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ABSTRACT

The kinetics of the oxidation of xylene orange tetrasodium salt by hydrogen peroxide was investigated in the presence of cationic surfactant (N-dodecylpyridinium chloride) & strongly basic media over the temperature range 20 – 35 °C. The kinetics studies were carried out as a function of different variables like concentration, pH and temperature. The described reaction rate is greatly catalyzed by the presence of trace amount of Co(II) ions & followed pseudo-second- order with respect to dye concentration. Possible mechanism for the catalyzed and uncatalyzed reactions is proposed and found to be coincident with the experimental findings. The derived rate equation is in good agreement with the experimental observations. Thermodynamic parameters were also evaluated.
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INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen peroxide has interesting biological properties and environmentally is a friendly oxidant. It has been used for the oxidation of various organic compounds. [1 -3] Number of works is found in the literature in which hydrogen peroxide has been used as an oxidant for different dyes. [4 – 7] It is one of the principal oxidizing agents used for the treatment of fabrics, paper, cosmetic products and industrial wastewater due to its low cost, simple handling, effectiveness in destroying the chromophores and being a friendly alternative to chlorine. The oxidation of dyes has got much attraction since last few years. [8- 10] This has been stimulated by environmental, commercial & social pressures, particularly environmental concerns over residual dyes present in wastewater streams and causing aesthetic pollution. Although, previous studies represent significant steps forward in generating empirical rules governing oxidation, further progress is required in developing the underlying science base.

Xylene orange [3, 3’ bis [N, N’ – Di(carboxymethyl)- aminomethyl- O - cresol sulphone – phthaline tetrasodium salt] (XO), a heterocyclic species which belongs to the acidic class of dyes. This anionic dye, which is stable and water soluble with an absorption peak at 577 nm, was chosen as a representative species for this study.

A number of papers have been published on the oxidation of xylene orange (reductive chromogenic agent) by various oxidizing agent. [11 -13] In previous work , oxidation of xylene orange was carried out but detailed kinetics study like reaction mechanism, effect of surfactants and thermodynamic parameters were not studied. In proposed work Co(II) has been used as a catalyst but in previous work other ions were used as catalyst except Co(II) in the oxidation of xylene orange by bromate.

The oxidation of xylene orange is a very important redox reaction, as it has an environmental concern. It is a textile dye for industrial uses. Current environmental hazards with these dyes and their derivatives revolve around the carcinogenic potential. Different metal ions like copper (II), iron (II) and (III) etc. have been proved to enhance the decolorization of various dyes. [14, 15] In the present study different metal ions were tried to increase the catalytic rate of the oxidation of XO by hydrogen peroxide, among them Co(II) has an maximum ability. The effect of pH, temperature, concentration of reagents, foreign ions & surfactant were also elucidated. To enhance the scope of the present study thermodynamic parameters such as activation energy, enthalpy, entropy etc. were worked out & possible mechanism for the catalyzed and uncatalyzed reactions is proposed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Equipment

Kinetic measurements were performed on a UV- visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan 160 -A) at 577nm. The cell compartment of the spectrophotometer was equipped with a thermostatic controlled bath with a temperature stability of ±0.1 °C. A digital Orion 710 pH meter was used for pH measurements with an accuracy of ±0.001.

Stock Solution

All chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade.
Water soluble Xylene orange 1 ´ 10–3 M stock was prepared by accurately weighing 0.0766 gm and dissolving in 100 mL of distilled water. The stock was stable for a week and used to make 0.5 ´ 10–4 M working solution, diluting by borate buffer (Na2B4O7.10H2O & HCl) of pH 9.0.

The working solution of H2O2(5 ´ 10–3 M) was prepared immediately before use.

