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ABSTRACT

Simple, specific, accurate and precise spectrophotometric methods were developed and validated for simultaneous estimation of Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride (CIP) and Hydrocortisone (HYD) in their pure form and pharmaceutical dosage form. Two new spectrophotometric methods were applied: extended ratio subtraction (EXRSM) and ratio difference (RDSM) methods. The results were compared to three well-established methods: Mean centering of ratio spectra method (MCR), Isoabsorptive point spectrophotometric method (IsoM) and Absorbance ratio method (ARM). The linearity range for the spectrophotometric methods was found to be (2-14 µg/mL) and (1-14 µg/mL) for CIP and HYD respectively. The selectivity of the developed methods was investigated by analyzing laboratory prepared mixtures of the two drugs and their combined dosage form. The results obtained from the proposed methods were statistically compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) where no significant difference was observed between the new (EXRSM and RDSM) and the well-established methods which prove their validity for the analysis of this binary mixture. The methods were validated as per ICH guidelines regarding accuracy, precision, repeatability and robustness; which were found to be within the acceptable limits. The new methods were simple, sensitive and don’t need a special program, so they could be easily applied as alternative methods to LC methods in quality control laboratories lacking the required facilities for those techniques.
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INTRODUCTION

Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride (CIP) [1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-1,4-dihydro-4-oxo-7-(1-piperazinyl)-3-quinolinecarboxylic acid], is a fluoroquinolone antibacterial agent which is active against wide range of bacteria. Hydrocortisone (HYD) [(11β)-11, 17, 21-Trihydroxyprog-4-ene-3, 20-dione], is corticosteroid with both glucocorticoid and to a lesser extent mineralocorticoid activity 1,2. Their structures are shown in Fig. 1. These drugs are being used as an ear preparation to treat outer ear infections and reduce swelling.

A survey of the literature revealed the reported methods for the determination of CIP such as UV spectrophotometry 3,4, HPLC 5,6, TLC 7, capillary electrophoresis 8,9 and chemometric method 10,11. The methods reported for HYD such as UV spectrophotometry 12,13, HPLC 14-16, micellar electrokinetic capillary chromatography 17,18 and capillary electrophoresis 19. Simultaneous determination of CIP and HYD has been reported in the literature by HPLC method 20 and chemometric method 21. No spectrophotometric methods have been reported for the analysis of this mixture. Our aim was the to analyze this binary mixture by two new spectrophotometric methods: extended ratio subtraction (EXRSM) and ratio difference (RDSM) methods; and three well established spectrophotometric methods: mean centering of ratio spectra (MCR), isoabsorptive point coupled with second derivative spectrophotometry and absorbance ratio methods.

Theory of the methods

Extended ratio subtraction spectrophotometric method (EXRSM)

Lotfy et Hagazy 22 introduced the Extended ratio subtraction method (EXRSM). It starts with the ratio subtraction method (RS) 24. For a binary mixture of (X) and (Y), (X) can be determined by dividing the spectrum of the mixture (X+Y) by a known concentration of Y as a divisor (Y'). The division will give a new curve that represents X/Y' = constant. Measure the value of Y/Y' constant in the plateau region. If we subtract this constant value, then multiply the obtained curve after subtraction by Y' (the divisor), therefore we can obtain the zero order absorption spectrum D0 of X (original spectrum of X).

\[
\begin{align*}
(X + Y) / Y' &= X / Y' + Y / Y' = X / Y' + \text{constant} \\
X / Y' + \text{constant} - \text{constant} &= X / Y' \\
Y' &= X
\end{align*}
\]

To determine the second component (Y), an extension of the already developed method has been established as a new approach in which Y could be determined by dividing the obtained D0 spectrum of X by a known concentration of X as a divisor (X') to get the value of the represents X/X' = constant. Dividing the spectrum of the mixture (X + Y) by the same divisor (X'), the division will give a new curve that represents X/X' + Y/X' where X/X' is the previously obtained constant. If we subtract this constant, then multiply the obtained curve after subtraction by X' (the divisor), therefore we can obtain the zero order absorption spectrum D0 of Y (original spectrum of Y).

\[
X / X' + Y / X' = X / X' + \text{constant} \\
\text{then} \quad Y / X' = Y / X' + \text{constant}
\]

The concentration of X and Y can be calculated using the regression equations representing the linear relationship between the zero order (D0) absorbance of each at its λmax versus the corresponding concentrations of X and Y.

