1. INTRODUCTION

Single-layer graphite, known as graphene, a new class of two-dimensional carbon nanostructure, due to their excellent electronic transport properties, extremely high mechanical stiffness, and exceptional thermal and electrical conductivity, has attracted considerable scientific interest in constructing a range of advanced materials for many potential applications such as nanoelectronics, sensors, batteries, supercapacitors, hydrogen storage, and nanocomposites [1-5]. Graphene was first obtained through micromechanical cleavage of graphite [6]. However, this method was less effective for large-scale manufacturing. Recent advancements in the production of graphene involve chemical vapor deposition (CVD), epitaxial growth, and liquid phase exfoliation from graphite [7-9]. The latter chemical method is a practical approach to the scalable production of graphene materials. The primary challenging to achieve individual or few-layer graphene is overcoming the strong, attractive van der Waals forces. To date, chemical efforts at graphite exfoliation have been mainly focused on the intercalation, chemical modification, oxidation-reduction, and the use of stabilizers such as surfactants or polymer [10-20]. However, the most common technique for exfoliation and dispersion of graphene is to disperse the graphite oxide (GO) into water or other polar solvents [21-25]. The presence of the carboxyl, alcohols, and epoxides groups on the basal plane of GO reduce the interlayer forces and render them soluble in water. Due to the easily handle, the method is widely used to construct various nanocomposites [26-30].

Accordingly, the preparation of GO became a critical step in constructing graphene-based nanocomposites. In 1859, Brodie first reported the preparation of GO by adding a portion of potassium chlorate into a slurry of graphite in fuming nitric acid [31]. Based on the Brodie’s method, Staudenmaier improved the procedure in 1898 by using concentrated sulfuric acid as well as fuming nitric acid and adding the chlorate in multiple aliquots over the course of the reaction [32]. The obtained GO by Staudenmaier was more oxidized than Brodie in a single reaction. Due to the potential risk of explosions, the method of GO preparation proposed by Brodie and Staudenmaier was not widely used. Until after more than 50 years, Hummers et al. reported a new kind of oxidizing agent to prepare GO in 1958 by adding KMnO₄ and NaNO₂ in concentrated H₂SO₄ [33]. The Hummers’ method in GO preparation was safer in operation than that of Brodie and Staudenmaier and was most commonly used today. However, it should be noticed that all three of these procedures involve the generation of the toxic gas (es) NO₂, N₂O₄, and/or ClO₂, the latter also being explosive. With the appeal of environmental protection, a green and safer GO preparation method is demanded. Until very recently, a green synthesis method of GO was reported by Marcano et al. [34], who obtained GO by adding KMnO₄ in a 9:1 mixture of concentrated H₂SO₄/H₃PO₄ to oxidize graphite. The advantages of the Marcano’s method were its higher yield and no toxic gas evolution during preparation compared to the previously reported three methods. However, the post-processing of the method was time-consuming, which involved the repeating sifting; and the influence of the quantity of graphite, the quantity of KMnO₄, reaction time, and temperature on GO preparation was not clear.

In the present work, we prepared GO by an improved green synthesis using KMnO₄ and a 9:1 mixture of concentrated H₂SO₄/H₃PO₄ as oxidant, which was similar to the procedure described by Marcano et al. but with some modifications to simplify the post-processing by simple filtration. To obtain well-oxidized GO, an orthogonal array design (OAD) L₉ was applied to optimize the preparing conditions of GO. The effects of the quantity of graphite, the quantity of KMnO₄, reaction time, and temperature were evaluated by the OAD. Then the GO obtained at the optimal preparing conditions was evaluated by FT-IR, XRD, Raman and UV-Vis techniques. The results suggested that the improved green synthesis method was simpler than Marcano’s and could be more attractive for large-scale production of GO than Hummers’ method.
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2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

The natural flake graphite (~325 mesh, ≥ 99.8% purity) used to prepare GO was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Concentrated sulfuric acid (95-98% ), phosphoric acid (≥ 85%), hydrogen peroxide (≥30%), hydrochloric acid (36-38%), potassium permanganate, and barium chloride were purchased from Luoyang Hao Hua Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. and used as received. Deionized water was distilled by water purification system.

