COUMARINS OF HAPLOPAPPUS MULTIFOLIUS AND DERIVATIVE AS INHIBITORS OF LOX: EVALUATION IN-VITRO AND DOCKING STUDIES
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ABSTRACT

Several natural compounds containing a coumarin moiety have been reported. They have multiple biological activities, e.g., as scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and to reduce the inflammatory processes by inhibition of enzymes like COX or LOX.

A study was made of the inhibitory capacity of seven natural coumarins and one derivative of esculetin, against soybean 15-lipoxygenase (15-sLOX). The studied coumarins showed interesting degrees of inhibition compared to quercetin, a known LOX inhibitor. Docking studies of some compounds into the binding site of 15-sLOX and 5-hLOX were also made.
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INTRODUCTION

Lipoxygenases (LOX) are a family of non-heme iron-containing enzymes that catalyse the dioxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids in lipids containing a cis,cis-1,4-pentadiene structure, which are classified with respect to their positional specificity of arachidonic acid oxidation and are referred to as 5-, 8-, 12- and 15-LOX.1-3

The biological properties of LOX’s have been widely studied because they are involved in the biosynthesis of leukotrienes (LTs) and lipoxins (LPS) which participate in different human pathologies. For example, reticulocyte 15-lipoxygenase (15-LOX-1) has been implicated in colorectal and prostate cancer4, whereas platelet 12-lipoxygenase (12-LOX), in pancreatic, breast5,6 and prostate cancers7,8. The most prominent isoenzyme in LT production is 5-LOX, which also participate in inflammation5,9.

Due to the great importance of simple, prenyl and oxypentenyl coumarins as anti-inflammatory agents, considerable efforts have been made to isolate natural compounds and to prepare synthetic derivatives. A few examples of simple natural coumarins are esculetin, daphnetin and fraxetin, recognized as inhibitors of the isoform pro-inflammatory 5-LOX and COX. Simple prenyl coumarins like osthole are moderate and selective 5-LOX inhibitors10. Finally, prenylated coumarins such as auraptene11 and umbelliprenin exhibit remarkable and potent inhibition against 15-sLOX12.

Searching for new LOX inhibitors, we evaluated in-vitro seven coumarins previously isolated in our Laboratories from Haplopappus multifolius13,14 and one diacetyl derivative of esculetin (8), against soybean lipoygenase (15-sLOX). Some of those compounds presented better inhibitory activity than quercetin, taken as comparison compound. It is important to mention that 15-LOX assay may be used as preliminary study of 15-LOX, due to the similarities between both enzymes15. Besides, some structures were docked in the active site of the crystal structure of 15-LOX. In relation to the internal binding mode inside the 5-hLOX enzyme, the most interesting compound turned out to be 2. The values obtained were related to the structural features present in those molecules.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting Points were recorded on a capillary Microthermal instrument and are not corrected. Kinetics were run on a Lambda 25 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. The enzyme 15-sLOX (15-lipoxygenase soybean-P1) was purchased from Cayman Chemical.

Coumarins 1-7 were isolated from leaves of Haplopappus multifolius and their structures had been reported previously14,15. Compound 8 was prepared from 5, by an acetylation in the common way. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance DR 400 spectrometer with TMS as internal standard.

Percent inhibition assays

Percent 1 values were obtained by a modification of a previously described method16 using 25 mM Hepes buffer (pH 7.5) with 0.01% Triton X-100 for 15-sLOX and arachidonic acid as substrate (10µM). Enzyme rates were determined by monitoring the formation of hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid (HPETE) at 234 nm on a Lambda 25 UV/Vis spectrophotometer at 25±0.1 °C. The inhibitors (1 mg/mL in DMSO) were added to the buffered substrate and the reaction was initiated by addition of the 15-s LOX enzyme (10 µL). Control rates were measured using the same volumes of DMSO and of inhibitor. Percent 1 was determined by measuring absorbance vs time.

Docking studies

All structures were built with the GaussianView software17. Restricted electrostatic potential (RESP) charges were obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G** level of theory employing the Gaussian 03 package18. Docking of inhibitors into the active site of the crystal structure of 15-lipoxygenase soybean-P1 (PDB code: 1N8Q) at 2.1 Å of resolution was performed with the AutoDock 4 package19 using a Lamarckian algorithm and assuming total flexibility of the inhibitors and partial flexibility for the His residues coordinated with Fe inside the binding site. The grid maps were made up of 60 x 60 x 60 points, with a grid-point spacing of 0.375 Å. The AutoTors option was used to define the ligand torsions, and the docking results were then obtained by a ranked cluster analysis, resulting in conformations with the highest overall binding energy (most negative -AG_for value). Finally, a docking study using human 5-lipoxygenase (PDB code: 3O8Y) at 2.39 Å resolution on derivative 2 was obtained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The structures of all the natural coumarins isolated from Haplopappus multifolius14,15, and the derivative 8, were characterized by spectroscopic methods and comparison with known compounds. The structures of the compounds are shown in Figure 1:

1 7-O-prenyl-coumarin; 2 6-hydroxy-7-[(E,E)-3',7'-dimethyl-7'-hydroxy-2',5'-octodienyloxy] coumarin; 3 6-hydroxy-7-[(E,E)-3',7'-dimethyl-5'-hydroxy-2',6'-octodienyl]oyloxy] coumarin; 4 6-hydroxy-7-prenyl coumarin (prenylniteten); 5 6,7-dihydroxy (esculetin); 6 7-hydroxy coumarin (umbelliferone); 7 6β-[D-glucopyranosyl]-7-hydroxy coumarin (esculin); 8 6,7 diacetyl-esculetin. The percent inhibition of all of them was compared with that of quercetin 9, a known LOX inhibitor21.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coumarin</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Coumarin 1 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Coumarin 2 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Coumarin 3 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Coumarin 4 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Coumarin 5 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Coumarin 6 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Coumarin 7 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Coumarin 8 Structure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Percent inhibition values of 15-sLOX inhibitors 1-9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Concentration [µM]</th>
<th>%I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>23.47 (±0.426)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>34.75 (±2.477)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>26.76 (±0.027)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>25.78 (±2.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>40.50 (±0.806)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>37.22 (±1.385)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>20.45 (±0.051)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>16.06 (±1.244)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>36.78 (±1.194)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows %I values. This values show the importance of concentration in relation to %I. The best compound was 2 with 34.75 % of inhibition at a concentration of 12.7 µM, and 1 gave the worst values (16.06 and 23.47% of inhibition and 19.1 and 17.4 µM of concentration, respectively). For the sake of comparison, the % I of 2 was better than that of quercetin.

The results besides show the importance of the presence of the catechol group in the aromatic systems or a location of hydroxyl groups in the geranyl chain. Their type and location accounts clearly for the value of %I of compound 2 compared to quercetin. Compound 2 has well interaction of alcoholic geranyl group with non-heme iron (Fe³⁺) Besides a two hydrogen bridges interaction with amino acid residues, due to a flat structure of the aromatic system, causing inhibition of the enzyme. In contrast, in quercetin this rigidity is changed into a B ring that is flexible and whose conformation does not allow good interaction in the active site, and this takes place before the quercetin can degrade to 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid, which according to the literature is a potent inhibitor of 15-sLOX²⁻²⁻.

The docking of compound 2 with 15-sLOX and 5-hLOX. The bond energy of 2 at the bonding site with the substrate in 15-sLOX was -0.19 kcal/mol. shown in Figure 2.

Docking of compound 2 with 5-hLOX.

Most LOX inhibitors are antioxidants or free radical scavengers, since lipooxygenation occurs via a carbon-centered radical. In other hand, some studies suggest a relationship between LOX inhibition and the ability of the inhibitors to reduce Fe³⁺ in the active site²². In previous studies, the coumarins of *H. multifolius* showed very good antioxidant activity²⁵. Therefore, compounds with antioxidant properties could be estimated to offer protection in rheumatic arthritis and other inflammatory diseases²⁶ and this would be better if the coumarins have phenolic hydroxyl or catechol groups. Docking results showed that in the potent coumarin 2 the aliphatic hydroxyl is near of Fe³⁺ and create hydrogen bridges.

When carrying out docking with compounds 5 and 7 it was seen that both structures were located in the bonding site of arachidonic acid. Compound 5 was located at a distance of 3.5 Å from Fe³⁺ (active form of the enzyme) and the bond energy was -3.51 kcal/mol. In the case of compound 7, it was located in a very similar manner as in compound 5, but since the catechol group no longer exists, instead there is a glucose residue on C-7 (the distance to Fe³⁺ is 3.3 Å). Some decrease of the activity is because the hydroxyls are unable to join efficiently in the bonding site, and the docking energy drops to positive values of 3.3 kcal/mol. Therefore, suppression of the catechol group in compound 7 is a determining factor in the low inhibition decrease.

To get an idea of how the best candidate of the coumarin derivatives would behave with the key enzyme in the inflammatory processes, we performed the docking of compound 2 with 15-sLOX and 5-hLOX. The bond energy of 2 at the bonding site with the substrate in 15-sLOX was -0.19 kcal/mol. shown in Figure 2.
of 5-LOX is greater than that of 15-sLOX, and this would account for the variation of the interaction energy values within the active sites. Finally, these preliminary % I and docking studies may suggest that compound 2 can have greater affinity for 5-hLOX and may be a good candidate as inhibitor of that enzyme. Finally, this work is in development and doing biological studies against 5-human lipoxygenase of geranyl coumarins and yours derivatives as potents anti-inflammatories.
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