Ratio difference spectrophotometric method (RDSM)

Lotfy et Hagazy 22 introduced the ratio difference spectrophotometric method (RDSM), in which the amplitude difference between two points on the ratio spectra of a mixture is directly proportional to the concentration of the component of interest; independence of the interfering component is the basic principle of the ratio difference method.

For a mixture of the two drugs (X) and (Y), X can be determined by dividing the spectrum of the mixture by a known concentration of Y as a divisor (Y'). The division will give a new curve that represents (X + Y)/Y' = X/Y' + Y/Y' = X/Y' + constant.

By selecting two wavelengths (λ1 and λ2) on the obtained ratio spectrum and subtracting the amplitudes at these two points the constant Y/Y' will be cancelled along with any other instrumental error or any interference from the sample matrix.

Suppose the amplitudes at the two selected wavelength are P1 and P2 at λ1 and λ2, respectively; by subtracting the two amplitudes the interfering substance Y shows no interference; then;

\[
P1 - P2 = [(X/Y'), + \text{constant}] - [(X/Y'), + \text{constant}] = (X/Y') - (X/Y')
\]

The concentration of X is calculated by using the regression equation representing the linear relationship between the differences of ratio spectra amplitudes at the two selected wavelengths versus the corresponding concentration of drug (X). Similarly, Y could be determined by the same procedure using a known concentration of X as a divisor X'.

Mean centering of ratio spectra method (MCR)

This is a well-established spectrophotometric method in which mixtures and kinetic profiles could be determined 22,23. In this method the ratio spectra
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are obtained after which the constant is removed by mean centering of the ratio spectra as follow:

For a mixture of the two drugs (X) and (Y), if there is no interaction among the compounds and Beer’s law is obeyed for each compound, it can be written:

\[ A_m = a_x C_x + a_y C_y \]

Where \( A_m \) is the vector of the absorbance of the mixture, \( a_x \) and \( a_y \) are the molar absorptivity vectors of X and Y, \( C_x \) and \( C_y \) are the concentrations of X and Y, respectively.

If \( A_m \) is divided by \( a_x \) corresponding to the spectrum of a standard solution of Y in binary mixture, the first ratio spectrum is obtained as follow:

\[ B = \frac{A_m}{a_x} = \frac{a_x C_x}{a_x} + C_y \]

If B is mean centered (MC), since the mean centering of a constant \( C_y \) is zero:

\[ \text{MC(B)} = \text{MC} \left( \frac{A_m}{a_x} \right) = \text{MC} \left( \frac{a_x C_x}{a_x} \right) \]

As shown there is a linear relation between the amount of MC(B) and the concentration of (X) in the solution without interfering from the other compound (Y). Similarly, (Y) could be determined through dividing \( A_m \) by \( a_y \) corresponding to the spectrum of a standard solution of (X) and proceed as before.

Isoabsorptive point spectrophotometric method (IsoM)

The isoabsorptive spectrophotometric method \(^{27}\) is a well-established method to determine binary mixtures. This method could be used for simultaneous determination of (X) and (Y) in their binary mixtures. At the isoabsorptive point \( \lambda_{iso} \) the mixture of drugs acts as a single component and gives the same absorbance value as pure drug. Thus, by measuring the absorbance value \( A_{iso} \) at the chosen \( \lambda_{iso} \), the total concentration of both (X) and (Y) in the mixture could be calculated, while the concentration of (X) in the mixture could be calculated without any interference, by applying other spectrophotometric method. Thus the concentration of (Y) could be calculated by subtraction.

\[ A_{iso} = A_x + A_y = a_x C_x + a_y C_y \]

\[ C_y = \frac{A_{iso} - A_x}{a_y} \]

Absorbance ratio method (ARM)

The absorbance ratio method \(^{28}\) is based on the linear relationship between the absorbance ratio value of a binary mixture of (X) and (Y) and the relative concentration of such a mixture using the following equations:

\[ C_y = \frac{b}{a} \left( \frac{A_{iso}}{A_m} - 1 \right) \times 10^3 \]

Where \( Q_1 = A_x / A_m \) for 1st component (x), \( Q_2 = A_y / A_m \) for 2nd component (y).

