DEEP DESULFURIZATION BY ADSORPTION OF 4,6-DIMETHYLDIBENZOTHIOPHENE, STUDY OF ADSORPTION ON DIFFERENT TRANSITION METAL OXIDES AND SUPPORTS.

F. APARICIO\(^1\), E. CAMÚ\(^2\), M. VILLARROEL\(^3\), N. ESCALONA\(^4\), P. BAEZA\(^5\)*

\(^{1}\) Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Instituto de Química, Casilla 4039, Valparaíso, Chile.
\(^{2}\) Universidad Católica Silva Henríquez, General Jofré 462, Santiago, Chile.
\(^{3}\) Universidad de Concepción, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Casilla 160C, Concepción, Chile.

(Received: July 30, 2013 - Accepted: November 8, 2013)

ABSTRACT

In this work the adsorption of 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT) on different supports (\(\text{SiO}_2\), \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), zeolite 4A, zeolite 13X, natural zeolite, and activated carbon), incorporating on its surface different first series transition metal oxides at different content, was studied. The results indicate that the activated carbon presents a higher adsorption capacity than the other supports studied, which is assumed to the large number of functional groups present on its surface. The incorporation of the first series transition metal oxides on support, induces in some cases the increase of the adsorption capacities of 4,6-DMDBT and these adsorptions capacities depend on metal content. Finally, the desulfurization by adsorption represents an alternative tool for the elimination of refractory sulfur compounds.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, numerous studies have been reported that over time, the air quality has been getting worse which predicts a unfavorable future; this is the main reason why the recovery of the air quality is one of the prevailing challenges to possible increases in gas emission to the atmosphere.\(^1\)

The main air pollutants: primary and secondary are products of combustion of different sources of fossil fuels, especially petroleum that still remains the most widely used energy source by cities and industries. Therefore, within the various problems that have brought this excessive consumption are: global warming, poor air quality, perturbations to biogeochimical cycles and acid rain, among other.\(^2\) The latter has become very important and enhancement within environmental plans, due to its high impact on buildings, land and structures in corrosive processes, bringing countless losses.

Sulfur dioxide is a primary pollutant and reacts with different species present in the atmosphere, generating secondary pollutants, principally acid rain. The 73% of \(\text{SO}_2\) produced is by anthropogenic origin and is related with the combustion of petroleum and its derivatives. Hydrodesulfurization (HDS) is a conventional process for removing sulfur compounds; the HDS can adequately desulfurize sulfur compounds such as thiols, sulfides, disulfides, thiophenones, and benzothiophene, but it's less effective for remove dibenzothiophene (DBT), and its alkyl derivatives for example: 4-methyldibenzothiophene (4-MDBT) and 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT)\(^3\). This process uses Ni-Mo/\(\gamma\)-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), Co-Mo/\(\gamma\)-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), or Ni-W/\(\gamma\)-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) catalysts, and operate under high temperature (300-450 °C) and pressure (20-100 bar \(\text{H}_2\)) conditions, resulting in the loss of about 10 octane number\(^4\).

Actual legislation for the use of gasoline or diesel, states that sulfur concentration should be below 0.1 - 0.2 ppm. To reduce diesel sulfur content from 500 to 15 ppm by HDS, it was estimated that the size of the reactor would necessarily increase by a 7 factor. Another estimation\(^5\) showed that in order to reduce the level of sulfur in diesel from 300 to less than 10 ppm, it would be necessary to increase from 15 to 600 psi hydrogen pressure in the HDS reaction.

From exploited oil reservoirs, are extracted compounds with high molecular weight, because they are located within the later stages of use of the reservoirs and, in turn, the remaining fraction, will be even heavier. The sulfur in this fraction is associated with aromatic molecules of high molecular weight. In this case the conventional method for HDS would not be adequate, due to the high conditions that should operate the reactor and the inability of the catalysts for the treatment of this "Refractory Molecules".

Then, it is a fact that alternative methods are needed for desulfurization, which, should be advantageous and more efficient that the conventional process, this development has been taking enhancement and has now led to the emergence of several alternative methods, such as: adsorption to selective remove sulfur compounds\(^6\), ultrasonic desulfurization\(^7\), oxidative desulfurization\(^8\), and biodesulfurization\(^9,10\).

