LOCATION, ORIENTATION AND DYNAMICS OF TWO MOLECULES WITH MITOCHONDRIAL ACTIVITY DISSOLVED IN ANIONIC LYOMESOPHASE. A $^3$H-NMR AND MD STUDY

VÍCTOR E. BAHAMONDE-PADILLA$^1$, MAXIMILIANO MARTÍNEZ-CIFUENTES$^2$, DANIEL MUÑOZ-MASSON$^3$, ÁLVARO RUIZ$^1$, HERNÁN AHUMADA$^3$, RAMIRO ARAYA-MATURANA$^3$, JORGE SOTO-DELGADO$^4$, AND BORIS E. WEISS-LÓPEZ$^*$

$^1$ Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Química, Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile.
$^2$ Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas y Farmacéuticas, Departamento de Química Orgánica y Físicoquímica, Casilla 233, Santiago 1, Chile.
$^3$University of Glasgow, School of Chemistry, Glasgow G12 0QQ, U.K.
$^4$Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Departamento de Química, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile.

(Received: March 7, 2012 - Accepted: April 25, 2012)

ABSTRACT

4,4-Dimethyl-5,8-dihydroanthracene-1,9,10(4H)-trione (Q1) and 9,10-Dihydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-5,8-dihydro-1(4H)-anthracenone (Q2), two molecules that inhibit cancer cell respiration, were selectively deuterated and dissolved in an anionic discotic nematic lyotropic liquid crystal (dnllc) solution. The solution provides a magnetic field oriented anisotropic medium, where the location, average orientation and dynamics of Q1 and Q2 were examined by measuring $^3$H-NMR quadrupole splittings ($\Delta v_q$) and $^3$H longitudinal relaxation times ($T_\text{L}$). The NMR data shows that both molecules are strongly attached to the aggregate and, when dissolved, increase the alignment of the interface components with the magnetic field. However they present different average orientations. To assist with the interpretation of the experimental results, 300ns Molecular Dynamics (MD) trajectories of a bilayer model of the aggregate were calculated. The results show that both molecules spontaneously diffuse inside the bilayer, to locate in the limit between the hydrophobic core and the interface. The orientations of both molecules in the aggregate are determined by the formation of H-bonds with water.
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INTRODUCTION

Polyphenols are usually recognized by their anti-oxidant capabilities; however in certain conditions they can also function as pro-oxidants. Hydroquinones belong to this class of compounds and they are among the strongest anti-oxidants. The reduction of quinones and the oxidation of hydroquinones have been related to cytotoxicity and anti-cancer activity. Besides, it is known that quinone derivatives display a variety of biological activities, including fungicidal, through the formation of semi-quinone radicals. In the past, significant work of our group has been devoted to the synthesis, mechanism of formation and biological evaluation of quinones and hydroquinones. Biological activity of these products has been measured through $IC_{50}$ values for tumor cell respiration of TA3 cell line and TA3-MTX-R multidrug resistant variety.

It is now accepted that mitochondria are involved in cell apoptosis. Malfunction of mitochondria involves lack of apoptosis and this has been related to cancer etiology. Mitochondria have been considered an important target for cancer therapy, and quinones and hydroquinones are believed to function inside the mitochondria. Therefore, there is no doubt that in order to reach their targets these molecules must cross several membranes, including mitochondrial membranes. On the other hand, it is well known that in-vivo anti-oxidant activity of polyphenols is limited by their ability to cross membranes.

The interaction between biologically active molecules and bilayers has been a very active field of research. In the past we examined counter-ion effects and dynamical behavior of parathion, a well known pesticide, dissolved in anionic and cationic mesophases. Inclusion of the pesticide in a cationic bilayer, a simple model of membrane, provides valuable information for a better understanding of drug functioning and rational drug design.

In this article we study the average orientation, distribution and dynamics of two structurally related molecules, a quinone and its reduced form, the corresponding hydroquinone, which were selected from our previous work.