**Spectroscopic analytical grade methanol was supplied from (S.d.fine-chem limited- Mumbai).**

**Standard solutions**

Stock solutions of CIP and HYD were prepared in a solvent mixture of methanol: water (80:20 v/v), of concentration 1 mg/mL and 0.5 mg/mL, respectively.

**Working solutions**

Working solutions were freshly prepared by dilution from the stock solutions with solvent mixture to get (20 μg/mL) of both drugs.

**Procedure**

Spectral characteristics of CIP and HYD

The zero-order absorption spectra (D\(\lambda\)) of 5 μg/mL CIP and HYD solutions were recorded against solvent mixture as a blank.

Construction of calibration curves

Different aliquots were transferred from the working solutions (20 μg/mL) into a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks then completed to volume with solvent mixture to form solutions equivalent to (2-14 μg/mL) of CIP and (1-14 μg/mL) of HYD. The spectra of the prepared standard solutions were scanned from 200-400 nm and stored in the computer.

**For EXRSM**

Calibration curves were constructed relating the D\(\lambda\) absorbance at 278.6 nm and 243 nm for CIP and HYD respectively, versus the corresponding concentrations and the regression equations were computed.

**For RDSM**

The stored spectra of CIP were divided by the spectrum of 5μg/mL HYD, while HYD spectra were divided by the spectrum of 6μg/mL CIP. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting the difference between the amplitude ratio difference \( (A_P = 241.7 - 221.5) \) for the CIP and HYD, respectively, versus the corresponding concentrations and the regression equations were computed.

**For IsoM**

The ratio spectra obtained from RDSM were exported to Matlab to perform mean centering with respect to wavelength and the mean centered ratio spectra (MC) were obtained for both drugs. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting maximum peak amplitude of MC at 279.8 nm and 241.7 nm for CIP and HYD respectively versus the corresponding concentrations and the regression equations were computed.

**For MCR**

The ratio spectra were converted to D\(\lambda\) at isoabsorptive point 256.2 nm for CIP versus the corresponding concentrations and the regression equation was computed.

**For ARM**

Calibration curves were constructed by plotting the D\(\lambda\) absorbance at isoabsorptive point 256.2 nm (\( \lambda_{iso} \)) for HYD versus the corresponding concentrations and the regression equation was computed.

The second derivative D' was recorded for the CIP stored spectra (\( \Delta \lambda = 8 \)) against solvent mixture as a blank. Calibration curve was constructed by plotting peak amplitude of D' spectra at 256.2 nm for CIP versus the corresponding concentrations and the regression equation was computed.

**Experimental**

**Apparatus and software**

Shimadzu - UV 1800 double beam UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Japan) with matched 1 cm quartz cells at 200-800 nm range was used for all absorbance measurements. Spectra were automatically obtained by Shimadzu UV-Probe 2.32 system software. For the mean centering for ratio spectra method, Matlab\(\textsuperscript{\textregistered}\) Version 7.9 was used.

**Chemicals and reagents**

**Pure samples**

Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride (CIP) was kindly supplied by Egyptian International Pharmaceutical Industries Co. (ElPICO), Cairo, Egypt. While Hydrocortisone (HYD) was kindly supplied by Sigma Pharmaceutical Industries Limited, Al-Monofeya, Egypt. Their purity was found to be 99.86 ±0.85 and 100.52 ±0.64 for CIP and HYD respectively by the official methods.\(^1\).

**Market sample**

Ciprocort® ear drops, labeled to contain 10mg of HYD, 2.3mg of CIP per 1 mL (Batch number: 1601001), was manufactured by European Egyptian Pharmaceutical Industries (EEPI), Alexandria, Egypt.

**Solvents**

Spectroscopic analytical grade methanol was supplied from (S.d.fine-chem limited- Mumbai).

**Application to pharmaceutical preparation**

One milliliter was accurately transferred from the pharmaceutical dosage form.
form solution to a 100 mL volumetric flask and diluted to the mark with solvent
mixture methanol: water (80:20 v/v) to get 23 μg/mL of CIP and 100 μg/mL
of HYD; the prepared solution was filtered through 0.45 μm Millipore syringe
membrane filters. An appropriate dilution was then made with the same solvent
to prepare the working solution containing of 2.3μg/mL CIP and 10 μg/mL
HYD. Six replicates for each experiment were done. The concentrations
of pure CIP and HYD were calculated from their corresponding regression
equations. When carrying out the standard addition technique, different known
concentrations of pure standard CIP and HYD were added to the pharmaceutical
dosage form before proceeding in the previously mentioned methods.