For the purpose of improving the efficiency of conventional desulfurization process is proposed the use of adsorption to remove refractory organic sulfur compounds, since several studies have shown that the refractory compounds especially 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT) bind tightly through the formation of \(\pi\)-complexes\(^11\), which is carried out through an electronic back bonding between species adsorbed to the surface of an adsorbent. This usually occurs between a transition metal, with the aromatic rings of the adsorbed species. The mechanism of \(\pi\)-complexation of the cations of an adsorbent may be the link between \(\pi\) molecular orbitals of the sulfurized organic molecule, with an empty \(s\)-orbital, while \(d\)-orbitals can donate electron density to the \(\pi\) molecular orbital of the aromatic rings for the molecule with sulfur. The main feature of the cations is to form a strong electronic bonding to achieve \(\pi\)-complexation and these are the ones that have an electronic configuration \(\text{nd}^{x}\) (\(x = 5\)-10) these cations also possess an empty \(s\) orbital, capable of accept electron density, and the d orbitals with electrons available for donation, an example for this is the \(\text{Cu}(\text{I})\) with a \([\text{Ar}]3d^{10}4s^1\) electronic configuration\(^12\), However, to achieve an adsorption process these metal cations should have a suitable support.

In this study we evaluated, the performance of the different support as adsorbent of 4,6-DMDBT and also the incorporation of different transition metal oxides and metal content. Initially, the use of this mechanism as a supplement or alternative to conventional desulfurization (HDS) provides a great advantage to perform the adsorption at room temperature and pressure, so there not would be a loss of octane in fuel, in order to achieve the current emission standards for the combustion of fossil fuel\(^13\).

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Preparation of the adsorbent

The supports studied were: \(\text{SiO}_2\), \(\gamma\)-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), zeolite 4A, zeolite 13X, natural zeolite, and activated carbon (\(\text{C}_\text{zeolite}\)), these supports were used in a particle size between 120 and 270 mesh, support samples were dried at 100 °C for 2 h in a muffle to remove traces of water.

The adsorbents were prepared using the best support obtained in support adsorptions studies, by dry impregnation using an aqueous solution of each metal nitrate of first transition metal series (Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn), containing the required amount of salt to render nominal concentrations of 4%. After impregnation of support, the adsorbents were dried at 100 °C for 12 h, and then calcined at 300 °C for 4 h in a muffle furnace.

Another series of adsorbents for the best metal, obtained in different metal oxides adsorptions studies were prepared by metal impregnation of the best support with aqueous solution of metal nitrate; containing the required amount
of salt to render 2%, 4% and 6% w/w. The samples were dried at 100°C for 12 h, and then were calcined at 300°C for 4 h in a muffle furnace.

2.2. Samples characterization

For specific area (BET) determination were taken 0.2 - 0.4 g of each sample, which were degassed at 120°C for 18 h, reaching a final pressure of 1x10⁻³ mmHg. Subsequently, the analysis was performed within a glass slide at -196°C, using an apparatus for volumetric nitrogen adsorption-desorption, brand Micromeretics ASAP-2010. The analysis for each sample with metal was performed by ICP-OES to determine the real content, in a Perkin Elmer Optima 7300V. 0.3 g were taken from the samples and melted in a Pt crucible with a 2:1 mixture of sodium carbonate and lithium tetraborate, the melted sample was transferred to a nitric acid solution for analysis. Electrophoretic migration measurements were performed on a Zeta Meter, model 3.0, provided with an automatic transfer unit sample. In each measurement is used approximately 30 mg of the sample suspended in 300 mL of KCl solution 1x10⁻¹ M. Between each point, the pH was adjusted with 0.1 M KOH or HCl as required.

2.3. Adsorption experiments

Adsorption experiments were performed in a vertical Pyrex reactor equipped with a supporting glass porous disk. A bed of ~500 milligrams of different adsorbents was placed in the reactor. Prior to the determination of the adsorption capacity (A₂), an activation process was performed for each adsorbent, when the adsorbent is constituted by Cu(I) or Fe(II) a 20 mL min⁻¹ flow of pure O₂ was passed at 300°C for 30 min, then the temperature was decreased with a 20 mL min⁻¹ flow of Ar, later was circulated a 20 mL min⁻¹ flow of 5% H₂/Ar mixture, at 180°C for 30 min. Finally, the temperature was lowered again to room temperature with a 20 mL min⁻¹ flow of pure Ar. For Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), and Zn(II), the treatment was performed only with a 20 mL min⁻¹ flow of pure Ar at 200°C for 30 min and subsequent cooling.