The molecules are 4,4-dimethyl-5,8-dihydroanthracene-1,9,10(4H)-trione (Q1) and 9,10-Dihydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-5,8-dihydro-1(4H)-anthracenone (Q2). They were selectively deuterated at positions 5, 6, 7 and 8 (see figure 1), and dissolved in anionic discotic nematic lyotropic liquid crystal (dnllc) solution. Despite their apparent structural similarity these two molecules show different anti-cancer activity: Q2 is two to three times more active than Q1 as a tumor cell respiration inhibitor ($IC_{50}$ Q1 = 0.22±0.02mM and $IC_{50}$ Q2 = 0.08±0.01mM against TA3 cell line; $IC_{50}$ Q1 = 0.17±0.02mM and $IC_{50}$ Q2 = 0.07±0.01mM against the TA3-MTX-R variety) (12, 13). In general, $IC_{50}$ for oxygen uptake inhibition are about an order of magnitude greater than $IC_{50}$ for cytotoxicity; however, it is a quick test for preliminary screening of possible anti-cancer activity. For instance, Q2 exhibits low micromolar dose-dependent growth inhibition of the human U937 tumor cell line (human monocytic leukemia), $IC_{50}$ = 7.96 μM.22

The dnllc is prepared by dissolving cesium decylsulfate (CsDS), decanol (DeOH, 20% DeOH-a-d) and Cs$_2$SO$_4$ in water (0.1% D$_2$O) and consist of a concentrated solution of disc-shaped aggregates, like flat micelles, made of decylsulfate ions and DeOH. In the presence of an applied magnetic field they spontaneously orient with the symmetry axis of the oblate perpendicular to the field direction, providing an anisotropic medium. This property makes these systems particularly suitable to be studied by NMR of quadrupolar nuclei. $^3$H-NMR quadrupolar splittings ($\Delta v_q$) and longitudinal relaxation times ($T_\text{L}$) were measured for all deuterium nucleus in all samples. Since the structures
of Q1 and Q2 are significantly rigid, Δν and Tᵣ adequately represent the dynamics of the complete molecules. NMR quadrupolar splittings arise from the quadrupolar interaction that occurs between nuclei with spin quantum number I ≥ 1, like deuterium, and the electric field gradient it experiences. The measured value of Δν depends on the average orientation and dynamics of the principal axis of the electric field gradient, (the direction of the C-D bond for all practical purposes), with respect to the magnetic field direction. On the other hand, Tᵣ of quadrupolar nuclei is dominated by fluctuations in the quadrupolar interaction, due to the Brownian motion experienced by the principal axis of the electric field gradient in the magnetic field. Consequently, we use these two properties to study the behavior of the molecules in the aggregate.22 To provide an atomistic interpretation of the experimental results, we have performed 300ns molecular dynamics (MD) calculations of Q1 and Q2 dissolved in a bilayer model of the dnlc.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis, Sample Preparation and NMR Spectra

The synthesis of deuterated Q1 and Q2 were performed following a previously reported methodology,23 only replacing 1,3-butenadiene by the fully deuterated reagent, which is commercially available (Aldrich). CsDS was prepared from the reaction between decanol and sulfuric acid, followed by neutralization with CsOH and crystalized three times before use. DeOH-α-d3 was prepared by reduction of methyl decanoate ester with LiAlH4, and purified by column chromatography. All the other reagents were the highest purity commercially available and were used without further purification. The liquid crystal solution was prepared by dissolving 2.4044g of CsDS, 0.5969g of Cs2SO4 and 315μl of decanol (20% decanol-α-d3) in 2.5ml of water (0.1% D2O). From this solution, three equal portions of 0.8 ml each were separated and 5 mg of either Q1 or Q2 were added to two of them; the third was used as reference.

All 1H-NMR spectra were obtained at 61.425 MHz and 300K in a Bruker Avance 400 NMR spectrometer located at the Universidad de Santiago de Chile, using a broad band inverse probe, tuned to the 1H frequency. Transients were collected in 32kB files for a spectral window of 30 kHz. Values of Tᵣ were measured using the T1IR pulse sequence.

