Organic acid coated-slow-release phosphorus fertilizers improve P availability and maize growth in a tropical soil
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Abstract

In this paper, we report the results of studies on the solubility (water solubility trial) and the response by plants (greenhouse pot experiment using Zea mays) for four types of monoammonium phosphate fertilizers (Conventional MAP - MAP₁, MAP coated with a natural organic acid - MAP₂, MAP coated with a synthetic organic acid - MAP₃, and MAP coated with a peat humic organic acid - MAP₄) applied at five increasing doses (0, 100, 300, 600 and 1200 mg P dm⁻³) and pre-incubated with the soil for five lengths of time before planting (0, 15, 30 and 60 d). The coating with organic acids reduced the water solubility of the P fertilizers - MAP₄ provided a more gradual release of P over time. In the soil pot experiment, it was found that MAP₁ required the highest dose to reach 90% of dry matter accumulation by the maize plant, followed by the Coated-Slow-Release Fertilizers (CSRF). The agronomic efficiency (AE) of P applied as the CSRF was 3 to 13% greater than that of MAP₁. In addition, MAP₄ led to higher values of AE (+11%) and apparent P recovery by maize (+41%) in comparison to MAP₁. The physicochemical properties imposed by the chemical composition of the organic acid coatings generated fertilizers with distinct release rates and improved agronomic efficiencies.
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1. Introduction

The need for more productive agricultural systems has required technological innovations that increase the efficiency of used inputs (Chien et al., 2009). The management of phosphate fertilizers is a key factor in crop production, which is especially the case in highly weathered tropical soil. However, in more oxidic tropical soils, the acquisition of P by plants is usually limited due to soil sorption processes (Novais and Smyth, 1999; Novais et al., 2007; Abdala et al., 2015a), usually requiring P application rates that are as much as 10 times larger than the actual plant demands or more (Novais and Smyth, 1999).
Additionally, in recent years the application of P in medium to large-scale farms in Brazil has been used as a pre-planting operation (usually broadcast) in order to optimize the performance of the row crop planter (only seeds are actually planted). This strategy may reduce P availability if high solubility P fertilizers are used because of the increased contact time of fertilizer P to oxidic clay minerals. These soil minerals are known to form high stability, low reversibility bonds to P (Parfit, 1978), and more recent work using K-edge EXAFS with a synchrotron light source has shown that under low P concentrations, a stable monodentate surface complex is more likely to occur, although the formation of surface precipitates at higher concentrations of P in the goethite/water interface has also been demonstrated (Abdala et al., 2015a).

Given the relevance in P sorption processes, research efforts have been dedicated to understanding the effect of the contact time of P with soil components. Earlier studies with highly weathered soils indicated that 75% of P adsorption occurred within 30 min of contact for those soils with higher P adsorption capacity (Gonçalves et al., 1985). Santos et al. (2011) found that adsorption of 74% of the added P occurred within 5 min in soil with a high P sorption capacity (0.3473 mg g⁻¹). More recently, Guedes et al., (2016) studying P adsorption and desorption kinetics using a stirred-flow system, observed that most of the P sorption process occurs in the first minutes of contact with the solution. The reversibility of this process (P desorption) is rather limited in highly weathered tropical soils as result of the rapid formation of strong and stable bonds of phosphate ions with soil colloids, such as Fe-oxides (Abdala et al. 2015b).

Based on accumulating experimental evidence, it is not surprising that the longer the contact of fertilizer P to highly weathered soils, the greater the adsorption and the lower the availability of P to plants. Among the several strategies based on the soil-rhizosphere-plant continuum that could be used to improve P availability and P use efficiency (Shen et al., 2011), the use of slow-release coated phosphate fertilizers has gained recognition (McLaughlin et al., 2011). Research is increasingly being aimed at developing new technologies to supply plants with phosphorus in a slow manner. Among these slow-release fertilizers (SRF), the major categories are fertilizers coated with different materials. Recently, a number of materials have been tested: biodegradable polymers (Wu and Liu, 2008; Ao et al., 2013; Jia et al., 2013; Lubkowski et al., 2015), superabsorbent polymers (Liang et al., 2007; Teodorescu et al., 2009), commercial polymers (Figueiredo et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013), oxides (Zhang et al., 2014) and fly ash (Dong et al., 2016). More specifically for MAP, a previous study has shown that when P is more slowly released from a thin polymer coated-MAP fertilizer granule, it increased P availability, P uptake and P use efficiency by barley plants (Pauly et al., 2002). The polymer-coated fertilizers had a greater effect on late plant growth stages, whereas the commercial MAP fertilizer was more favorable for P uptake and plant growth at the beginning of the experiment. These results led us to surmise that coated slow-release fertilizers (CSRFs) may be more effective in applications before planting than uncoated conventional MAP if the soil has a large P adsorption capacity. However, to date, there is a scarcity of information to back up such a hypothesis.