2.2 Preparation of graphite oxide

2.2.1 Green preparation

The green synthesis of GO was prepared similar to the procedure described by Marcano et al. but with some modifications. In detail, graphite flakes and KMnO₄ were added successively to a 9:1 mixture of concentrated H₂SO₄/H₃PO₄ (45:5 mL). The reaction was then heated to a certain temperature controlled by water bath and stirred for a certain time. After that, 250 mL of water was added. Shortly, 10 mL of 30% H₂O₂ was added to the mixture. The warm solution was then filtered and washed with 5% HCl aqueous solution until SO₄²⁻ was removed completely. The filter cake was then dispersed in water by mechanical agitation. Then, the above mixture was centrifuged to collect the bottom produce and repeatedly washed with deionized water until the pH of the supernatant was neutral. Finally the material was dried at 60°C for 24 h and obtained a brown or black sample, which was called IGO.

2.2.2 Optimization of graphite oxide preparation

Graphite oxide was prepared by varying the quantity of graphite, the quantity of KMnO₄, reaction time, and temperature. In this study, orthogonal experimental design method was used to analyze the influence degree of the quantity of graphite, the quantity of KMnO₄, reaction time, and temperature on the structure of graphite oxide. Then the optimized preparation conditions were selected. The quantity of graphite, the quantity of KMnO₄, reaction time, and temperature were determined as four experimental factors of orthogonal tests and each factor had three levels. Table 1 shows the experimental conditions for the preparation of the graphite oxide by the green synthesis. It was assumed that any two factors did not interact with each other. The orthogonal design table L₉ (3⁴), obtained by SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solutions) software,
was used for optimization the preparation conditions and test program is given in Table 2.

Table 1 The table of factors and levels in orthogonal experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Graphite amount / g</th>
<th>Time / h</th>
<th>KMnO₄ amount / g</th>
<th>Temperature / °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 The L₁₂ Orthogonal table. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. number</th>
<th>Graphite amount / g</th>
<th>Time / h</th>
<th>KMnO₄ amount / g</th>
<th>Temperature / °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.375</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3 Modified Hummers method

Graphite oxide was synthesized from the natural flake graphite according to a modified Hummers method. In detail, the 500 mL three-necked flask was placed in an ice bath, and the flake graphite (2.5 g), NaNO₃ (1.25 g) and KMnO₄ (7.5 g) were added slowly one by one to avoid sudden increase in temperature. Once mixed, the temperature of the mixture was kept to be below 15 °C by cooling and stirred for 3 h; successively, the mixture was stirred at 35 °C of water bath for 30 min. and then carefully diluted with 115 mL of deionized water to keep the temperature at 90 ± 10 °C. When the temperature was below 85 °C, the flask was transferred to a 90 ± 5 °C of water bath and stirred for 60 min. After that, 175 mL of water was added. Shortly, 10 mL of 30% H₂O₂ was added to the mixture, turning the color of the mixture from dark brown to yellow. The warm solution was then filtered and washed with 5% HCl aqueous solution until SO₄²⁻ was removed completely. The filter cake was then dispersed in water by mechanical agitation. Then, the above mixture was centrifuged to collect the bottom produce and repeatedly washed with deionized water until the pH of the supernatant was neutral. Finally the material was dried at 60 °C for 24 h and obtained a brown sample, which was called HGO.