Fig. 1. The chemical structures of (a) Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride and (b)
Hydrocortisone. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The aim of this work was to develop simple, sensitive, selective and precise
spectrophotometrical methods for simultaneous estimation of CIP and HYD in
their pure form and ophthalmic solution. The zero-order absorption spectra
(D₀) of CIP and HYD showed overlapping, which couldn’t be resolved by
first (D¹) or second (D²) derivative, Fig. 2. So, different spectral manipulating
techniques have been applied including two recently developed methods.

Fig. 2. UV spectra of 5 µg/mL of each CIP ( ) and HYD (- - - - ), (a)
zero order spectra D₀, (b) 1st derivative spectra D¹, (c) 2nd derivative spectra D².

EXRSM

The Extended ratio subtraction method (EXRSM) was used for the
determination of the binary mixture of CIP and HYD. In the extended ratio
subtraction, the first step is applying the well-established ratio subtraction
method (RS) where the mixture’s spectrum is divided by using certain
concentration of one component as a divisor, where the constant value is
calculated along the plateau region which is parallel to the baseline ≥ ± 2nm
(corresponding to the maximum peak of the divisor in its zero order), then
subtracted from the ratio spectra followed by multiplication by the divisor.
In ratio subtraction and extended ratio subtraction method, each component
of interest is recovered in its zero order spectra and measured at its λ
max to minimize the noise error.

HYD can be determined by dividing the spectrum of the binary mixture
by a known concentration of CIP as a divisor (6 µg/mL of CIP). The constant
obtained from the division was measured at the plateau (330-350 nm). By subtracting this constant, then multiply the obtained curve after
subtraction by the spectrum of 6 µg/mL of CIP (the divisor), therefore we can
recover the zero order absorption spectrum HYD present in the mixture, Fig. 3,
and measured it at its λ max (243 nm).

To determine CIP in the binary mixtures, the obtained D₀ spectrum of HYD
was divided by a known concentration of HYD as a divisor (5 µg/mL of HYD)
to get the constant value at (225-255 nm). Then by dividing the spectrum of the
binary mixture by the spectrum of 5 µg/mL of HYD (the divisor), the division
will give the previously obtained constant. By subtracting this constant, then
multiply the obtained curve after subtraction by the spectrum of 5 µg/mL of
HYD (the divisor), therefore we can obtain the zero order absorption spectrum
CIP present in the mixture, Fig. 4, and measured it at its λ max (278.6 nm).

Fig. 3. (a) Ratio spectra of laboratory prepared mixtures of CIP and HYD
using 6 µg/mL of CIP as a divisor, (b) Ratio spectra after subtraction of
the constant, (c) The obtained zero order spectra of HYD in the laboratory
prepared mixtures after ratio subtraction.

Fig. 4. (a) Ratio spectra of obtained spectra of HYD using 5 µg/mL of
HYD as a divisor (b) Ratio spectra of laboratory prepared mixtures of CIP and
HYD using 5 µg/mL of HYD as a divisor, (c) Ratio spectra after subtraction
of the constant, (d) The obtained zero order spectra of CIP in the laboratory
prepared mixtures after extended ratio subtraction.
**The concentration of CIP and HYD were calculated using the regression equations representing the linear relationship between the zero order (D_0) absorbance of each at 278.6 and 243 nm versus the corresponding concentrations of CIP and HYD, respectively. Different concentrations of CIP (3, 6 and 9 μg/mL) and of HYD (3, 5 and 7 μg/mL) were tested as a divisor but the concentrations 6 μg/mL of CIP and 5 μg/mL of HYD gave minimum noise, smoother ratio spectra and maximum sensitivity.**

**RDSM**

The overlapping spectra of the CIP and HYD suggested that ratio difference spectrophotometric method as a suitable method for their simultaneous determination. RDSM depends on measuring the difference between two amplitude values at two wavelengths in one step using the ratio spectra, so eliminates the derivative steps and therefore the signal to noise ratio is enhanced. The linearity of the amplitude values at each of the chosen wavelength against the corresponding concentration should be checked to ensure minimal noise to signal ratio at these wavelengths. By applying the ratio difference method, the difference between the amplitude values is measured by subtraction the two values at two selected wavelength, so the noise will be cancelled.

