DEVELOPMENT OF A SIMPLE LC METHOD FOR QUANTIFICATION OF LAMOTRIGINE IN HUMAN SERUM

M. ALBORNIZ P., S. MENNICKENT C.*, M. DE DIEGO G. AND G. RÍOS G.

Pharmacy, University of Concepción, P.O. Box 237, Concepción, Chile.

ABSTRACT

A liquid chromatographic (LC) method for quantitative analysis of lamotrigine in human serum was developed using liquid –liquid extraction with ethyl acetate. Quantitation was achieved over the concentration range of 1.0 to 40.0 µg/mL (r = 0.999), using a mixture of acetoni trile: phosphate buffer (0.5 M) of pH 4.5 (69:31 v/v) as mobile phase, with a flow of 1 mL min−¹. Column was C18 (150 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 cm; Merck), chloramphenicol was used as internal standard, and UV detection at 266 nm. The intra-assay variation was between 1.22 % and 1.85 % and the inter-assay was between 1.72 % and 2.91 %. The detection limit was 0.14 µg/mL, and the quantification limit was 0.42 µg/mL. The method proved to be accurate, with a recovery between 94.02 % and 109.95 %, with RSD not higher than 2.91 % and was selective for lamotrigine (Rs between lamotrigine and chloramphenicol was 4.9). This method was successfully applied to quantify lamotrigine in patient serum samples.

In conclusion, the method is precise, accurate, reproducible and selective for the analysis of lamotrigine in human serum. Therefore, it could be an important tool to evaluate drug level in this matrix and, of this way, to obtain a better drug effect.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Lamotrigine (LTG) (Figure 1) [1] is a phenyltriazine derivative used as an anticonvulsant drug in the management of partial seizures with or without secondary generalization, in adults and children 2 years of age or older.

LTG also is used as monotherapy in patients converting from monotherapy with a hepatic enzyme-inducing anticonvulsant agent (e.g., carbamazepine, phenytoin) or a hepatic enzyme-inhibiting agent (as valproic acid). Addition or discontinuation of hepatic enzyme-inducing drugs or hepatic enzyme-inhibiting drugs may require modification of the dosage of LTG, to avoid recurrence of epileptic symptoms. Moreover, although plasma concentrations of LTG have been associated with efficacy in the prevention of epileptic seizures, the therapeutic ranges of LTG vary between individuals, especially enzymes and isoenzymes that affect plasma LTG levels [2-12]. Renal replacement therapy also influenced plasma concentrations of LTG [13-14]. Therefore, LTG should be quantitatively detected in the blood of patients to avoid drug toxicity caused by individual differences and environmental and pathological changes in the process of drug taking. Therapeutic plasma concentrations of LTG are between 1.0 µg/mL and 18.1 µg/mL, with mean plasma level of 5.9 µg/mL [15].

The detection of antiepileptic drugs in human blood is challenging because of their low contents and the interference of complex matrices. In this way, to control individual plasma LTG levels through the selection of appropriate dosing regimens, we developed a fast and simple method to detect serum concentrations of LTG over its therapeutic range by liquid chromatography.

Figure 1. Chemical structure of Lamotrigine.

For determination of LTG in human blood, studies by HPLC [16-31], chemometric [32] and HPTLC [33-34] were found. Many of them need special equipment and are expensive, difficult to carry out and they require a long time for analysis. The major importance and novelty of this work is that the method is simple and economical.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Material

2.1.1. Apparatus

For the HPLC method, a Merck Hitachi HPLC supplied with a UV–VIS spectrophotometer detector and a data processor (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), were used.

Other instrumentations used were WLW Centrifuge (Germany), Heidolph shaker Metrohm (USA), and Reacti-Vap evaporator Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA).

2.1.2. Chemicals and reagents

Standard of LTG (98.21 % purity) and chloramphenicol (98.55 % purity) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Methanol and acetonitrile were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All of the reagents were HPLC grade.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Standard solutions

Stock solutions containing 200 µg/mL of LTG were prepared in methanol. Separate solutions were prepared for the calibration standards and quality control samples. These solutions were diluted immediately before use with methanol, to obtain working solutions of 1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0 and 40.0 µg/mL for the analysis of LTG in human serum. All solutions were stored at 4 ºC for about two days. Before injections, sample treatment was made for all of solutions.

2.2.2. Spiking procedure for calibration and quality control (QC) samples

The calibration samples were prepared immediately before use by spiking 1 mL of pooled human blank serum with 0.1 mL of a convenient working solution in methanol. Quality control samples (1.0, 10.0 and 40.0 µg/mL) were used to determine the intra and inter-assay precision and accuracy of the method.