2.3 Analysis of the Specimens

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra between 400 and 4000 cm⁻¹ were carried out with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution on a Thermo Nicolet Fourier Transform Spectrum, using a KB disk method. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained with a Rigaku D/MAX-2200 diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation. Raman spectra were recorded using a micro-Raman spectroscopy (JY-HR800, the excitation wavelength at 514.5 nm). Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorption spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-3101PC spectrophotometer.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Optimization of preparation conditions

Since various parameters potentially affect the degree of oxidation of GO, the optimization of the experimental conditions is a critical step in the development of a green, safe, simple, and fully-oxidized GO preparation method. Based on the above considerations, the preparation parameters were optimized to obtain an efficient preparation of GO from natural flake graphite by the improved green preparation technology. According to the green preparation method of GO, these parameters including the quantity of graphite, the quantity of KMnO₄, reaction time, and temperature are potentially the most important factors to influence the degree of oxidation of GO. In order to decrease test times, optimization of the suitable preparation conditions in the graphite oxide preparation can be carried out by using an experimental design. In the present study, all selected factors were examined by using an orthogonal $L₁₂(3)ⁿ$ test design.

12.5 mg GO powder obtained from each test in the GO preparation by the green preparation method was accurately weighed, and was dispersed in 100 mL water to obtain a 0.125 g/L of GO dispersion. The dispersions with the same concentrations (except the sample of no.9, which concentration was 0.0625 g/L) were quantitatively analyzed by UV-Visible spectrophotometer and are presented in Figure. As could be seen from the Figure 1, two absorption bands around 227.5 nm and 292.5 nm appeared for all the samples except no.8; moreover, the intensity of these absorption bands showed increased gradually with the change of the preparation condition. Actually, the absorbance at the wavelength of 292.5 nm, a characteristic band of GO, was assigned to $n→π^*$ transitions of the carbonyl groups. The higher the intensity at 292.5 nm, the larger the degree of oxidation of GO. Therefore, the absorption band at 292.5 nm could be used as an indicator to estimate the degree of oxidation of GO. The test was carried out for three times.

![Figure 1](2214)

The results of the experiments are presented in Table 3 and the range analysis has been carried out on the basis of the evaluated values and the orthogonal experimental results to determine the optimal parameter combination. Thus, the K (total of the same level), k (average of the same level), and R (range) values are calculated and listed in Table 3. As could be seen from Table 3, the influences on the degree of oxidation of GO decreased in the order: reaction temperature > reaction time > the quantity of KMnO₄ > the quantity of graphite according to the R values. The reaction time was found to be the most important determinant on the degree of oxidation of GO, and the quantity of graphite was the least important one. In other words, the optimum preparation conditions to obtain the maximum degree of oxidation of GO by the improved green synthesis method was that the reaction temperature was 40 °C, the reaction time was 24 h, the quantity of KMnO₄ was 2.25 g, and the quantity of graphite was 0.75 g, respectively.

3.2 FT-IR spectra analysis

GO obtained at the optimal preparation condition by the green synthesis method was evaluated in comparison to modified Hummers’ method. For clarity, the GO produced by the green synthesis and modified Hummers’ method was named IGO and HGO, respectively.
Table 3 The absorbance at 292.5 nm of graphite oxide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. number</th>
<th>Graphite amount / g</th>
<th>Time / h</th>
<th>KMnO₄ amount / g</th>
<th>Temperature / °C</th>
<th>Absorbance / a.u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₁</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₃</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₁</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₂</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₃</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Degree of factors: Temperature > Time > KMnO₄ amount > Graphite amount

Best level: Graphite 0.75g - time 24h - KMnO₄ 2.25g - Temperature 40 °C

3.3 XRD patterns analysis

The degree of oxidation of IGO and HGO was further investigated by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). XRD patterns were sensitive to the interlaminar microenvironment, structure and oxidation state of layered materials. The changes observed in the interlayer spacing may be the indicative of the perturbation of the oxygen-containing functional groups bound to the graphite sheet. The XRD patterns of the graphite, IGO, and HGO are presented in Figure 3. The XRD pattern of the natural flake graphite exhibited peaks located at 26.5°, 44.3°, and 54.5° corresponding to planes (002), (101), and (004), respectively. The main peak (002) of both IGO and HGO was broader and lower in intensity than the natural flake graphite. Moreover, an apparent shift in the (002) peak position for the IGO and HGO illustrated larger interplanar d spacings.