Molecular Dynamics

The CsDS mesophase bilayer has been previously constructed and stabilized.19 300ns trajectory calculations of a (N,P,T) ensemble of each system, as well as all further analysis of the simulations, were performed using the program package Gromacs v.4.0,24 running in a Supermicro cluster of blades. The charges of all species were calculated from optimized ab-initio 6-31G* structures, using the program Gaussian,25 with the option MK.26 The program VMD26 was used for the visualization of trajectories. The united atom approximation was used for all hydrogen atoms in the aliphatic chains. The GROMOS potential parameters27,28 were used for all the interactions, except for the Lennard–Jones interactions among the aliphatic chains of the amphiphiles, for which the choice was the potential of Berger.29 The Ryckaert–Belleman30 potential was used for the torsions of the aliphatic chains, and Lennard–Jones parameters for Cs’ were transferred from the OPLS library.31,32 Bond lengths were constrained using LINCS33, and SETTLE24 was employed to restrict the structure of the SPC water molecules.34 A 1nm cut-off was used for the Lennard–Jones potential and real space electrostatic interactions; PME was employed for longer range electrostatics.36,37 The neighbour list was updated every 10 time steps. The weak coupling algorithm of Berendsen was used to maintain the temperature at 300K and the pressure at 1 bar, with time constants of 0.1ps and 1.0ps respectively.38 The time step size was 2fs in all simulations.

RESULTS

1H-NMR spectra of both molecules dissolved in the dnlc are shown in figures 2A and 2B. Figure 2C is the T1IR experiment to determine Tᵣ, in sample Q1. Table 1 shows all the experimentally measured quantities. The spectrum of the mesophase in the absence of guest molecule shows only splittings from DeOH and HDO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Quadrupolar splittings (Δν) and longitudinal relaxation times (Tᵣ) for all deuterium atoms in the samples, measured using 1H-NMR. Errors in Δν, are ±30Hz for DeOH, Q1, and Q2, and ±3Hz for HDO. Errors in Tᵣ are ±3ms.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure Mesophase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δν₀ (Hz) DeOH-d3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δν₀ (Hz) HDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δν₀ (Hz) CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δν₀ (Hz) CD₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tᵣ (ms)DeOH-d3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tᵣ (ms) HDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tᵣ (ms) CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tᵣ (ms) CD₁</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Figures 2A and 2B are the 1H-NMR spectra of Q1 and Q2 dissolved in the CsDS mesophase. 2C is the stack plot of the T1IR experiment employed to measure Tᵣ, in sample Q1. Quadrupolar splittings were measured directly from the spectra.

Figure 3 shows a snapshot of the simulation box for the system containing Q1 and Figure 4 is the time evolution of the center of mass Z-coordinate of Q1 and Q2.

Figure 3. Snapshot of the simulation box containing Q2. The solvent is represented as a continuous light blue, sulfur is yellow, cesium is blue, oxygen is red, CsDS is orange and DeOH green. Carbon atoms from Q2 are light blue, deuterium is white and oxygen red. For a colored picture see the electronic version of the article.
To completely define the orientation of these nearly planar molecules in the bilayer, two orthogonal angles are necessary. Histograms of the angles between two selected molecular axis and the normal to the bilayer plane are shown in figures 6 and 7.
Finally, to test for the existence of H-bonds, histograms of the acceptor-donor distances between the OH and C=O groups of Q1 and Q2 with solvent molecules, and radial distribution functions of water molecules surrounding these groups were also calculated. The significant results are displayed in figures 8 y 9.