The ideal CSRF should control the release rate of nutrients according to different environmental conditions, prevent the accumulation of undesirable synthetic residues in the soil and minimize the frequency and dose of fertilizer applications. However, it is difficult to find a coating material that enables these features. Many researchers report that the molecular composition of coating materials used in CSRFs have influence over P release (Wu and Liu, 2008; Tyliszczak et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2013; Ahmad et al., 2015).
In this context, organic polymers are a promising coating material because they are easily degradable and non-toxic to the environment. Although there are many studies that demonstrate the CSRF water dissolution rate (Bortolin et al., 2012; Lubkowski, 2014; Lubkowski et al., 2015; Ahmad et al., 2015) and agronomic efficiency (Pauly et al., 2002; Figueiredo et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2012; Chagas et al., 2015), little is known about the characteristics of organic polymers that could reduce the negative effects of previous fertilizer P contact time with soil on P availability and the consequent growth response by the plant.

Thus, the purpose of this study was to investigate two commercial CSRFs coated with organic polymers (organic acids) and a CSRF coated with a peat humic organic acid in the laboratory. The kinetic parameters of P release were determined, and the responses of maize plants to different doses and pre-application times (previous fertilizer P contact with soil) were evaluated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Monoammonium phosphate (MAP) coating

An uncoated commercial monoammonium phosphate fertilizer (MAP₁, 52% P₂O₅) and three coated monoammonium phosphate formulations were tested. The size range of MAP granules was standardized to 2 to 3 mm diameter each. The slow release MAP fertilizers were obtained by coating with a distinct organic acid, i.e., MAP₂ (50% P₂O₅ was coated with a natural organic acid), MAP₃ (48% P₂O₅ was coated with a synthetic organic acid, which is commercially available as Kimcoat P™ from Kimberlit Agrociências, Olimpia, SP, Brazil) and MAP₄ (43% P₂O₅ was coated with a humic acid extracted from peat). Coated MAP₂ and MAP₃ were obtained from Kimberlit, and the MAP₄ coating was prepared in our laboratory.

The humic organic acid was extracted from peat (Arraiolos do Cabo, RJ-Brazil) using an alkaline procedure with further purification as in Swift (1996). The coating of MAP₄ with a peat humic organic acid was initially carried out by stirring 2% (w/w) soybean cooking oil with MAP₁ (10 g) for 5 min. Then, lyophilized peat humic acid (1.5 g) was added and stirred in a rotary shaker for 15 min. The MAP fertilizer was weighted before and after coating with humic acid. A mass of 0.61 g (41% of added peat humic organic acid) was adsorbed onto the conventional MAP₁.

Spectral characterizations of organic acids samples used for coating of the MAP fertilizer were performed in the 500-4000 cm⁻¹ region using a VARIAN 660 infrared spectrophotometer fitted with a total attenuated reflectance (PIKE GladiATR) accessory (Figure 1).
2.2. Kinetics of P release in water

A leaching column test was carried out to estimate P release from coated MAPs over 22 hours. Five grams of fertilizer were put into leaching columns, and a controlled flow of water at 2 mL min⁻¹ was applied at the top. Leachate samples were collected from 0 up to 22 h, their volumes were measured, and t and P concentrations were determined colorimetrically based on the ascorbic acid method (Braga and Defelipo, 1974). The values of P released over time were fitted with a pseudo-first order model according to the Lagergren equation (Equation 1).

\[ Q_t = Q_e \left(1 - e^{-kt}\right) \]  

Equation 1

where \( Q_t \) is released fertilizer P and \( Q_e \) is the fast release P pool at equilibrium time and at time \( t \), respectively, and \( k \) is the rate constant of the pseudo-first order release.