For the determination of CIP concentration by this method, the only requirement was the contribution of the CIP and HYD at the two selected wavelengths (279.8 and 241.7 nm) where the ratio spectrum of the HYD showed the same amplitudes (constant) whereas the CIP showed significant difference in these two amplitude values at these two selected wavelengths with concentration. Similarly, another two wavelengths (241.7 and 221.5 nm) were selected for the estimation of the HYD.

The CIP solutions (2-14 μg/mL) spectra were divided by the spectrum of HYD (5 μg/mL) and the HYD solutions (1-14 μg/mL) spectra were divided by the spectrum of CIP (6 μg/mL), Fig. 5. The concentration of CIP was calculated by using the regression equation representing the linear relationship between the differences of these ratio spectra amplitudes at the two selected wavelengths versus the corresponding concentration of CIP. Similarly, HYD could be determined in the same manner. The divisors concentrations were selected as in (EXRSM). The selected wavelengths were the best regarding average recovery percent when used for the prediction of CIP and HYD concentrations in bulk powder as well as in laboratory prepared mixtures.

**Fig. 5. Ratio spectra of mixture of 5 μg/m of each of CIP and HYD, (a) using 5 μg/mL HYD as a divisor, (b) using 6 μg/mL CIP as a divisor.**

**MCR**

This method eliminates derivative steps and therefore signal-to-noise ratio is enhanced. The ratio spectra for both drugs obtained from (RDSM) were mean centered in the range (200-330 nm), Fig. 6; the spectra from 330-400 were eliminated as it affected the linearity of the MC curves. The concentration of both drugs was calculated by using the regression equation representing the linear relationship between (MC) at 279.8 nm and 241.7 nm for CIP and HYD respectively.

**IsoM**

The isosbestic spectrophotometric method was applied for simultaneous determination of CIP and HYD in their binary mixtures. The total concentration (CIP + HYD) can be measured at the isosbestic point (λiso = 256.2 nm), Fig. 2, by using the regression equation representing the linear relationship between D, absorbance of HYD at 256.2 nm. The concentration of CIP in the mixture could be calculated without any interference, by using the regression equation representing the linear relationship between D* absorbance of CIP at 256.8 nm. Thus the concentration of HYD was then be calculated by subtraction.

**Fig. 6. (a) Mean centered ratio spectra of CIP (2-14 μg/mL), (b) Mean centered ratio spectra of HYD (1-14 μg/mL).**

**ARM**

The absorbance ratio method was applied to determine the concentration of CIP (Cx) and HYD (Cy) in a binary mixture through the linear relationship between the absorbance ratio value of the binary mixture of (X) and (Y) and the relative concentration in that mixture. The main criteria for the application of the absorbance ratio method are the existence of an isosborsptive point and selection of optimum wavelengths. For good separation, the method should be applied using the wavelengths (λx, λy, λz) which can fulfill good determination of the components in the binary mixture. The choice of the two wavelengths (243 and 330 nm) beside the isosborsptive point (256.2 nm) gave the best recovery percentages for determination of laboratory prepared mixtures.

The absorbance of the previous laboratory prepared mixtures at λx (256.2 nm) were plotted against total concentrations of Cx (C/ Cx+Cy) and Cy (C/ Cx+Cy) and the regression equation was computed, to obtain slope of the regression line (a0 = 0.030).

The absorbance of each solution was measured at λx (330 nm), λy (243 nm) and λz (256.2 nm), the relative absorbance (Q = A/ A0) were plotted against the relative concentration (C/ Cx+Cy) and the regression equation parameters were computed (b/a0 = 0.071). The relative absorbance (Q = A/ A0) were plotted against the relative concentration (C/ Cx+Cy) and the regression equation parameters were computed (b/a0 = 0.649).

The corresponding concentration ranges, calibration equations and other statistical parameters for spectrophotometric methods were listed in Table 1. The selectivity of the proposed procedures was assessed by the analysis of laboratory prepared mixtures containing different ratios of the two drugs, where satisfactory results were obtained over the calibration ranges as shown in Table 2. The proposed procedures were also applied for the determination of CIP and HYD in Ciprocort® ear drops; and were compared to the manufacturer HPLC

**Methods validation**

Method validation was performed according to ICH guidelines 10 for the proposed methods as follows:

**Range and linearity**

The linearity of the proposed methods was evaluated by processing the different calibration curves on three different days. The calibration curves were constructed within concentration ranges that were selected on the basis of the anticipated drugs concentration during the assay of the dosage form. The corresponding concentration ranges, calibration equations and other statistical parameters for both methods were listed in Table 1.