Drug-free serum used for the validation of the method was obtained from healthy volunteers.
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2.2.3. Samples

Blood of patient volunteers (n = 5) using LTG as treatment for epileptic conditions were used for quantitative drug determination from serum. Venous blood samples were heated at 37 °C and later centrifuged to obtain serum. Serum samples were frozen at -20 °C pending analysis (about two days).

The volume of serum used was 2 mL. Samples and standards were applied three times, each. Standards were used at concentrations of 1.0, 10.0 and 40.0 µg/mL.

2.2.4. Extraction procedure

Blank serum and human serum samples were stored at -20 °C until required for analysis. Serum samples, calibration and quality control samples were thawed at room temperature. Immediately after thawing, 2 mL of sample was processed by adding 25 µL of chloramphenicol (internal standard) (5 µg/mL), 1 mL of NaOH 0.1 N and 10 mL of ethyl acetate, which was subsequently vortexed and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3000 rpm. Then, organic phase was evaporated under a gentle steam of dry nitrogen at 37 °C. The residue was dissolved in 200 µL of mobile phase and stored at the freezer until its analysis.

All the procedure was accomplished under safety conditions.

Chloramphenicol was chosen as internal standard because it provides a signal that is similar to the analyte signal at the objective concentration and two signals are easily distinguishable by the instrument without interference between each to other.

2.2.5. Chromatography

HPLC analyses were carried out on a C18 150 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 cm (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) column with a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹, using 306 nm as a work wavelength. Chromatographic run was 3 minutes.

Mobile phase was a mixture of acetonitrile: phosphate buffer (0.5 M) of pH 4.5 (69:31 v/v).

2.2.6. Stability study

To establish the stability of LTG samples, in normal storage conditions, the following study was performed: six extraction solutions were used at three different concentrations: 1.0, 10.0 and 40.0 µg/mL. These solutions were stored at three different conditions: freezer temperature, room temperature with light protection, and room temperature without light protection. Concentration determination was evaluated at 0, 1, 4, 7, 11 and 15 days of storage. Each sample was determined by duplicated. First day corresponded to 100 %. Each day internal standard was added.

2.2.7. Method validation

The validation of the developed LC method was carried out according to Bioanalytical method Validation guidelines [35].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Method Optimization

Different conditions for sample extraction and chromatographic conditions were evaluated for optimal results.

The optimal mobile phase was those with solvent system that would give optimal separation of LTG and chloramphenicol (R = 4.9) and good peak symmetry.

Wavelengths of 306 nm allows a complete resolution of the peaks with clear baseline separation.

Sample extraction was optimized to obtain a simple procedure, with very good recoveries from spiked serum samples.

The developed method enables lower RSD for intra-day and inter day precision and better accuracy compared with other methods to quantitative determination of LTG in human blood, as can be observed in Table 1. Moreover, the most of other methods are more complicated and slower than the proposed method.

Table 1. Comparison between values obtained using proposed method and other methods founded for quantitative determination of LTG in human blood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>LINEARITY RANGE (µg/mL)</th>
<th>PRECISION (RSD) (%)</th>
<th>ACCURACY (% RECOVERY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPED METHOD</td>
<td>1.0-40.0</td>
<td>1.2-2.9</td>
<td>94-109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serralheiro et al</td>
<td>0.1-50.0</td>
<td>≈ 12.1</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vidal, Pascalu and Pou</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>2.4-9.1</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeij and Edelbroek</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>≈ 1.9</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serralheiro et al</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>≈ 12.1</td>
<td>78-101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bompard et al</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>1.8-6.7</td>
<td>≈ 98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng, Chou, Hu</td>
<td>0.1-5.0</td>
<td>≈ 8.1</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferreira, Rodrigues, Amilcar and Alves</td>
<td>0.2-20.0</td>
<td>8.1-15.4</td>
<td>62-97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torra, Rodamilans, Arroyo and Corbella</td>
<td>0.2-20.0</td>
<td>1.8-6.7</td>
<td>≈ 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contin et al</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>≈ 10</td>
<td>97-103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.A: no available (the most of papers only allowed revised the abstracts).

3.2. Calibration curves

Calibration curves were constructed over the concentration range of 1.0 µg/mL to 40.0 µg/mL for LTG in human serum. Each solution was injected three times. This range includes the range of therapeutic concentrations of LTG in serum (1.0 µg/mL and 18.1 µg/mL) [15]. Standard curves were constructed by plotting the peak area ratio of the analyte to the internal standard as a function of the concentration added.