Then, using the estimated values of P release rates \( k \), it was possible to calculate the time required for 50% of the fertilizer P to be released \( t_{1/2P} \), based on Paul and Clark (1996) (Equation 2).

\[ t_{1/2P} = \frac{\ln(2)}{k} \]  

Equation 2.

2.3. Greenhouse pot experiment

Phosphate fertilizers were evaluated in a greenhouse pot experiment with maize plants. The soil was a clayey Oxisol (Typic Hapludox) collected from the 0-20 cm layer: pH (water): 5.00; Ca²⁺: 0.27 cmol_\( \text{c} \)_2 dm\(^{-3} \); Mg²⁺: 0.06 cmol_\( \text{c} \)_2 dm\(^{-3} \); P: 2.30 mg dm\(^{-3} \); CEC: 3.78 cmol_\( \text{c} \)_2 dm\(^{-3} \); Equilibrium phosphorus (Alvarez et al., 2000): 8.10 mg L\(^{-1} \); Organic matter: 1.14 %; Clay: 80 %; Silt: 10 % and Sand: 10%. The soil was air-dried and passed through a 2-mm sieve, and then 2.5 dm\(^3 \) of homogenous soil was placed into plastic bags. Lime (CaCO₃ and MgCO₃ p.a. (3:1 molar ratio)) and a basal nutrient solution (Novais et al., 1991) were applied and mixed, and the soil was wetted to 80% of field capacity. Next, the soil was accommodated in 3 dm\(^3 \) plastic pots.

A specific stainless apparatus was built to study phosphorus diffusion from the fertilizer dissolution zone into the soil without allowing direct contact of the roots with the fertilizer granules (Figure 2). The apparatus had two concentric cylindrical compartments (inner and outer) built with 0.25 and 1.0 mm mesh size stainless steel sieves, respectively. A defined amount of the four MAP fertilizers previously described were applied into the inner cylinder, and then the soil was accommodated inside the outer cylinder. The apparatus was then inserted into the pot soil. In a preliminary study, we observed that maize plant roots could access the outer cylinder soil (data not shown) and P, but they could not access fertilizer P inside the inner cylinder where the MAP granules were located (Figure 2).
The fertilizers were applied at rates equivalent to 0, 100, 300, 600 and 1200 mg of P dm\(^{-3}\) of soil in the whole pot. To simulate an early field pre-planting application (with the consequent increase in P contact time with the surface of soil particles), the four MAP fertilizers were applied into the inner cylinder and incubated under moist soil conditions for 0, 15, 30, 60 and 120 d before planting. Four seeds of *Zea mays* (DKB 390 hybrid) were planted in pots with 2.5 dm\(^3\) of soil, and after germination and thinning, a single plant per pot was grown for 35 d. Treatments were arranged in a 4 x 5 x 5 factorial scheme composed of the four MAP fertilizers (MAP\(_1\), MAP\(_2\), MAP\(_3\) and MAP\(_4\)), applied at four rates (0, 100, 300, 600 and 1200 mg of P dm\(^{-3}\) of soil) and five incubation times before planting (0, 15, 30, 60 and 120 d). The experiment was carried out in a completely randomized block design with three replications.

2.4. Chemical analysis

At 35 d after planting, the maize plants were harvested. The roots were washed to remove soil particles prior to separation into roots and shoots. Then, they were dried in an oven at 65 °C for 72 h, weighed and milled. Dried ground samples were digested with a nitric-perchloric solution (Johnson and Ulrich, 1959), and the P in the extract was determined by the colorimetric ascorbic acid method (Braga and Defelipo, 1974). The soil that was inside the outer cylinder and that outside the apparatus (whole pot soil) were collected and homogenized separately, and a representative sample was taken for subsequent analysis. The distance between a midpoint in the outer cylinder and another located in the middle point between the apparatus and the pot wall (3.5 cm) was used for calculating the difference in P concentrations at the two points in the soil (Delta P), and they were assumed to correspond to P movement by diffusion from the fertilizer dissolution zone to the whole pot soil. The available soil P was determined by the anion-exchange resin method (van Raij *et al.*, 1987).

2.5. Statistical analysis and agronomic indices

Data were submitted to an analysis of variance, and the effects of fertilizer P rates and incubation time on dry matter, P uptake by maize, available P in soil and soil Delta P for each MAP fertilizer were assessed through a linear regression analysis. Multiple linear regressions using Akaike’s Information Criteria (AIC) were used to define the best fit model for each MAP fertilizer. Statistical analyses were run using SAS (SAS Institute, Inc. 2001).