**DISCUSSION**

The spectrum from sample Q1 shows four different splittings, the largest arises from DeOH-α-d₂, the next two smaller splittings come from the guest molecule, assigned to the CD or CD₂ on intensity basis, and the most intense signals but the smallest splitting arises from DHO. On the other hand, the spectrum from sample Q2 shows twelve peaks, attributable to six splittings. A simple comparison with sample Q1 allows assigning the splittings from DeOH-α-d₂ and DHO. Since the sample without guest molecule shows only these two splittings, we propose that a strong interaction between Q2 and the mesophase components induce the formation and co-existence of a second mesophase, which is clearly observed in the NMR tube. Thus, Q2 is partitioned between these two phases but with different alignment, giving rise to two extra splitting. To make an assignment, i.e. which signals arise from the molecule dissolved in the nillac and which from the induced mesophase, we prepared a significantly more diluted sample of Q2 (1.5mg Q2/1ml of mesophase) and measured all integrals. Assuming that the amount of second phase formed is proportional to the amount of Q2 dissolved, a simple comparison between the ratios of the integrals from the different peaks, allows concluding that the largest and the smallest guest molecule splittings come from Q2 dissolved in the induced phase, since they are the only ones that decrease in relative intensity. Then, the two extra splittings, very close to each other, come from Q2 dissolved in the nillac, the phase of interest. Table 1 also includes the splittings and $T_1$ from Q2 dissolved in the induced phase; this value of $T_1$ supports the proposition that these signals arise from a small molecule.
Figure 2 shows that the quadrupole splittings from Q2 are very similar, whereas the splittings from Q1 are significantly different. Furthermore, for Q2 the splitting from the CD groups is a little smaller than the splitting from the C-D groups, just the opposite to what is observed for Q1, where the splitting from the CD groups is much larger than the splitting from the C-D. An inspection to table 1 indicates that, despite the observed differences, the large values of $D_{\text{O}}$ strongly suggest that Q1 and Q2 are incorporated into the aggregate. Since quadrupole splitting is a property that depends on average orientation and mobility, the origin of the observed difference is not obvious from the spectra and could arise from either of these two variables, or a combination of both. Measurements of deuterium $T_2$ provides information about re-orientational dynamics. In extreme narrowing conditions, ($\omega << \tau$ with $\omega$ the resonance frequency and $\tau$ the rotational correlation time), $T_2$ is nearly proportional to $\tau^{-1}$ for the corresponding C-D bond,\(^{42}\) and therefore proportional to mobility. Judging by the values listed in table 1, Q1, Q2 in both phases show, within the experimental error, similar values of $T_2$ for all nuclei. Therefore, this cannot account for the observed differences in the values of $\Delta_{\text{a}}$. This evidence strongly suggests that Q1 and Q2 must have different average orientations inside the bilayer aggregate.

As observed from our previous work,\(^{4,19,20}\) DeOH is an integral component of the bilayer and the $\alpha$-CD$_2$ group is located near the interface. Consequently the observed $\Delta_{\text{a}}$, is a measurement of the dynamics of this region. An inspection to table 1 reveals that addition of either Q1 or Q2 to the mesophase increases the $\Delta_{\text{a}}$ value of DeOH. Considering that the orientation of the aggregate is not modified, this reveals that both molecules, when dissolved in the mesophase, increase the degree of alignment of the interface components with the magnetic field, i.e. make the interface more rigid.

The splitting from the solvent arises from HD0 molecules inside the anisotropic region, which are in fast exchange with isotropic solvent molecules. Therefore the observed increase in $\Delta_{\text{a}}$ of DHO (see Table 1) with the addition of Q1 and Q2 could arise from a decrease in the mobility of water at the interface from reorientations into a single average orientation, or an increase in the amount of solvent molecules at the interface. The observed increase in $D_{\text{O}}$ possibly arises from modifications to the dynamics of the solvent at the interface, making it more rigid. This is supported by the observed decrease in $T_2$ from DHO after the addition of either Q1 or Q2, suggesting a strong interaction between the guest molecules and the solvent at the interface.

To provide a microscopic interpretation of the experimental observations, the distribution, average orientation, interactions and dynamics of Q1 and Q2, dissolved in a bilayer model of the aggregate, were examined from 300ns MD trajectories. A visual inspection to randomly chosen segments of the full trajectories shows that the integrity of the bilayer is preserved along the complete simulation. All properties were calculated after the system total energy remained constant in time. As observed from figure 4, both molecules were originally positioned in the aqueous region and allowed to move freely until they reached the bilayer equilibrum location. This figure shows that Q1 and Q2 spontaneously diffuse inside the aggregate, reaching the equilibrium location between the interface and the hydrophobic core.

