periodontal local infection was assessed by detection of periodontal pathogens in subgingival plaque; 63.7% of subjects tested positive for P. gingivalis, 7.4% for Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, and 65.3% for T. forsythia. Our results indicated that periodontitis patients had detectable levels of IgG1 and IgG2. High IgG1 and IgG2 antibody levels against P. gingivalis occurred in 136 and 147, periodontitis patients, respectively, and High IgG1 and IgG2 antibody levels against T. forsythia occurred in 137 and 153 periodontitis patients, respectively.

A total of 13,700 teeth and 82,200 sites were included in the multilevel analysis. In the null model variability at each individual level was obtained as a percentage of the total variability level calculated adding all estimates together (Table II). The majority of the variance was attributed to the site level (48%), followed by the subject (30%) and tooth level (22%). Results from the variance component multilevel model with PD as the dependent variable, with significant explanatory covariates included, are presented in Table II. Significantly, better fit was obtained entering all clinical covariates at all levels.

Table I. Clinical and periodontal parameters by level (Subject, tooth, site).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Subjects (n= 667)</th>
<th>Tooth (n=13,700)</th>
<th>Site (n=82,200)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
<td>47±9.1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>5.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, Females</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>8.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, Kg/m²</td>
<td>26±4.3</td>
<td>PD (mean±SD)</td>
<td>2.92±1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n pockets &gt; 4 mm</td>
<td>21.358</td>
<td>BOP (%)</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aa presence</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>Gingival erythema percentage</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg presence</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tf presence</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 Aa</td>
<td>16*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG2 Aa</td>
<td>17*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 Pg</td>
<td>136*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG2 Pg</td>
<td>147*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 Tf</td>
<td>137*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG2 Tf</td>
<td>153*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD=standard deviation; IgG= immunoglobulin G; Aa = Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans; Pg= Porphyromonas gingivalis; Tf= Tanerella forsythia; Periodontitis patients with High IgG.
Regression estimates and significance testing for all clinical covariates were also performed. Table III depicts regression estimates for covariates. The multilevel analysis associated deeper probing depth with presence of serum IgG1 and IgG2 antibody to P. gingivalis and A. actinomycetemcomitans (P=0.001, P=0.002, and P=0.001, P=0.01, respectively). This finding was corroborated by a regression analysis (RR=1.98 CI 95% 1.10-3.58). No other subject variable was significant in the model.

At the tooth level, the model demonstrated that posterior teeth had higher PD compared to anterior teeth (P=0.00001).

At the site level the model presented that mesial and distal sites were the areas where PD were greater than those observed at the buccal and lingual sites (P=0.00001). Moreover, at the site level, BOP (P=0.001) was significant in the model.

Table II. Multilevel linear regression model estimating the relative contribution of subject, tooth and site parameters to variability in Probing Depth (PD) increased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PD (β±SE)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1Aa</td>
<td>0.119±0.040</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG2Aa</td>
<td>0.09±0.045</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1Pg</td>
<td>0.120±0.039</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG2Pg</td>
<td>0.121±0.042</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, sex, BMI, IgG1 Tf, IgG2 Tf</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aa, Pg, Tf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth position (posterior versus anterior)</td>
<td>0.019±0.004</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOP</td>
<td>0.498±0.011</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface (mesio-distal)</td>
<td>0.055±0.018</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival erythema</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variances at subject and tooth levels were reduced in the random intercept models for either outcome, indicating that covariates explained some overall variations (Table II). The variances at the subject level were reduced most in proportion value compared to tooth level variances. These findings indicate that subject level covariates were better at explaining the variance of either outcome than were covariates at the tooth levels.

**DISCUSSION**

In order to account for the natural hierarchical structure of periodontal disease measurements, the present study adopted multilevel multiple regressions to investigate the relative contribution of *P. gingivalis*, *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *T. forsythia* IgG subclass and other subject and local (tooth and site) factors on the probing pocket depth in subjects with chronic periodontitis. The majority of the variance was attributed to the site level (48%), followed by the patient (30%) and tooth level (22%). This implies that most of the variations on the probing pocket depth result from factors acting at the site level. This is in agreement with previous studies also assessing the relative contribution of multilevel variation in clinical studies (D’Aiuto et al., 2005; Tomasi et al., 2007), which found that site-level factors had a much greater impact than subject-level factors. When explanatory variables were entered into the model, 55% of variance was attributed to variation between sites. Once again, similar results were shown using a multilevel model (D’Aiuto et al.; Tomasi et al.).

The results of the present study associated the increasing of PD with subject factors (serum IgG1 and IgG2 antibody to *P. gingivalis* and *A. actinomycetemcomitans*), tooth factors (tooth type) and site factors (mesio-distal position, BOP), confirming the evidence that outcomes at different sites and teeth within same patient are not independent (D’Aiuto et al.; Tomasi et al.).