Applied fertilizer P and available soil P concentration in the soil corresponding to 90% of maximum dry matter production were estimated using the selected regression models. The indices of Agronomic Efficiency (AE) and Apparent P Recovery (AR) of a plant were calculated as the ratio of the increase in dry matter production and the P taken up by the plant over control (no added P) treatments to the applied rate of the nutrient, respectively, as in the following equations:

\[
AE \text{ (mg mg}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{(Y_N - Y_O)}{F_N} \quad \text{Equation 3.}
\]

\[
AR \text{ (%)} = \frac{[(U_N - U_O) / F_N] \times 100}{ } \quad \text{Equation 4.}
\]

where \(Y_N\) and \(U_N\) are the dry matter production and P taken up by plants in a given treatment, respectively, \(Y_O\) and \(U_O\) are dry matter production and P taken up by plants in the control treatment without P application, respectively, and \(F_N\) is the amount of applied fertilizer P corresponding to 90% of the maximum dry matter production.
3. Results

3.1. Kinetics of P release from the fertilizer granule

Coated MAP fertilizer granules released P to percolating water slower and in smaller amounts than the uncoated MAP (MAP₁) (Figure 3). With percolating water for 1320 min, approximately 96% of all the P was released from MAP₁. On the other hand, MAP₄ showed a distinctly slower release of P compared to MAP₂ and MAP₃ (Figure 3). MAP₄ had a t₁/2P of 204 min, while for the t₁/2P of MAP₂ and MAP₃ were 56 and 41 min, respectively. MAP₁ released approximately 50% of the initial P in only 13 min.

Figure 3. Release of P relative to total P released (%) from non-coated MAP (MAP₁) and coated MAP as a function of time (min) in a leaching column under water flow at 2 mL min⁻¹. MAP₂ is coated with a natural organic acid; MAP₃ is coated with a synthetic organic acid and MAP₄ is coated with a peat humic organic acid. Equations are adjusted according Lagergren. ** indicate significant coefficients at p < 0.01 by the t test.

3.2. FTIR spectrum of organic acids

The FTIR spectrum of the organic acids used in the coating presented the following peaks in the wavenumbers with the possible assignment, respectively: 595 cm⁻¹ (δs(C-CO-C)) aldehydes); 717 and 827 cm⁻¹ (γ(C-H) aromatic ring); 3350 cm⁻¹ (ν₁(O-H) in hydrogen bonding (related to alcohol, phenols or carboxylic acids)); 2844 and 2913 cm⁻¹ (ν₁(C-H, CH₃) and ν₁(C-H, CH₂)); 2350 cm⁻¹ (νs(C≡C) conjugated alkynes); 2159 cm⁻¹ (ν₁(C=N) possibly in conjunction with other unsaturated groups); 1730 cm⁻¹ (νs(C=O) aldehydes and ketones); 1570 cm⁻¹ (ν₁(C=C) benzene ring and the vibration of the aromatic skeleton; νas(C=O) and νs(C=O) the COOH group due to the H bonded OH; ν₁(C=C) overlaid with δs(C-H, CH₃); ring alkanes; ν₁(C=N) pyrimidine); 1380 cm⁻¹ (δs(O-H), ν₁(C-O) phenol groups and the νas(COO⁻)); 1365 cm⁻¹ (δs(C-H, CH₃ or CH₂) aliphatic chains); 1157 cm⁻¹ ((ν₁(C-CH₃) aldehydes) and 1100 cm⁻¹ (δs(O-H) and ν₁(C-O) coupled ν₁(C-C) secondary alcohols). The peat humic acid used for coating the MAP₄ fertilizer had a lower density of aliphatic hydrocarbons (especially with smaller ramifications) and a greater density of -COO⁻ and -OH groups when compared with the synthetic organic acid and the natural organic acid, respectively (Figure 1), giving it an intermediate hydrophobicity among the other organic acids. The synthetic organic acid (MAP₃) had a higher density
of aliphatic hydrocarbons, with the presence of ramifications, unsaturated bonds (C≡C) (Figure 1), and carbonyl groups (C=O) (Figure 1). Additionally, it had less intense bands related to stretches of the C=C aromatic ring (Figure 1) in its structure compared to the other organic acids. The presence of unsaturation promotes greater distortion in the molecule even if it has higher aliphatic chains. This feature and the greater number of carbonyl groups confer a higher permeability to water molecules. In contrast, the natural organic acid used for producing MAP2 showed no C-H (CH2) or C-H (CH3) stretches, which are features of alkyl chains. Combined with the smaller abundance of -COO- groups and larger bands for C=C stretches in the aromatic rings (Figure 1), this molecule displayed greater hydrophobicity.