Figures 5A and 5B show the mass density profiles of all components of the systems along the z-axis of the box, including Q1 and Q2 respectively. These figures corroborate that both guest molecules are effectively associated to the aggregate, in agreement with the magnitude of the quadrupole splittings, located at the interior, near the interface, as suggested from the experimental data. From these figures we can clearly distinguish a bilayer structure made of CsDS and DeOH, with a hydrophobic core of about 10Å wide. It can be noticed that the density of Cs’ ions is larger near the CsDS anionic head groups than in the aqueous phase, introducing, as expected, a degree of electrical polarization near the interface.

In order to determine the average orientation of the molecules in the bilayer, the angles between two selected molecular axes, (one along C5 and C8 and the other along C3 and C6), and the normal to the bilayer plane, (the z-axis of the box), were calculated from the simulations. Histograms of the distributions of both angles, for Q1 and Q2, are displayed in figures 6 and 7 respectively. These two angles are sufficient to completely describe the average orientation of the guest molecules in the bilayer. From these figures we can observe that Q1 is essentially oscillating around one fixed orientation, with both angles around 50° to 70°. On the other hand Q2 is oriented with the short axis at about 150° and the long axis display two values, 51° and 107°. Therefore, both molecules show significantly different average orientations. The use of these average values allows to orient the molecules in the space and to conclude that the equilibrium orientations of Q1 in the bilayer is with the gem-dimethyl group pointing toward the hydrophobic core, suggesting the existence of H-bond between the C=O at C9 and the solvent. On the other hand, Q2 appears oriented with the gem dimethyl group pointing toward the interface, suggesting the existence of H-bond between the -OH group at C10 with solvent molecules. To test this hypothesis, histograms of donor-acceptor distances between all C=O and OH groups of Q1 and Q2 with solvent molecules were calculated and the meaningful data are displayed in figures 8A and 8B. As observed from figure 8, only the groups pointing toward the interface, C=O at C9 in Q1 and OH at C10 in Q2, displayed one preferential distance, the others showed very diffuse histogram. Figure 8 clearly shows preferential distances at 2.7Å and 2.6Å for Q1 and Q2 respectively. The reason for the different orientation seems to be closely related to the formation of H-bond with solvent. In the case of Q1, the H-bond through C=O at C9 is preferred over the C=O group at C10 because the gem dimethyl group presents sterical hindrance and hydrophobicity. On the other hand, in the case of Q2, the H-bond with the OH at C10 is preferred over the OH at C9, because the later is already forming intramolecular H-bond with the C=O group at C1. Evidence for this arises from the ‘H-NMR chemical shift of this proton, about 13ppm downfield from TMS\(^{19}\), where the synthesis and assignment of all ‘H-NMR signals were done. Finally, radial distribution of solvent molecules surrounding the OH at C10 of Q2 and the C=O at C9 of Q1 were calculated and displayed in figure 9. This figure reveals the presence of solvation spheres in both cases; however for Q2 it is significantly better defined, suggesting a stronger interaction with solvent molecules at the interface. This figure is also evidencing a shorter distance from the OH at C10 of Q2 and the first solvent shell, 0.16 nm. This interaction should be strong enough to induce the appearance and co-existence of a second phase.

CONCLUSIONS

Molecular dynamics simulations have been very helpful to interpret our experimental results. Both molecules are strongly attached to the interface and located at the interior of the bilayer, near the interface. The inclusion of either guest molecule increases the alignment of the interface components with the magnetic field; however, they show different average orientations. The calculations predict the existence of strong H-bonds and well defined water molecules shell structure surrounding the guest molecules. In the case of Q2, this interaction is strong enough to induce the co-existence of two phases. Furthermore, the H-bond is also responsible for the location and orientation of the molecules in the bilayer. This may be one of the reasons for the low bio-availability of polyphenols.
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