To our knowledge no studies with multilevel approach have looked at the relative contribution of *P. gingivalis* and *A. actinomycetemcomitans* IgG subclass levels on the probing pocket depth in subjects with chronic periodontitis. Previous studies suggested that levels of *P. gingivalis* specific IgG1 and IgG2 are significantly elevated in the untreated periodontitis patients (Craig et al.; Sakai et al., 2001; Ebersole et al., 1996). Similarly, Craig et al. showed that increasing pocket depth is positively correlated with serum IgG antibody to *P. gingivalis* and it may be considered at risk factor for destructive periodontal disease progression. On the other hand, serum antibodies to *A. actinomycetemcomitans* were reported to be higher in patients with periodontitis (Gunsolley et al., 1988; Lu et al., 1994). Increased serum IgG2 levels have also been reported in subjects with pockets depth ≥ 5 mm (Ebersole et al.; Gunsolley et al.). Moreover, Ebersole et al., indicated that local and/or systemic antibody responses are potent indicators of periodontal disease recurrence or progression. A longitudinal study also suggested that levels of serum antibodies to certain species over certain thresholds indicate the likelihood of disease activity taking place at some site in the oral cavity (Taubman et al.). As was shown in the present investigation, the correspondence between the risk factors for a subject and a site can be obtained by using multilevel analysis. However, longitudinal studies that include multilevel models in their analysis are needed.

The data analysis revealed that posterior teeth had higher PD than anterior teeth. Other investigators reported similar results using a multilevel model (Tu et al.). This finding seems to be consistent with the clinical observation that periodontitis patients often have more severe attachment loss at posterior sites (Reddy et al., 2000). Further, the finding of more rapid progression at posterior teeth is in agreement with the finding of partial edentulous being most common in the posterior region (Marcus et al., 1996).

At the site level, higher probing depths were observed for interdental sites compared to buccal and lingual sites. The results of the current investigation accord with a previous paper (Haffajee et al., 2007) and are consistent with the common setting of deeper pockets in the interproximal areas (Saiki et al.). Furthermore the presence of BOP at the tooth site level had a significant negative impact on PD. These findings corroborate data observed in other publications based on multilevel analysis (D’Aiuto et al.; Tomasi et al.).

The measure of the risk factors in periodontal disease might provide an overall summary of the interaction between the microbial field and the host systemic immune response for a patient as a whole (Tu et al.). It is not necessary for these risk factors to play the same role in predicting disease progression both at the site and subject levels (Mancl et al., 2000).
These results emphasize the importance of bearing in mind factors related to the individual periodontal site in predicting disease progression. Such an approach is also well in line with the recommendations in the Consensus Report on epidemiology and diagnosis (Armitage & Offenbacher, 1996) which states that screening tests for periodontal disease will need to be targeted to the needs of the patients in a hierarchical manner.

Multilevel modeling presents immense promise as an analytical model for periodontal studies and should be considered in an extensive diversity for its contribution to data analysis. On the basis of this investigation, future studies focusing on different site-level variables are warranted.
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RESUMEN: Los niveles de anticuerpos en algunos patógenos periodontales están asociados con mayores niveles de marcadores inflamatorios. El propósito de este estudio fue examinar la contribución relativa de inmunoglobulina sérica G (IgG) factores de nivel de anticuerpos de subclase y factores locales en la profundidad del sondaje en periodontitis crónica. Se tomaron muestras de suero de 444 pacientes con diagnóstico de periodontitis moderada y grave y de 223 sujetos de control. Se determinaron los títulos de anticuerpos IgG subclass a Porphyromonas gingivalis (Pg), Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (Aa) y Tanerella forsythia (Tf) mediante inmunoensayo indirecto (ELISA). La contribución relativa de los pacientes, los dientes, y el sitio asociado a los parámetros en la profundidad de sondaje fueron evaluados con un modelo multinivel jerárquico. Los resultados indicaron que los pacientes con periodontitis tenían niveles detectables de IgG1 e IgG2. Altos niveles de anticuerpos IgG1 e IgG2 contra Aa fueron observados en 132 y 142 pacientes con periodontitis, respectivamente. Niveles altos de anticuerpos IgG1 e IgG2 contra Pg fueron detectados en 141 y 138 en pacientes con periodontitis respectivamente, y niveles altos de anticuerpos IgG1 e IgG2 contra Tf se produjeron en 121 y 136 pacientes con periodontitis, respectivamente. La mayor parte de la varianza se atribuyó a nivel de sitio (48%). El análisis multinivel asociados a profundidad de sondaje con factores relacionados a los sujetos, anticuerpos (suero IgG1 e IgG2 Aa y Pg), factores de los dientes (tipo) y los factores del sitio (localización mesial - distal y sangrado al sondaje). Anticuerpos elevados de suero IgG1 e IgG2 Aa y Pg (factores de los sujetos) reflejan el estado de la enfermedad periodontal destructiva.

PALABRAS CLAVE: subclase IgG, periodontitis, anticuerpos, análisis multinivel.
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