3.3. Greenhouse pot experiment

Available P in soil

The uncoated conventional MAP (MAP1) led to the lowest availability of P in the soil among all fertilizers when higher levels of P were applied (Figure 4). In this way, the maximum availability of P reached by applying uncoated MAP was 246 mg dm⁻³ when 1200 mg of fertilizer P dm⁻³ were applied 15 d before planting maize. The coated fertilizers favored greater availability of soil P over time. The coated MAP2 provided a more constant concentration of available soil P over pre-incubation times among all fertilizers. The greatest availability of soil P when applying MAP2 (267 mg dm⁻³) occurred with 1200 mg of P dm⁻³ and no pre-incubation time (0 d) (Figure 4). A similar behavior of soil P availability over time was observed for both MAP3 and MAP4. The highest concentration of available soil P among all fertilizers was provided by MAP3 (359 mg dm⁻³) and followed by MAP4 (324 mg dm⁻³), both after 20 d of pre-incubation and with the application of the highest P dose - 1200 mg of P dm⁻³ (Figure 4).

3.4. Estimated P movement from the fertilizer granules into the soil

As expected, the Delta P (the difference in available soil P in the internal soil core in comparison to that in the external soil core) for all fertilizers increased with the applied level of P (Figure 5). The uncoated MAP showed a significant reduction in Delta P over time Table 1. The concentrations of available soil P were estimated to be similar for the two sampling points (Delta P: 0) after 33 and 85 d, by applying 100 mg dm⁻³ and 1200 mg dm⁻³, respectively (Figure 5). However, even the high application doses of coated MAP2, MAP3, and MAP4 were not sufficient to allow P movement from the internal core soil to the external core soil at the same magnitude as uncoated P. Thus, there was always a smaller amount of P movement in soil when coated MAP fertilizers were used compared to the uncoated conventional MAP. Nevertheless, it did not mean less P uptake and maize growth as one would initially suspect (see more details below).
3.5. Maize plants P uptake

The conventional uncoated MAP caused little variation in the uptake of P by the maize plant (Figure 6), regardless of the pre-incubation time. The maximum P uptake by the maize plant (98.2 mg pot⁻¹) was the lowest among all MAP fertilizers evaluated, and it was estimated to occur when 1080 mg dm⁻³ of P as MAP₁ was pre-incubated with the soil 120 d before planting (Figure 6). Interestingly, MAP₄ allowed the greatest P uptake by the maize plant (111.2 mg pot⁻¹). That uptake was estimated to occur with the application of 1080 mg dm⁻³ of P to the soil as MAP₄ 96 d prior to the planting. MAP₂ provided a P uptake by maize plants of 107 mg pot⁻¹ when 1080 mg dm⁻³ of P pre-incubated with the soil during 84 d before planting. Finally, MAP₃ allowed the lowest P uptake by the plants (98.6 mg pot⁻¹) among all the coated fertilizers, which was estimated to occur with its application (960 mg dm⁻³) to the soil 84 d before planting.
Table 1. Estimated values of P applied (mg dm⁻³) as uncoated (MAP₁) and coated MAP (MAP₂, MAP₃ and MAP₄) and time of pre-incubation (d) to reach 90% of dry matter production (DMP, g pot⁻¹) of maize growth by 35 d in a pot experiment, and the corresponding available soil phosphorus concentration (Avail. soil P, mg dm⁻³), Delta P (mg dm⁻³), agronomic efficiency (AE, mg mg⁻¹) and apparent recovery (AR, %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fertilizers</th>
<th>90% DMP (g pot⁻¹)</th>
<th>P applied (mg dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Pre-incubation time (d)</th>
<th>Avail. soil P (mg dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Delta P (mg dm⁻³)</th>
<th>Agronomic efficiency (AE, mg mg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Apparent recovery (AR, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP₁</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP₂</td>
<td>23.87</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>9.18 (+ 3%)</td>
<td>4.92 (+ 49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP₃</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>10.08 (+ 13%)</td>
<td>4.36 (+ 32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP₄</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>1632</td>
<td>9.92 (+ 11%)</td>
<td>4.65 (+ 41%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAP₁ uncoated MAP; MAP₂ is coated with a synthetic organic acid; MAP₃ is coated with a natural organic acid and MAP₄ is coated with a peat humic organic acid.

