Atraumatic Extraction and immediate implant placement into infected site with the “ice cream cone” technique and L-PRF: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

We describe a case report of a 53 years old patient with bone, which presented a longitudinal root fracture in relation to 9 tooth Single Fixed Prosthesis (SFP), an active fistula and a bucal plate loss. It was prescribed the tooth extraction and the immediate Biohorizons® Tapered Internal® implant installation. The regeneration of the bucal plate was performed using the technique of “ice cream cone” using Mineross®, Mem Lok® and L-PRF.
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INTRODUCTION

After a dental extraction, a reabsorption process occurs in the alveolar bone. Anatomically and histologically, the alveolar bone corresponds to a dependent structure of the dentition, which develops in conjunction with the eruption of the teeth. In the first phase of remodeling, the lingual plate and parts of the buccal plate of a post-extraction socket suffer bone loss due to the loss of the bundle bone, because its nutrition depends on the periodontal ligament. Therefore, there is a loss of bone, vertically and horizontally, which can produce an aesthetic defect that is vitally important in the anterior sector. This aesthetic defect may worsen if the extraction technique is not correct, so it is recommended that an atraumatic technique be performed using traditional methods, specialized extractors, periotomes and endodontic files for the removal of radicular remnants, or the use of piezo surgery.

The immediate implant placement technique is currently a routine procedure with success rates like those for the installation of a conventional implant. The immediate placement of an implant in a fresh socket in the aesthetic area is a complex process and involves great dexterity. It presents advantages such as: shorter treatment time, better aesthetic results and better patient comfort during the osseointegration period. These reasons have led to the massification of this technique; it has been demonstrated that the immediate placement of implants in an infected site has success rates like those for immediate conventional placement, but more long-term studies are needed.

The technique of bone regeneration known as “ice cream cone” is a technique that uses a collagen membrane in the form of an ice cream cone and bone filler material to regenerate the buccal plate of a fresh socket. Elian et al. developed a post-extraction fresh socket classification system:

Type 1: The facial soft tissue and buccal plate of bone are at normal levels in relation to the cement enamel junction of the pre-extracted tooth and remain intact post extraction.

Type 2: Facial soft tissue is present but the buccal plate is partially missing following extraction of the tooth.

Type 3: The facial soft tissue and the buccal plate of the bone are both markedly reduced after tooth extraction. This classification enabled ordering and classifying of post-extraction sockets. A recently published sub-classification of type 2 now allows even greater clarity to plan regeneration; type 2 presents intact facial soft tissue.

Type 2 A: Absence of the coronal one-third of labial bone plate of the extraction socket 10 mm or more from the free gingival margin.

The purpose of this study is to show the resolution and control at 21 months of a clinical report where the buccal plate was regenerated using the “ice cream cone” and L-PRF technique in an atraumatic dental extraction followed by immediate placement of a Tapered Internal (Biohorizons, Birmingham, USA) implant in an infected site.

CLINICAL CASE AND TREATMENT

We present a clinical case of a 53-year-old female patient, Asa II, with osteopenia, in treatment for 5 months with Alendronate Acid 70 mg: one dose weekly. For five years, she had been taking ELCAL-D (500 mg of Calcium and 800 UI Vitamin, Andromaco Laboratory, Chile), twice a day. She had no history of allergies, smoking or alcohol. The clinical examination of the tooth 2.1 showed an active fistula and mobility of the crown, which presented a metal ceramic crown. The image exam confirmed a vertical fracture reaching to the middle third of the root (Figure 1 - 2).

Figure 1. Patient has an active fistula in relation to the tooth 2.1.

The patient was premedicated with Amoxicillin 875mg (Optamox, Pharma Investi Laboratory, Chile). She was prescribed one coated tablet every 12 hours for seven days, beginning treatment 24 hours before surgery and taking 125mg of lysine clonixinate (Nefersil, Pharma Investi Laboratory, Chile) every eight hours for three days 1 hour prior to surgery.
and rinses with chlorhexidine 0.12% (Perio Aid, Dentaid Laboratory, Spain) every 12 hours for 15 days, beginning 24 hours before surgery.

**ATRAUMATIC EXTRACTION AND IMMEDIATE IMPLANT PLACEMENT INTO INFECTED SITE**

The surgery started with an infiltrative technique (Septanest, Articaine 1/100000, Septodont, France). For the extraction of tooth 2.1, thick anterior straight forceps were used. The syndesmotomy was carefully performed with a periote, and the movement performed with the forceps was rotative to extract the crown and preserve the buccal plate. An atraumatic extractor (Neodent, Curitiba, Brazil) was used to extract the root, obtaining an atraumatic extraction of the fragment, without compromising the plates or the remnant bone (Figure 3). The socket was treated by mechanical action and abundant irrigation with physiological saline to remove any inflammatory and infectious tissue that may have remained in the socket.