Kinetic Run

A dry pyrex 10 mL volumetric flask was used to collect 3 mL of H2O2 ( 5 ´ 10–3 M), 2 mL of 0.01M cationic surfactant (N- dodecylpyridinium chloride) and an appropriate amount of Co(II) standard solution. This mixture was kept in a water bath (30 °C) for five minutes. Finally 4.6 mL solution of xylene orange tetra sodium salt (0.5 ´ 10–4 M) was added to the same flask and buffer solution of pH 9.0 was added to acquire the predetermined volume. Absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured every 120 seconds at 577nm in 1- cm glass cells against a buffer reference.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Reaction Monitoring Time

To select the suitable reaction time, slopes were calculated at every 60 s from absorbance vs. time curve with [H2O2] = 1.5 ´ 10–3 mol. L–1, [XO] = 2.3 ´ 10–4 mol. L–1 and Co2+ = 1.2 x 10–5 mol. L–1. The results showed that slope at 120 s yielded a calibration curve with better correlation coefficient. [16] Therefore, 120 s was selected with r2 = 0.990. (Figure 1)

![Fig. 1 Variation of the absorbance of xylene orange tetrasodium salt- H2O2 - cationic surfactant system in the presence 1.2 x 10–5 mol. L–1 of Co(II) with time for a 120 s interval, having 1.5 x 10–3 mol. L–1 of H2O2 and 2.3 x 10–4 mol. L–1 of XO.](image-url)
Effect of [Xylenol Orange]
The influence of concentration of dye (xylenol orange tetra sodium salt) on rate of Co (II) - catalyzed reaction was monitored to optimize the experimental conditions. The reaction was carried out with the concentration range of 1.5 - 10^-5 to 3.4 - 10^-3 mol. L^-1. 1.5 x 10^-3 mol. L^-1 H_2O_2 and 1.2 x 10^-4 mol. L^-1 Co (II) at 21 °C. The rate was increased linearly up to 2.6 - 10^-3 mol. L^-1, whereas a greater concentration of dye had no further increase in the rate, as shown in Figure 2. To avoid high absorbance value, 2.3 x 10^-4 mol. L^-1 was selected as the optimum concentration of dye.

Effect of pH
The investigated reaction was studied for 2 - 10 pH range. It was observed that, proposed reaction proceeded only in alkaline pH. Therefore, the pH effect of catalyzed and uncatalyzed reaction was studied in the range of 8.00 to 10.00. At 9.00 pH there was a maximum discrimination between the rate of catalyzed and uncatalyzed reactions (Figure 3). [17] Thus, this pH was used in the procedure to provide high sensitivity and a low blank reading.

Dynamics of Catalyzed Reaction
With a view to finding good metal catalysts for the title reaction, the effect of a wide range of cations monovalent, divalent and trivalent cations were investigated. Mg(II), Pb(II), Ce(III), Hg(II), Se (IV), Bi (III), Zn (II), Cu (II) have no effect on the reaction rate with [XO] = 2.3 - 10^-6 mol. L^-1 and [H_2O_2] = 1.5 - 10^-5 mol. L^-1, whereas, Fe(II), Cr(III), Fe(III) and Co(II) accelerates the reaction rate with varying catalytic activity. The values of rate were determined for various initial concentration of catalyst [Fe(II), Cr(III), Fe(III) and Co(II)]. Cobalt(II) - catalyzed reaction has demonstrated significant catalyzing ability, while Fe(II), Cr(III) and Fe(III) have relatively low catalytic effect, shown in Figure 4.