The mean equation (curve coefficients ± standard deviation) for the calibration curve (n = 5), obtained from five points, was y = 0.032 x + 0.016 (± 0.2) with a correlation coefficient, r = 0.999, for LTG in human serum.

3.3. Precision and accuracy

The intra-assay and inter-assay precision, as intra/inter accuracy, were calculated at low (L), medium (M), and high (H) quality control levels. Three replicates were prepared for each concentration and injected three times in three different analytical run each.

Inter-assay precision and inter-assay accuracy were done for three days.

Intra-assay and inter-assay included the sample extraction step.

The precision and the accuracy of the assay were measured by the relative standard deviation (RSD) over the concentration range. LTG solutions were of 1.0, 10.0 and 40.0 µg/mL. RSD for intra-assay study was between 1.2 % and 1.85 %, and for inter-assay was between 1.72 % and 2.91 % (Table 2).

Accuracy was calculated from the test results as the percentage of analyte recovered by the assay, determined by linear regression equation of peak area versus. drug concentration. Accuracy was between 94.02 % and 109.95 %, (Table 3).

The accuracy and precision did not exceed 2.91 % of RSD at any level. Precision criteria for an assay method for drugs in biological fluids are that the precision will be 5 % to 10 % (RSD) [35].

The results are presented in Table 2 (precision) and Table 3 (accuracy). Recovery, comparing drug concentration in samples with and without extraction step (matrix effect), is shown at 3.6 section.

Table 2. Precision study for LTG human serum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (µg/mL)</th>
<th>RSD Intra-assay</th>
<th>RSD Inter-assay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Accuracy study of LTG in human serum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real Concentration (µg/mL)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>94.02</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>100.85</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>109.95</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Detection and quantification limits

Detection and quantification limits (LOD and LOQ), were calculated using concentrations in the lower range of linear calibration curve (0.5-1.0-1.5 µg/mL). LOD was 0.14 µg/mL and LOQ was 0.42 µg/mL for LTG in human serum. All of values were calculated using the equations [35]: LOD = 3.3 σ/b; LOQ = 10 σ/b. These values were experimentally verified.

3.5. Selectivity

To the study of method selectivity, the first step was analyzed blank human serum samples, to compare these chromatograms with those obtained from spiked samples with LTG and the IS. No interference was observed in drug free samples (figure 2).

Figure 2. Blank serum.

As second step, we studied resolution between LTG and the IS, chloramphenicol. Both compounds were well separated, with a resolution (Rs) value between both peaks up 4.9 (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Selectivity between LTG and internal standard (chloramphenicol). Peak nº1: LTG. Peak nº2: chloramphenicol.

3.6. Extraction recovery (matrix effect)

The extraction recovery of LTG and IS from serum was studied at concentrations of 1.0, 10.0 and 40 µg/mL for the drug, and 1.5 µg/mL for the IS. The extraction recoveries were calculated by comparing the LTG and IS concentrations obtained from the samples that had been exposed to extraction procedure, to those obtained from the solutions added to the matrix after the extraction. The extraction recovery of LTG from human serum ranged from 90.8 % to 93.7 %, and the IS extraction recoveries were found between 90.6 % and 92.7 %.

3.7. Stability

Stability study of LTG in human serum was done with samples stored at -20 ºC. Quality control solutions were prepared at days 0, 1, 4, 7, 11 and 15 days. LTG concentrations ranged between 94.5 % -96.4 %.

3.8. Application of the method

This method was used to measure the concentration of LTG in serum of volunteer patients (n = 5) using this drug as medication for epilepsy, for at least six months. The LTG concentration was between 3.0 µg/mL and 16.4 µg/mL in the serum of volunteers (all of these values were at therapeutic range). The drug administration time is important, to ensure a steady state drug concentration, but the periodicity of sampling is not relevant, because only one sample is necessary for each patient to prove the developed analytical method in real samples.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The developed method was linear between the concentrations range expected, precise, accurate, sensible, and selective for the quantitative determination of LTG in human serum. As difference of other analytical methods founded in bibliography to quantify LTG in biological fluids, the proposed method exhibits better LOD, LOQ, selectivity, RSD for intra-day and inter-day precision, and is simple. Analytical methods to quantify LTG in blood are the great interest because this drug, as other antiepileptic drugs, needs to be used in the long term and should be quantitatively detected in the blood of patients to avoid drug toxicity caused by individual differences, polytherapy and environmental and pathological changes in the process of taking. The detection of antiepileptic drugs in human blood is challenging because of their low contents and the interference of complex matrices.
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