For the adsorption experiments, the liquid flow was driven into the reactor by means of a peristaltic variable flow mini-pump. The experiment was performed using an artificial mixture of 500 ppm of 4,6-DMDBT in isooctane at a feed rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. Samples were collected every 5 min, until saturation is achieved; the total time and number of samples depended on the amount of adsorbent and its adsorption capacity.

The samples were analyzed by gas chromatography – flame photometric detector (GC-FPD) using a Shimadzu GC-2010 equipped with an SPB-5 capillary column (L 30m, I.D. 0.25 mm, Film 0.25mm, and the following conditions: detector at 250°C, injector at 150°C, and carrier flow, 15 mL min⁻¹ (N₂). The column temperature was set to increase from 160°C to 200°C at a rate of 40°C min⁻¹, and then at 220°C at 5°C/min; 0.5 mL of the sample volume was injected, using an autosampler, for each GC-FPD run. The limit detection was 2 ppm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Effect of the type of surface

Characterization results by the BET method of the different media studied are shown in Table 1, it is clear that the C₄A has a larger apparent specific area and that the specific area of the other supports follow this increasing order: zeolite 4A, natural zeolite, zeolite 13X, SiO₂, γ-Al₂O₃, γ-Al₂O₃.

Table 1: Specific area BET and adsorption capacities of 4,6-DMDBT of different supports studied; and apparent specific area of activated carbon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Specific area m²/g</th>
<th>Adsorption Capacity (mg 4,6-DMDBT g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeolite 4A</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeolite 13X</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Zeolite</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated Carbon</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The zeta potential measurements, allow the determination of the isoelectric points (IEP) of pure solids (support and metallic oxides) and the respective zero charge point (ZPC) of mixtures, and even develop an idea of the species present in mixture surface². The ZPC and fraction of covered surface (Xₐ), calculated from measurements of the ZPC of all adsorbents and the IEP for C₄A, are important because they can be exchanged with any metal, however it should be considered obviously using another method of preparation of adsorbents, which departs from the conditions studied in this work, here we can delve into other work with other conditions.

3.2. Effect of metal type

The real content of each metal supported on activated carbon (the best support) determined by ICP-OES, are detailed in Table 2, which also provides the theoretical values. It is observed that the real contents are very close to the theoretical, although there is a small difference near to 10% of real content at worst, this can be attributed to a loss in the preparation process.

Table 2: Elemental analysis obtained by ICP-OES, ZPC and fraction of covered surface by metals, Breaking point (BP) and adsorption capacities of 4,6-DMDBT of Mn(4%) supported on activated carbon (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Theoretical Metal content (%)</th>
<th>Real Metal content (%)</th>
<th>ZPC</th>
<th>Xₐ</th>
<th>BP</th>
<th>Adsorption Capacity (mg 4,6-DMDBT g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₄A</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(4)/C₄A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(4)/C₄A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(4)/C₄A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>88.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(4)/C₄A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>224.3</td>
<td>138.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(4)/C₄A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(4)/C₄A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The zeta potential measurements, allow the determination of the isoelectric points (IEP) of pure solids (support and metallic oxides) and the respective zero charge point (ZPC) of mixtures, and even develop an idea of the species present in mixture surface². The ZPC and fraction of covered surface (Xₐ), calculated from measurements of the ZPC of all adsorbents and the IEP for C₄A, are important because they can be exchanged with any metal, however it should be considered obviously using another method of preparation of adsorbents, which departs from the conditions studied in this work, here we can delve into other work with other conditions.
this content was chosen because in previous works it was observed that the ability to cause DBT and T adsorption depended on the amount of Cu species dispersed on ZrO$_2$. The breaking point, obtained in adsorption curve (adsorbed fraction v/s eluted volume per g of adsorbent) and the results of the adsorption capacities of adsorbents M/C$_x$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn) with a content of ~4% are also shown in Table 2. It is clear that the adsorption capacity of the materials depends on the nature of the metal which acts as active site in the π type adsorption, it should be noted that C$_x$ has an adsorption capacity near to 48 mg of 4,6-DMDBT g$^{-1}$ of support, then if we observe the adsorption capacity for Cu and Zn adsorbents, we see that these are 27 and 23 mg g$^{-1}$ support, lower than that the C$_x$ (in the absence of metal), this may be due to: a) the difficulty to obtaining the oxidation states that meets the rule (n-1)d$^3$s$^1$, especially for the case of Cu, b) it is possible that these metals may stabilize the electrons present in the d orbital, because they possess a complete orbital layer c) incorporation of these metals, only lead to a coverage of functional groups presents in the surface of C$_x$, who do have an adsorption capacity.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1:** Adsorption capacities of 4,6-DMDBT (bars) and X$_{ZPC}$ (line) for the adsorbents M(4%)/C$_x$, and activated carbon as reference.