According to Bragg diffraction formula, the d spacings of IGO and HGO were 0.97 nm and 0.84 nm, respectively. Generally speaking, the interlayer spacing of the materials is proportional to the degree of oxidation. Therefore, it was reasonable to conclude that IGO was more oxidized than HGO, which was in accordance with that of FT-IR. Compared with the XRD pattern of IGO reported by Marcano et al. [34], the d spacing of our IGO prepared at the optimal preparation condition was slightly larger, indicating the larger oxidized degree in IGO.
3.4 Raman spectra analysis

Raman spectroscopy can provide qualitative information on the microstructure of carbon-based materials, which corresponds to the change of properties for graphite. The Raman spectra of graphite, IGO, and HGO are shown in Figure 4.

![Raman spectra of graphite, IGO, and HGO](image)

Figure 4 Raman spectra of the graphite (a), IGO (b) and HGO (c).

As shown in the Figure 4, the peaks around 1580 cm⁻¹ (G-band) and 1350 cm⁻¹ (D-band) were detected for the graphite, IGO, and HGO. The G-band around 1580 cm⁻¹ is related to the vibration of sp²-bonded carbon atoms in a two-dimensional hexagonal lattice, such as in a graphene layer. The D-band around 1350 cm⁻¹ was associated with the vibration of disordered sp²-bonded carbon atoms [35]. These bands could be used to evaluate the extent of carbon-containing defects. The oxidation of the graphite equalled to increase the defects in the hexagonal graphene layers. Therefore, the intensity of D-band was known to increase when GO was formed. It could be observed from Figure 4 that the intensity of D-band increased while that of the G-band decreased for the IGO and HGO, which undoubtedly confirmed that oxygen-containing functional groups had been grafted on the surface of graphite by chemical oxidation [36].

The value of intensity ratio between the D- and G-bands (I_D/I_G) could be served as a measure of the microstructure of graphite sheets. Table 4 shows the relationship between I_D/I_G and samples. It could be seen that the value of I_D/I_G increased greatly from graphite to GO, but slightly increased from HGO to IGO, which indicated a little alteration in the microstructure of HGO and IGO. The delicate difference of microstructure between HGO and IGO might result from the reservation of more aromatic rings in the basal planes of IGO than HGO, which was further proved by the UV-Visible absorption measurements. Marcano et al. concluded GO prepared by the green preparation method could retain more aromatic rings in the basal planes of IGO than HGO [34]. However, the delicate difference in Raman spectra could not be observed.

![UV-Vis spectra of HGO and IGO](image)

Figure 5 UV-Vis spectra of the HGO (a) and IGO (b).

4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusions, a simple green preparation technique of IGO was proposed in this work, and IGO obtained by the improved green synthesis method showed significant advantages over the modified Hummers’ method. The obtained GO was characterized by FT-IR, XRD, Raman, and UV-Vis techniques. The results suggested that the improved synthesis method could be advantageous for large-scale preparation of GO. The following conclusions could be drawn:

1. The optimal preparation conditions for the largest degree of oxidation of GO by the green synthesis method were determined by using an orthogonal [L_9 (3^4)] test design and the optimum preparation conditions were that the reaction temperature was 40 °C, the reaction time was 24 h, the quantity of KMnO_4 was 2.25 g, and the quantity of graphite was 0.75 g, respectively.

2. IGO prepared at the optimal preparation conditions by the improved green synthesis method showed fewer defects in the basal plane and was more oxidized than HGO prepared by modified Hummers’ method.

3. The improved green preparation simplified the post-processing of IGO and showed larger oxidized degree than IGO prepared by Marcano et al.
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