Clinically, the presence of a buccal defect was verified (Figure 4). However, the gingival architecture was preserved; a Tapered Internal (Biohorizons, Birmingham, USA) 3.8mm x 15mm implant was indicated to develop the “ice cream cone.” For that purpose, the implant was placed according to the manufacturer’s protocol, using a surgical guide, and left with its closure cap.

**BONE REGENERATION SURGERY “ICE CREAM CONE” AND L-PRF**

Once the implant was placed, a pocket with total thickness was made in relation to the buccal plate. A 15mm x 20mm Mem Lok Biohorizons membrane was then used, which was cut into the shape of “ice cream cone” to regenerate the plate. The membrane was placed over the buccal plate remain, between the periosteum and the remaining bone, using position sutures made with a 5-0 resorbable Polysorb suture, 16mm needle, and shear back (Syneture, USA) knotted with a buccal horizontal Mattress. The bone filler used was Mineross Biohorizons, which was placed in the gap between the implant and the buccal contour. The filling material was compacted, taking care not to bend or damage the membrane. After filling the entire socket, the coronal part of the Mem Lok Biohorizons membrane was folded to seal the socket, then sutured with Polysorb 5-0 on the palate using position sutures.

A blood sample was taken prior to surgery, which was then centrifuged according to Choukroun(15) protocols at 2700 RPM x 12 minutes. A fibrin clot was removed to form an L-PRF membrane, which was used to protect the Mem Lok Biohorizons membrane, and to seal the coronal portion of the socket. The L-PRF membrane was installed over the collagen membrane in buccal and palatine with Polysorb 5-0 by position sutures (Figure 5).

Once the surgery was finished, the patient was left with a temporary Maryland bridge to avoid compressing the surgical area.

**CONNECTION SURGERY AND FINAL REHABILITATION**

The patient was premedicated with lysine clonixinate 125mg every eight hours for three days (Nefersil, Pharma Investi Laboratory, Chile) and one hour prior to surgery. The area was rinsed with chlorhexidine 0.12%, (Perio Aid, Dentaid Laboratory, Spain) every 12 hours for 15 days, beginning 24 hours before surgery.

Second stage surgery was performed seven months after the implant was installed. To perform the connection surgery, an infiltrative technique (Septanest, Articaine 1/100000, Septodont, France) was used with a 15c scalpel (Hu-Friddy, USA). A supracrestal linear incision was performed without compromising proximal papillae. A Biohorizons healing abutment was carefully inserted, regular emergency profile and 3mm high. The total thickness flap was small and no discharges or sutures were necessary.

The rehabilitation was completed eight months after second-stage surgery. For aesthetic and biomechanical reasons, the tooth 1.1 was rehabilitated with a single-unit metal-ceramic crown. The rehabilitation of the implant 2.1 was developed with Phibo (Barcelona, Spain) system to perform a non-segmented screw metal ceramic crown. Torque of 25 N was applied, the access of the screw was closed with teflon and composite (Figure 6 - 7). Finally, the patient was left with a restrictive organic occlusal plane.
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Figure 6. Cone Beam control at 21 months after the implant was installed, which shows the formation of a new buccal plate.

Figure 7. Control at 21 months. The final restoration metal ceramic crown of tooth 1.1 and screwed metal ceramic crown into the implant 2.1 is observed.

DISCUSSION

At present, an extraction can be considered truly atraumatic only when it leaves intact the surrounding tissues, both the soft and surrounding bone tissue. In this particular clinical case, the combination of an anterior straight forceps, a periostome and an atraumatic extractor (Neodent, Curitiba, Brazil) were used. This procedure allowed an easy and vertical extraction, avoiding dilatation of the buccal plate or bone expansion which occurs when a conventional exodontia is performed. The fresh socket is left with an optimal gingival contour. However, various methods have been described in the literature such as endodontic files for root remain, which is left with an optimal gingival contour. However, various methods have also been described for preserving the buccal contour, such as leaving a fragment of the buccal root portion in conjunction with the implant placement, as described by Hürzeler et al. or the three-layered tuberosity grafting technique described by Da Rosa. Finally, opting for the flapsless technique of an “ice cream cone” is guaranteed not to mobilize tissue or discharge or cause changes in gingival architecture. The regeneration of a bone contour was controlled with a clinical examination and a cone beam at 21 months after the implant was installed. However, future follow-ups should be performed to control the stability of regenerated buccal tissue with the “ice cream cone technique.” Future studies should compare different types of bone filler material, and a greater number of cases should be studied and followed in time.

CONCLUSIONS

According to the present work, it can be concluded that the regeneration of the buccal plate using the “ice cream cone” technique was possible using Mineross (Biohorizons, Birmingham, USA), Mem Lok (Biohorizons, Birmingham, USA) and L-PRF in the dental extraction and by the immediate installation of a Tapered Internal (Biohorizons, Birmingham, USA) implant in an infected site. In addition, the preservation of the gingival architecture and the maintenance of all these results were sustained 21 months after implant installation.
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