Effect of Surfactant
It is well-established that, in many cases, the rate and pathways of all kinds of chemical reactions can be altered by performing the reactions in micellar media instead of pure bulk solvents. [18, 19] Micellar effects on the rate of chemical and biochemical processes can be quite varied, ranging from inhibition to activation [20] of anionic, cationic and non-ionic surfactants. [21 – 26] These kinetic effects are generally explained in terms of the partition of the substrate between the aqueous and the micellar phase. Surfactants affect reaction rates by incorporating one or both of the reactants into the micellar aggregates. In order to choose an appropriate micellar system for the reaction, one must take into account the type of charge on the reactants, because the accelerating effect of micelles arises essentially from electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions between the reactants and micellar surface. [27] SDS, Triton X-100 and N-dodecylpyridinium chloride are anionic, non-ionic and cationic surfactants respectively. Xylenol orange is negatively charged specie therefore, it is logical to consider that the cationic micelles could enhance the rate of the said reaction. The enhancement effect of cationic surfactant was observed on Co(II) - catalyzed reaction by the electrostatic interaction of the species involved in the reaction with the positively charged micellar surface. Due to the electrostatic attraction between the positively charged micellar surface and negatively charged Xo involved in the reaction are effectively united and hence enhanced the collision frequency and in the result oxidation process became faster. Rate of catalyzed reaction in absence and presence of cationic surfactant was investigated and plots are shown in Figure 5.
A Straight Forward Graphical Method for Determining the Order of Reaction

To determine the order of reaction using integration method, reaction was allowed to cross its half-life under pseudo - order conditions. Integration method is probably the most widely used method of analyzing kinetic data by graphical means. [28] Under the excess concentration condition of hydrogen peroxide, [H₂O₂] = 1.5 × 10⁻³ mol. L⁻¹ with [XO] = 2.3 × 10⁻³ mol. L⁻¹, the plots of time Vs. ln (a-x) and 1/(a-x) were drawn.

Correlation coefficients (r²) were obtained by 1st, 2nd and 3rd order plot. 2nd order plot gave best correlation value 0.998, reveals that reaction followed 2nd order kinetics with respect to dye concentration as shown in Figure 6. A plot of k vs. y varying initial concentration of hydrogen peroxide shows a parallel trend with concentration axis, illustrates zero order behavior.

![2nd order plot](image)

Fig. 6 Determination of order of reaction with 1.5 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹ H₂O₂, 2.30 x 10⁻³ mol. L⁻¹ xyleneol orange, 3.4 x 10⁻⁸ mol. L⁻¹ Co²⁺ and pH 9.00

Temperature Effect

The activation energy for both the uncatalyzed and catalyzed reaction were studied by measuring the rate constants at different temperatures using the Arrhenius equation. Values of other parameters at 26 °C, namely the enthalpy, entropy and Gibb’s free energy are calculated & summarized in Table 1.

It was obvious, that the Co(II) – catalyzed reaction had a lowest energy of activation of 113.78 kJ. mol⁻¹ compared with 184.18, 161.66, 141.23 & 124.74 kJ. mol⁻¹ for uncatalyzed, Fe(II) , Cr(III) and Fe(III) catalyzed reaction respectively.

If the reaction step is bimolecular with two species forming an activated complex resembling a single species, there will be a decrease in entropy on activation. This is called an associative reaction. If reaction is unimolecular and the activated complex resembles an incipient two (or more) species, then an increase in entropy would result. This is termed a dissociative reaction. A reaction some where in between these two extremes is termed interchange, and the entropy is likely to be small. The values of Entropy for the proposed reaction as mentioned in Table 1, are very small and with +ve sign. It interprets that; the proposed reaction may be interchange reaction.

The equilibrium concentration of (H₂O₂) was allowed to cross its half-life under pseudo - order conditions. Integration of the initial concentration terms), in which k₁ is the rate coefficient for the uncatalyzed reaction (plus some concentration terms), k₂ = the rate coefficient for the catalyzed reaction (plus some concentration terms), and [c]₀ = the initial concentration of catalyst in the system.

 mathematical basis of catalytic method

Two facts must be considered for to develop mathematical relations valid for catalytic determinations : (i) the uncatalyzed reaction proceeds simultaneously with the catalyzed reaction, and (ii) the rate of the catalyzed reaction is proportional to the concentration of catalyst as a result of the catalytic cycle. [30]