Figure 6. Phosphorus uptake (mg pot⁻¹) by maize plants as a function of applied P rate (mg dm⁻³) and pre-incubation time (d) of non-coated MAP (MAP₁) and coated MAP (MAP₂, MAP₃ and MAP₄). MAP₂ is coated with a natural organic acid; MAP₃ is coated with a synthetic organic acid; and MAP₄ is coated with a peat humic organic acid. °, *, ** indicate significant coefficients at $p < 0.1$; 0.05 and 0.01 by the $t$ test, respectively.

Figure 7. Dry matter production (DMP, g pot⁻¹) of maize plants as a function of applied P rate (mg dm⁻³) and pre-incubation time (d) of non-coated MAP (MAP₁) and coated MAP (MAP₂, MAP₃ and MAP₄). MAP₂ is coated with a natural organic acid; MAP₃ is coated with a synthetic organic acid; and MAP₄ is coated with a peat humic organic acid. °, *, ** indicate significant coefficients at $p < 0.1$; 0.05 and 0.01 by the $t$ test, respectively.
3.6. Dry matter production (DMP)

The highest DMP among all fertilizers (33.8 g pot⁻¹) was estimated to occur when 1158 mg of MAP₁ dm⁻³ was applied to the soil 120 d ahead of maize planting. For the same fertilizer pre-application period of 120 d, the required doses of MAP₃, MAP₄, and MAP₂ would be 840, 891, and 765 mg dm⁻³ for DMPs of 29.8, 29.5, and 26.5 g pot⁻¹, respectively (Figure 7).

4. Discussion

4.1. Kinetics of fertilizer P release in water

In summary, the water solubility test that we carried out with the distinctly coated MAPs demonstrated that the P release from fertilizer granules could be related to the hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity of the coating material. Thus, the molecular characteristics of the humic acid (MAP₄), with an intermediate hydrophobicity, provided a more gradual release of P over time compared to the organic acids-coated fertilizers (MAP₂ and MAP₃).

Recent studies using NPK fertilizer coated with different materials corroborate our findings of slower releases of coated MAPs. Lubkowski (2014) observed that the release of P from uncoated NPK was six times faster than from oligochitosan-coated NPK. Likewise, Lubkowski et al. (2015) observed that the t₁/₂P of NPK coated with different amounts of biodegradable poly (butylene succinate) was 100 to 1000 times slower than that of uncoated NPK (55-60 min). Noppakundilograt et al. (2015) evaluated the solubility of P in water from trilayer-coated NPK with poly(vinyl alcohol)/cross-Chitosan/poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide) and observed that only 12% of P was released over 30 days, while conventional NPK released 100% in 12 min.

The relationship between the hydrophilicity of the polymer coating and the release of P from the fertilizer granule to an aqueous medium is well known. Hana et al. (2009) examined a biodegradable starch/polyvinyl alcohol film as a coating for fertilizers and found higher water permeability through the coating with a higher proportion of polyvinyl alcohol. This effect can be partially explained by the increased hydrophilicity of the polyvinyl alcohol provided by the increasingly abundant -OH groups. Noppakundilograt et al. (2015), in a previous study of coated NPK fertilizer, observed that the increase of hydrophilic groups allowed a greater expansion of the hydrogel poly(vinyl alcohol)/cross-Chitosan/poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide) and higher water absorption. However, the hydrophilicity of the polymer coatings may depend on the pH of the surrounding solution (Ahmad et al., 2015).