**Limits of detection and quantification**

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated respectively, for both drugs using the proposed methods with a ratio of 3.3 and 10 standard deviations of the blank and the slope of the calibration line, Table 1.

**Accuracy**

To study the accuracy of the proposed methods, procedures under study of linearity, for both drugs using the proposed methods, were repeated three times for the determination of six different concentrations of pure CIP and HYD within the linearity range. The accuracy expressed as percentage recoveries and standard deviation was shown in Table 1. Good accuracy of the developed methods was indicated by the results obtained.

**Precision**

The intra-day and inter-day precision of the proposed methods were determined by the analysis of three different concentrations of pure CIP and HYD, within the linearity range, by three replicate analyses of three
pure samples of both drugs on a single day and on three consecutive days, respectively. The results were illustrated in Table 1.

Selectivity
Selectivity was ascertained by analyzing different mixtures containing both drugs in different ratios within the linearity range. Satisfactory results were shown in Table 2. Good recovery percentages with accepted standard deviations were obtained in all cases which prove the negligible effect of the spectral noise on the constant value using (EXRSM) or (RDSM).

Robustness
The spectral measurements of three concentrations of CIP and HYD (2, 4, 6 µg/mL) were done using different ratios of methanol in solvent (75, 70, 65 %) and analyzed three times using the proposed methods. The methods proved to be robust and the percentage recoveries and standard deviation were calculated, Table 1.

Table 1. Assay parameters and method validation obtained by applying the proposed methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>EXRSM</th>
<th>RDSM</th>
<th>MCR</th>
<th>IsoM</th>
<th>ARM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibration range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0.1044</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
<td>6.3589</td>
<td>0.2654</td>
<td>3.8564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>-0.0009</td>
<td>0.6035</td>
<td>-0.0041</td>
<td>0.2318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean % a</td>
<td>100.20</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>99.89</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>100.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (Recovery % / RSD)</td>
<td>100.57 / 0.87</td>
<td>99.92 / 0.47</td>
<td>100.22 / 1.17</td>
<td>99.52 / 0.32</td>
<td>100.03 / 1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-day precision c</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-day precision c</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robustness c</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient (r)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Eight calibration points (n=8) in µg/mL.

b Average of three experiments.

c Relative standard deviations of three samples of CIP and HYD.

D₀: zero order absorbance; P₁-P₂: difference in amplitude; MC: mean centering amplitude; D²: second derivative amplitude.

LOD: Limit of detection, LOQ: Limit of quantitation; in µg/mL.

Table 2. Determination of CIP and HYD in laboratory prepared mixtures by applying the proposed methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratios</th>
<th>EXRSM</th>
<th>RDSM</th>
<th>MCR</th>
<th>IsoM</th>
<th>ARM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>HYD</td>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>HYD</td>
<td>CIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:5</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>99.96</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>99.56</td>
<td>99.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:4</td>
<td>102.44</td>
<td>101.51</td>
<td>98.88</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:6</td>
<td>101.49</td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>99.20</td>
<td>101.43</td>
<td>99.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:10</td>
<td>101.49</td>
<td>100.37</td>
<td>101.41</td>
<td>100.72</td>
<td>101.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:4</td>
<td>100.99</td>
<td>101.51</td>
<td>100.71</td>
<td>98.62</td>
<td>100.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:6</td>
<td>101.32</td>
<td>100.88</td>
<td>100.20</td>
<td>99.48</td>
<td>100.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:3</td>
<td>100.75</td>
<td>101.50</td>
<td>100.60</td>
<td>99.59</td>
<td>100.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:4</td>
<td>101.74</td>
<td>102.00</td>
<td>102.25</td>
<td>98.82</td>
<td>102.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>101.23</td>
<td>100.95 ± 0.80</td>
<td>100.41</td>
<td>99.76 ± 1.09</td>
<td>100.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Average of three experiments.
### Table 3. Application of standard addition technique to the analysis of CIP and HYD in Ciprocort ® ear drops (Batch no: 1601001) by applying the proposed methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>CIP Found % ±S.D</th>
<th>Pure added Recovery % ±S.D</th>
<th>HYD Found % ±S.D</th>
<th>Pure added Recovery % ±S.D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXRSM</td>
<td>101.28 ±0.62</td>
<td>100.43 ±0.42</td>
<td>98.35 ±0.66</td>
<td>99.87 ±0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDSM</td>
<td>101.33 ±0.94</td>
<td>100.52 ±0.19</td>
<td>98.75 ±0.73</td>
<td>100.19 ±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCR</td>
<td>100.90 ±0.93</td>
<td>100.16 ±0.23</td>
<td>98.11 ±0.78</td>
<td>100.49 ±0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IsoM</td>
<td>101.23 ±0.58</td>
<td>100.60 ±0.18</td>
<td>98.07 ±0.46</td>
<td>100.34 ±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>101.24 ±0.81</td>
<td>100.56 ±0.62</td>
<td>98.03 ±0.74</td>
<td>100.43 ±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer HPLC method[29]</td>
<td>101.66 ±0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>98.01 ±0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average of six experiments.