For the reaction

\[ 2R + B \xrightarrow{c} P + Y \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (1)

where R and B are reactants (R= monitored species), P and Y are products, and c is the catalyst, on the basis of the observations obtained by the kinetic runs following general expression can be written:

\[ -\frac{d[R]}{dt} = k[R]^2 + k_c [R]^2 [c]_0 \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (2)

\[ -\frac{d[R]}{dt} = [R]^2 (k_0 + k_c [c]_0) \]

in which k₁ = the rate coefficient for the uncatalyzed reaction (plus some concentration terms), k₂ = the rate coefficient for the catalyzed reaction (plus some concentration terms), and [c]₀ = the initial concentration of catalyst in the system.

mathematical basis of catalytic method

Keeping in mind that the catalyzed reaction is much faster than the uncatalyzed one.

For catalytic cycle we can use simplified two – step reaction scheme shown below to develop mathematical relationships between catalytic rate and catalytic concentration

Another important practical requirement needed for successful application is that concentrations of reactants, other than the catalyst and the species whose change in concentration is monitored, must be kept as to make their effect on the rate pseudo-zero- order. The species whose change in concentration is being monitored is adjusted to second- order dependence

\[ 2R + c \xrightarrow{k_1} (Re) + Y \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (3)

\[ (Re) + B \xrightarrow{k_1} P + c \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (4)

This simplified mechanism for the catalytic cycle leads to two boundary conditions.

Pre – equilibrium condition

If \( k_1 \ll k_2 \) and \( k_1 \ll k_0 \), the reaction represented by Eqn 4 becomes rate determining and we have the pre- equilibrium condition in Eqn 3:

\[ [Re] = \frac{k\ [c] [R]^2}{[Y]} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (5)

where \( k = \frac{k_1}{k_0} \) equilibrium concentration quotient for reaction 3:

The equilibrium concentration of \( [c]_0 \), and \( [R]_0 \) at time t can be defined as

\[ [R] = [R]_0 - ([Re]) \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (6)

Table 1. Thermodynamic parameters for the oxidation reaction of xyleneol orange & H₂O₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition metal ions</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\circ ) / kJ. mol⁻¹</th>
<th>( \Delta S^\circ ) / kJ. mol⁻¹. K⁻¹</th>
<th>( \Delta G^\circ ) / kJ. mol⁻¹</th>
<th>( E_i ) / kJ. mol⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>181.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>184.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe²⁺</td>
<td>159.1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>161.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr³⁺</td>
<td>138.7</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>141.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe³⁺</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>124.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co²⁺</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>113.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In catalytic methods, \([R]_0 \gg [c]_0\), therefore, \([R]\) can be considered equal to \([R]_0\) and hence

\[
(Rc) = \frac{k ([c]_0 - [(Rc)]) ([R]_0)}{[Y]} \tag{7}
\]

\[
(Rc) = \frac{k [c]_0 [R]_0^2 - k [R]_0^2 [(Rc)]}{[Y]} \tag{8}
\]

\[
[(Rc)][Y] = k [c]_0 [R]_0^2 - k [R]_0^2 (Rc) \tag{9}
\]

\[
[(Rc)][Y] + k [R]_0^2 (Rc) = k [c]_0 [R]_0^2 \tag{10}
\]

In the pre-equilibrium case Eqn 4 is rate limiting and consequently

rate = \(k_1 [Rc][B]\) \(\tag{11}\)
rate = \(k_1 [B][Rc]_0^2 [c]_0^2 / [Y] + k [R]_0^2 \) \(\tag{12}\)

Since measurements were made under conditions for which \([Y] \ll k [R]_0\) (because either \(Y\) is not formed at all or, since the catalyst concentration is relatively very low, its concentration is negligible) and \([B] = \text{constant}\), then

rate = \(\frac{d[R]}{dt} = \text{constant} \times [c]_0\)

OR

rate = \(\frac{d[R]}{dt} = k_2 [c]_0\) (with \(k_2 = k_1 \times \text{constant}\))

OR

rate = \(\frac{d[R]}{dt} = k'[c]_0\)

Where

\(k' = \frac{k_2 [B] [R]_0^2}{k [R]_0^2}\)

This relationship reflects the proportionality between the concentration of catalyst and the rate of the proposed reaction.