The slower P release rates of the coated fertilizer are usually a result of physical and chemical barriers. According to Wu and Liu (2008) the dissolution mechanism of coated fertilizers includes three stages: 1) the water penetrates through the porous coating; 2) the nutrients are gradually dissolved in the solution; and 3) the solution diffuses through the porous coating. Thus, issues such as porosity (Tomaszewski and Jarsiewicz, 2004; Silva et al., 2012), thickness of the coating layer (Jia et al., 2013; Lubkowski, 2014; Lubkowski et al., 2015), and composition of the organic polymer (Wu and Liu, 2008; Tyliszczak et al., 2009; Hana et al., 2009) determine the P diffusion coefficient from the granule to the soil (Du et al., 2006; Lubkowski, 2014; Ahmad, 2015). Based on these studies and our own findings, it can be hypothesized that the humic acid coating allowed an initial hydration of the coating, but in a following phase, the dissolution of the MAP fertilizer may have been slowed down by the thin film of soy oil previously adsorbed to the fertilizer granules. Thus, it appears
that instead of searching for compounds of variable composition and hydration capacities, it may be more effective and practical to use more than one coating material sequentially applied to the fertilizer granule. The products we use for the MAP$_4$ coating offer the advantage of being natural, biodegradable products that offer no environmental risks. Furthermore, they are readily available products and can be acquired at competitive prices worldwide. The use of peat humic acid may be questioned on the grounds of possible environmental impacts derived from its extraction/mining from nature. However, such a limitation can possibly be overcome by using natural humic-like substances that are chemically similar to humic acids and can be synthesized from industrial, plant-derived biochar (Trompowski et al., 2005).

4.2. Greenhouse pot experiment

The uncoated MAP has a high rate of P release (Figure 3), and it leads to a fast increase in the concentration of P in the soil solution (Silva et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the quick release may be followed soon afterwards by low P availability due to the rapid adsorption and the low reversibility of the bonding of phosphate ions to Al and Fe-hydroxy (oxides) (Parfitt, 1989; Novais and Smyth, 1999; Khatiwada et al., 2012; Abdala et al., 2015a), which is particularly the case in acid tropical soils. The dissolution of a P fertilizer creates two distinct zones around the granule as it is dissolved by the soil solution (Hedley and McLaughlin, 2005). For uncoated highly soluble fertilizers like MAP$_1$, it is likely that the rapid hydration and dissolution increased the concentration of P in the soil solution in close proximity to the granule, saturating the absorbent surface and thus allowing quickly diffusion of P towards the bulk soil. In this P-saturated zone, P precipitates are likely to form, thus reducing its solubility. Even in acid soils it appears that Ca-P, in addition to Fe-P and Al-P, precipitates are formed, and this is an important way of interfering with P availability (McLaughlin et al., 2011; Khatiwada et al., 2012). Further away from the fertilizer granule, a P-unsaturated zone exists, where the P concentration in solution is below the soil maximum adsorption capacity. Specific high-energy adsorption reactions of P with soil minerals dominate in this region and are intensified with increasing P contact time with the inorganic soil components, especially with mineral non-silicate clay (Fe and Al oxides), providing a form of P that is unavailable to plants.

In our double-walled apparatus used for preventing direct contact of fertilizer-P with the soil, the first P-saturated region was likely to occur in the internal soil core, and the P-unsaturated region could be formed in the external bulk soil. Assuming so, the slower release of P from the granules by our MAP-coated fertilizers may have reduced the formation of P precipitates in the P-saturated zone and favored a more continuous movement of P from the inner soil core to the bulk soil. This is supported by the fact that the Delta P values for the uncoated MAP$_1$ were lower than those found for MAP$_2$, MAP$_3$ and MAP$_4$ (Figure 4). Therefore, the gradual solubilization of the coated MAPs allowed a greater difference in concentrations of P between the internal and external soil cores, thus providing a more...
constant supply of P towards the bulk soil where the maize roots were developing.

Phosphorus uptake and maize plant dry matter accumulation were significantly affected by the doses and pre-incubation times of MAP fertilizers (Figure 5 and 6). In general, CSRFs favored P uptake, plant growth and P use efficiency. Several studies with different plants have shown that when the P source is coated, there is greater P uptake by plants, similar to the findings of our study. Pauly et al. (2002) found that after 45 days of barley cultivation, MAP coated with polyethylene film favored 4.21% more P uptake by the plants in comparison to uncoated MAP. Chagas et al. (2015) studied MAP coated with soluble anionic polymers and found 31.2% more P uptake by lettuce plants with respect to uncoated MAP. The experimental evidence with plants has not always shown consistent results. Figueiredo et al. (2012) working with uncoated MAP and coated MAP (Kimcoat®) found higher productivity and DMP of maize plants for coated MAP. Silva et al. (2012) found no difference in the DMP of maize plants with different doses of P using MAP coated with a polymer (Phosmax®) and uncoated MAP. Such inconsistencies may have resulted from the distinct effectiveness of the coating material, initial soil P levels, fertilizer type and dose, and the soil mineralogy.