Figure 1 illustrates the above results and also include X$_{ZPC}$ values, thereby showing the relationship between the fractions of surface covered with the adsorptions capacities of different adsorbents, it can be established that as we move in the periodic position for studied metals, we observed a volcano type curve with a maximum in Ni, mainly due to the stability for the +2 oxidation state, which has a configuration suitable for electronic π-complexation, this gives a greater number of d electrons, for π-complexation and the metal is that has a larger surface on the support.

### 3.3. Effect of metal content

The study of metal content on the adsorption capacity of 4,6-DMDBT, was chosen the best adsorbent used within the previously studied, i.e. the adsorbent based on Ni supported on C$_x$.

First as expected, as well in the previous point the real contents are very close to the theoretical.

Specific area results for C$_x$ and NiO(x)/C$_x$ (X = 1.98, 3.65 or 5.65) are shown in Table 3, it is noted that the specific area C$_x$ (in absence of NiO) is high (819 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), also it is observed a decrease of specific area by metal incorporation, this diminution is greater at content of 3.65%, due to that for this NiO content the metal occupies a greater amount of specific area, but at mayor content, close to 6%, the decrease is lower, probably due to a losing in the dispersion at higher nickel content.

In the same table we also appreciate that X$_{ZPC}$ increases with the content of Ni, for example from 0.08 to 0.35 at NiO content of 1.98 to 3.65% respectively, but at mayor contents X$_{ZPC}$ decreases only slightly from 0.35 to 0.32, for a content of 3.65 to 5.65%, probably due to the formation of agglomerated NiO species on the surface of C$_x$, and decreases the amount of disperses species; despite the high specific area of the support. This is confirmed by the slight increases of the specific area noted above with increasing the amount of Ni on activated carbon.

### Table 3: Elemental analysis obtained by ICP-OES, ZPC and fraction of covered surface by metals, Specific areas measured by BET. Breaking point (BP) and adsorption capacities of 4,6-DMDBT of Ni(x%) supported on C$_x$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Theoretical Metal content (%)</th>
<th>Real Metal content (%)</th>
<th>ZPC</th>
<th>Specific area m$^2$g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>BP</th>
<th>Adsorption Capacity (mg 4,6-DMDBT g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C$_x$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(2)/C$_x$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(4)/C$_x$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>224.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(6)/C$_x$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>105.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine the dependence of the adsorption capacities with the metal content is evaluated the adsorption of adsorbents of NiO(x)/C$_x$ in Table 3. It clearly shows the differences in the breaking points (BP) that each sample possess, being clear that the adsorbent which has a higher BP is the adsorbent with NiO content close to 4%. Table 3 also shows the adsorption capacity obtained from the adsorption curve and BP. The results in this table show us that the adsorption capacities of 4,6-DMDBT for NiO(x)/C$_x$ adsorbent depends to the metal content, fraction of covered surface, the dispersion and the amount of high dispersed species of the metal on the surface.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Certain supports have an adsorption capacity even in the absence of a metal, within the studied highlights the activated carbon; due to its large number and variety of functional groups present on its surface. Moreover, the incorporation of a metal, generates an increase in the adsorption capacities of 4,6-DMDBT, whenever it can be obtained on the surface metallic species that have optimal and stable configurations for adsorption via π-complexation, thus the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent with activated carbon as support, depends on the nature of the metal to be incorporated, showing a volcano-like behavior with a maximum in Ni, relating the adsorption capacities with electronic behavior (greater ability to stabilize optimal oxidation states) and covering properties over the support surface (X$_{ZPC}$). Undoubtedly, the metal content also plays an important rule in the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent, finding an optimum content, which depends on the greater X$_{ZPC}$, this is a point to improve in order to have a larger amount of Ni and covering major support surface, this can be achieved by changing the method of preparation of the adsorbent.

Adsortive desulfurization is presented as an alternative tool for the HDS process for removing sulfur compounds, especially for the refractory
molecules.
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