**Steady-state condition**

If \(k_2 \gg k_1 \gg k_0\), reaction 3 becomes rate determining, \([Rc]\) is small because of the substoichiometric amounts of catalyst, and a steady-state condition develops defined by the approximation

\[
\frac{d[Rc]}{dt} = 0 \tag{14}
\]

The rate expression then becomes

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c] - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] \) \(\tag{15}\)

Since \([Rc]\) cannot be measured experimentally, we must resort to the steady-state approximation to obtain an expression for it:

\[
\frac{d[Rc]}{dt} = 0 = k_1 [Rc]^2 [c] - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)][B]^2 \tag{16}
\]

\[
\frac{d[Rc]}{dt} = 0 = k_1 [Rc]^2 [c] - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \tag{17}
\]

substituting the value of \([R]\) as \([R]_0\) and \([c] = [c]_0 - [(Rc)]\) (since \(R = [R]_0 - [Rc] = [R]_0\) due to the large concentration of \([R]\) as compare to \([Rc]\).)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c] - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] + k_2 \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] + k_2 \)

Putting the value of \([Rc]\) in Eqn 15:

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c] - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

since \([R] = [R]_0\) and \([c] = [c]_0 - [Rc]\) as stated above, we obtain

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

Since \(k_1 [Rc]^2 \gg k_2 [Y]\) so it can be neglected

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

rate = \(k_1 [Rc]^2 [c]_0 - k_2 [(Rc)][Y] - k_2 [(Rc)] \)

Both approximations (i.e. for equilibrium and for steady-state) arrive at the same result Eqn 13 and Eqn 18 provide the mathematical relation between catalyst and rate of reaction. The experimental evidence was obtained from different kinetics runs & illustrated in Figure 4.

**Mechanism of Proposed Catalyzed and Uncatalyzed Decolorization Reaction of XO with H\(_2\)O\(_2\)**

The decolorization kinetics of the xylene orange tetra sodium salt with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) catalyzed by Co\(^{2+}\) were investigated with the goal of determining a rate expression. The rate of decolorization was measured in a parameterization study, considering the dye, catalyst, the H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration. Initial results demonstrated that alone H\(_2\)O\(_2\) was not able to decolorize XO. Hydrogen peroxide is necessary for the production of OH• radicals to initiate the decolorization of XO.