An intriguing finding of our study is the fact that at short pre-incubation times and high MAP doses, the uncoated MAP fertilizer led to a greater DMP by maize plants than the coated MAP fertilizers. This effect might be related to the pulse of P into the soil solution on the very first day (short pre-incubation time and high dose) by the uncoated fertilizer, favoring initial plant P uptake and growth. When lower P doses were used and there were longer P contact times with the soil, the conversion of available P to less available P forms is expected, particular in soil zones more distant from the fertilizer granule (Khatiwada et al., 2011). Detailed studies of the P forms in this soil using synchrotron K-edge XANES found that most P in a non-calcareous soil was bound to Fe, and a small proportion was bound to Ca. At longer incubation times (6 months), less P was found in adsorbed, plant available forms, and more stable P minerals were observed in the granular MAP treatment broadcast onto the soil surface. At the other end of the spectrum, the lowest DMP among the coated fertilizers was found for the plants of the MAP₂ treatment, which may have resulted from it having the slowest P release kinetics. This too slow release likely resulted from its high hydrophobicity and may have limited P movement from the granule into the bulk soil when MAP₂ had shorter pre-incubation times (high initial plant demand was not met by the initial slow P release). Therefore, limited P movement occurred into the inner soil core and then into the outer soil core where maize roots were growing.

Among the three major nutrients (N, P and K), P is the only one that is non-renewable and has no alternative sources, except the management of biogeochemical cycling (Childers et al., 2011; Alamgir and Marschner, 2016). Taken together with this fact, the current use and rate of P application can cause a peak of production by 2030 (Cordell et al., 2009). Thus, more efficient handling and environmentally safe and sustainable P use is required. The efficient management of P may be achieved through distinct ways involving strategies in the plant/rhizosphere/soil continuum (Shen et al., 2011), and it may also be improved by the use of CSRFs (McLaughlin et al., 2011).

In the current study, it was observed that the maximum economical production efficiency (90% DMP) was obtained by the conventional uncoated MAP; however, it was reached at a cost of a much higher P dose (1116 mg dm⁻³). Plants fertilized with coated MAP fertilizers accumulated slightly less DMP than those fertilized with MAP₁, but they required lower
P doses to do so (MAP₂: 765 mg dm⁻³; MAP₃: 840 mg dm⁻³ and MAP₄: 825 mg dm⁻³). Additionally, CS-RFs showed agronomic efficiencies varying from 3 to 13% greater than MAP₁, and the recovery of applied P by maize plants ranged from 32 to 49% higher than MAP₁ (data not shown). This might have occurred because lower doses of coated MAP warranted a more gradual but more constant release of P into the soil, thus promoting a more efficient use of P by the plants. The distinct agronomic performances obtained by applying MAP fertilizers before planting suggest a management strategy more operationally efficient to be further analyzed by farmers that are used to broadcasting soluble fertilizers on the soil surface well ahead of the planting time. Despite the fact that MAP₁ provided 90% DMP by the maize plant when it was applied 79 d before planting (for coated MAPs it varied from 53 to 69 d), the dose required to obtain this effect was considerably higher because there is the need to saturate the soil minerals so that subsequent P released from the granule will be more available to plants. This strategy, however, undermines P use efficiency by plants and is less sustainable in the long term. Higher surface applied P doses also offer greater risk of losses of dissolved reactive P through runoff and the eutrophication of surface water bodies (Shoumans et al., 2013)

5. Conclusions

Overall, the coating of granular monoammonium phosphate (MAP) fertilizer with organic acids modifies the pattern of P release, the movement from the fertilizer granule to the soil and P availability to plants over time. This resulted in greater P use efficiency by plants fertilized by coated MAP most of the time. The longer pre-incubation of MAP fertilizers may result in less P availability and plant growth, especially of uncoated MAP. This will probably be magnified in broadcast applications in clay, oxidic soils with low initially available P. By choosing coatings with distinct characteristics, it is possible to modulate P release by soluble P fertilizers such as MAP and to favor plant uptake over the formation of less available P forms, thus improving the efficiency of use of soluble fertilizer P.
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