### Table 4. Statistical comparison of the results obtained by the proposed method and the official methods[1] on Ciprocort ® ear drops (Batch no: 1601001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>HYD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean %</td>
<td>100.20</td>
<td>100.04</td>
<td>100.07</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>100.82</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>99.89</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>99.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s t-test (2.201)*</td>
<td>1.471</td>
<td>1.922</td>
<td>1.991</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>2.192</td>
<td>1.417</td>
<td>1.317</td>
<td>1.768</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F value (6.094)*</td>
<td>3.909</td>
<td>3.497</td>
<td>2.943</td>
<td>3.583</td>
<td>1.566</td>
<td>3.949</td>
<td>1.408</td>
<td>1.212</td>
<td>(4.120)*</td>
<td>1.331</td>
<td>(4.120)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BP methods for CIP is HPLC method, while for HYD is absorbance method.

### Table 5. Results of one way ANOVA for Comparison of the proposed and the manufacturer methods[29] for determination of CIP and HYD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>Between columns</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td>0.3734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within columns</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19.792</td>
<td>0.6597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21.659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYD</td>
<td>Between columns</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4640</td>
<td>0.0928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within columns</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.417</td>
<td>0.4139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12.881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There was no significance difference between the methods using one-way ANOVA at p < 0.05.

### CONCLUSION

The main advantage of the new methods (RDSM and EXRSM) over the ratio subtraction method is that the application of the last one requires the extension of one of the two components of the mixture and it is limited to determine the non-extended component only, while (EXRSM) can be applied for the determination of the two components of the mixture (extended and non-extended components) at their λ<sub>max</sub> and (RDSM) can be applied for the determination of any binary mixture without any limitation or specified requirement except that the two spectra of the proposed drugs.
should be attributed at the chosen wavelengths. Regarding accuracy and reproducibility, the EXRSM showed maximum accuracy and reproducibility over the other applied methods that depend on cancelling the constant such as ratio difference and mean centering since it obtains the original spectra of each component in the binary mixture in its zero order and allow its determination of Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride and Hydrocortisone, in contrast to the manufacturer HPLC method for the simultaneous determination of Ciprocort ear drops. Without any preliminary separation step as they showed equal accuracy and precision compared to the proposed methods could be easily applied in quality control laboratories over the other applied methods that depend on cancelling the constant such as ratio difference and mean centering since it obtains the original spectra of each component in the mixture as in EXRSM, so it shows a wider range of application. Both new methods proved to be accurate, precise and sensitive as the well-established methods, but they had the advantage of being simpler as they do not require any sophisticated apparatus, as HPLC methods. They eliminate the derivative step, hence enhancing the signal to noise ratio, so they are suitable for computer programs that cannot perform derivative or derivative ratio spectrophotometry. They do not need special noise ratio, so they are suitable for computer programs that cannot perform derivative or derivative ratio spectrophotometry. They do not need special techniques which require Matlab * program to analyze the data as in case of chemometric and mean centering derivative or derivative ratio spectrophotometry. They do not involve several steps to analyze each drug alone, as in isosabsorptive point method, or other sophisticated calculation, as in absorbance ratio method. As a final conclusion, they are of lower cost.
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