**Mechanism: Uncatalyzed Reaction**

Uncatalyzed decolorization of XO with hydrogen peroxide occurs very slowly. Reaction 2 & 3 are responsible for the formation of hydroxyl radical. Some of \(OH\) is used up in the production of HOO•. The hydroperoxyl radicals (HOO•) generated from reaction 4 and may be utilize for generation of \(OH\) and oxygen. Dimerization of xylene orange molecules occur in reaction 6. Reaction 7 to 10 show a consumption & formation of \(OH\) with intermediates. All steps of uncatalyzed reaction are shown in Table 2.
Table 2. Scheme of the proposed mechanism for uncatalyzed reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction steps</th>
<th>Reaction steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 2H₂O₂ → 2H₂O + O₂</td>
<td>1. Co³⁺ + H₂O₂ → OH • + OH − + Co³⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. H₂O → H⁺ + OH • + e⁻</td>
<td>2. H₂O₂ + OH • → H₂O + HO₂⁻ [31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. H₂O₂ + H⁺ + e⁻ → OH • + H₂O</td>
<td>3. Co³⁺ + OH • → Co³⁺ + OH⁻ [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. H₂O₂ + OH • → HO₂ + H₂O</td>
<td>4. H₂O₂ + HO₂ → OH⁻ + O₂ + H₂O [32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. H₂O₂ + HO₂ → OH • + O₂ + H₂O [32]</td>
<td>5. HO₂ → O₂⁻ + H⁺ [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 2Dye → (Dye)_2 (Rate determining step)</td>
<td>6. Co³⁺ + O₂⁻ → Co²⁺ + O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. (Dye)_2 + OH • → P−OH</td>
<td>7. Co³⁺ + H₂O₂ → Co³⁺ + HO₂ + H⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. H₂O₂ + P−OH + → P−OH + 2OH</td>
<td>8. OH⁻ + H⁺ → H₂O [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. 2OH • → H₂O [33]</td>
<td>9. 2Dye → (Dye)_2 (Rate determining step)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. OH • + P−OH → P + (OH)_2</td>
<td>10. (Dye)_2 + OH • → P−OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall reaction</td>
<td>11. H₂O₂ + P−OH → P−OH + 2OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. 2Dye + 5H₂O₂ → P + (OH)_2 + 4H₂O + 2O₂</td>
<td>12. OH • + P−OH → P + (OH)_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall reaction</td>
<td>13. 2Dye + 5H₂O₂ → P + (OH)_2 + 4H₂O + 2O₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mechanism: Catalyzed reaction**

Reaction 1 represents the main pathway of OH⁻ generation. Immediately after their generation, the hydroxyl radicals initiate nonselective oxidation processes on the surrounding of organic and inorganic species present in the system through mechanisms that include hydrogen abstraction, oxygen addition and radical formation. The rate of OH⁻ generation, OH⁻ specific reactivity towards a target substrate(s) is the other main parameter controlling the efficiency of this process. The nonselective nature of OH⁻ may create competitive effects. Indeed, OH⁻ reacts simultaneously with the rest of compounds present in the system, such as generated XO dimerized intermediate. Reactions of hydroxyl radicals with Co³⁺ reduce both the fraction of OH⁻ that effectively attack the target compound and the rate of OH⁻ generation.

Reaction 1 can be described as the generation of OH⁻ through catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide, carried out by a transition metal. In reaction 1 almost all of the OH⁻ is generated and most of the Co²⁺ and H₂O₂ are consumed. Reaction 2 & 3 show OH⁻ scavenging by Co²⁺ and H₂O₂. The hydroperoxyl radicals (HO₂⁻) generated from reaction 2 may be transformed to superoxide radical(O²⁻) which are linked to the pathways of oxygen generation via reaction with Co²⁺. Hydroperoxyl is also generated via reaction of Co³⁺ and HO₂ as shown in reaction 7. Reaction 6 & 7 show that Co³⁺ is reduced by O²⁻ and H₂O₂. In reaction 9 dimer of XO is formed. On the other hand, reaction 10 to 12 involve OH⁻ attack on a substrate present in the system (i.e., (Dye)_2 and other intermediates). The outcome of reaction 10 results in the generation of reactive product (P−OH), which is responsible further two step reactions, like scavenging of OH⁻ reaction 12. Catalyzed oxidation of XO by hydrogen peroxide is illustrated in Table 3.

**CONCLUSION**

Our results indicate the decolorization rate is pseudo-second order with respect to monitoring specie concentration i.e. dye, represented as “R”. Rate expression can be written as,

\[- \frac{d[R]}{dt} = k [R]^n [OH^+]\]

with

\[k_{obs} = k [OH^+]\]

where, [OH^+] is in excess therefore, rate expression can be written as,

\[- \frac{d[R]}{dt} = k_{obs} [R]^n\]

It is concluded that (i) XO degradation with Co³⁺ is due exclusively to OH⁻ attack, and (ii) this process is second order with respect to [XO] and zero order with respect to [H₂O₂] or its free radical (OH⁻).
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