Proyecciones
Vol. 20, N° 3, pp. 295-304, December 2001.
Universidad Católica del Norte
Antofagasta - Chile

DIFFERENTIABILITY OF SOLUTIONS OF THE ABSTRACT CAUCHY PROBLEM

*HERNÁN R. HENRÍQUEZ **
Universidad de Santiago, Chile

Abstract

In this note we establish a result of differentiability for the mild solution of the inhomogeneous abstract Cauchy problem when the underlying space is reflexive.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : *47D09, 34G10.*

Key Words and Phrases : *Semigroup of Operators; Abstract Cauchy Problem; Differentiability of Solutions.*

*This work was supported by DICYT-USACH, Project 04-9633HM.

1. Introduction.

In this work we are concerned with regularity properties of solutions of the first order abstract Cauchy problem (in short, ACP). We refer the reader to [3, 10] for the theory of strongly continuous semigroup operators and the associated ACP.

Let X be a Banach space endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. Henceforth $T(t)$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on X with infinitesimal generator A .

The existence of solutions of the first order abstract Cauchy problem

$$(1.1) \quad x'(t) = Ax(t) + h(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq a,$$

$$(1.2) \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

it has been treated in several works. We only mention here the texts [3, 10] and the references cited therein. Similarly, the existence of solutions of the semilinear abstract Cauchy problem it has been discussed in [1, 9].

Assuming that $h : [0, a] \rightarrow X$ is integrable the function given by

$$(1.3) \quad x(t) = T(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)h(s)ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq a,$$

is said mild solution of (1.1)-(1.2). In the case in which h is continuous, the function $x(\cdot)$ is called a classic solution on $[0, a]$ of (1.1)-(1.2) if x is a function of class C^1 , $x(t) \in D(A)$ and (1.1) is verified.

The existence of classical solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) as well as some weaker forms of differentiability of solutions have been studied in a number of works. We refer the reader to [2, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14] and the references therein indicated.

The purpose of this note is to establish a new condition in order to the mild solution $x(\cdot)$ turn to be a classical solution.

Next the notation $C([0, a]; X)$ stands for the space of continuous functions from $[0, a]$ into X , whilst $BV([0, a]; X)$ represents the space of functions with bounded variation from $[0, a]$ into X . For a function $h \in BV([0, a]; X)$ we denote by $V(h)$ the variation of h on $[0, a]$ and by $v(t, h)$ the variation of h on $[0, t]$, for $0 \leq t \leq a$. Additional termi-

nology and notations are those generally used in functional analysis. In particular, X^* denotes the dual space of X .

2. Results.

In this section h denotes a continuous function of bounded variation on a fixed interval $[0, a]$, $a > 0$. We define the translation of h by

$$\mathcal{T}_t h(s) = \begin{cases} h(s+t), & s \leq a-t, \\ h(a), & s \geq a-t, \end{cases}$$

for $t \geq 0$. Let $\mu(t, h) = V(\mathcal{T}_t h - h)$.

We introduce the following condition for a function $h \in C([0, a]; X) \cap BV([0, a]; X)$.

$$(H_0) \quad \mu(t, h) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Initially we discuss some examples.

Example 1. If $h \in W^{1,1}([0, a]; X)$, then $\mu(t, h) \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

Example 2. Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined in [4], Exercise 4.19. Let E be a perfect nowhere dense set with measure 0 included in $[0, 1]$. Let (a_k, b_k) , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be disjoint intervals such that $(0, 1) \setminus E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k)$ and let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$ be a convergent series of positive number with sum equal to 1. For each $x \in [0, 1]$ let

$$I(x) = \{k : [a_k, b_k] \cap [0, x] \neq \emptyset\}$$

and define

$$(2.1) \quad h(x) = \sum_{k \in I(x)} c_k.$$

It is clear that $h(0) = 0$, $h(1) = 1$. Moreover, h is continuous and nondecreasing with $h' = 0$, a.e. Thus h is a singular function. Now we establish that h does not satisfy (H_0) . In fact, from (2.1) it follows easily that for each $t > 0$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1 - t$,

$$(2.2) \quad h(s+t) - h(s) = \sum_{k \in I} c_k,$$

where $I = \{k \in N : a_k \in (s, s+t]\}$. In addition, for $n \in N$ we can choose $t > 0$ small enough such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] \subseteq [0, 1-t]$, $a_i + 3t < b_i$, and, for each $k = 1, \dots, n$, $a_k - t \notin \bigcup_{i=1, i \neq k}^n [a_i, b_i]$.

Defining $\alpha_i = a_i - t/2$ and $\beta_i = a_i + 2t$ it follows from (2.2) that $h(\alpha_i + t) - h(\alpha_i) = c_i$ and $h(\beta_i + t) - h(\beta_i) = 0$. From this we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{T}_t h - h) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n |(\mathcal{T}_t h - h)(\beta_i) - (\mathcal{T}_t h - h)(\alpha_i)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |h(\beta_i + t) - h(\beta_i) - (h(\alpha_i + t) - h(\alpha_i))| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mu(t, h)$ does not converge to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

Example 3. Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the singular function defined in [6], Example 18.8. As above, $h(0) = 0$, $h(1) = 1$, h is continuous and strictly increasing and $h' = 0$, a.e. We will show that this function satisfies the assumption (H_0) . Initially, for completeness we include here the construction carried out in [6].

Let $(t_n)_n$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$. Set $F_1(0) = 0$, $F_1(1) = 1$, $F_1(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1+t_1}{2}$ and define F_1 to be linear on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Suppose that $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$ have been defined. Then define

$$F_{n+1}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) = F_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right), \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n,$$

$$F_{n+1}\left(\frac{2k+1}{2^{n+1}}\right) = \frac{1-t_{n+1}}{2} F_n\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) + \frac{1+t_{n+1}}{2} F_n\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right),$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$,

and complete the definition of F_{n+1} as a continuous linear function in the intervals $[\frac{k}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{k+1}{2^{n+1}}]$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1$. It

is shown in [6] that $(F_n)_n$ is a nondecreasing sequence. Thus this sequence converges to a function h which satisfies the properties already mentioned. Applying now the Dini's theorem ([11]) we obtain that the convergence of $(F_n)_n$ is uniform. Since $(\mathcal{T}_t F_n - F_n)_n$ converges uniformly to $\mathcal{T}_t h - h$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and this convergence is also uniform on $t \geq 0$, it follows that $\mu(t, F_n) \rightarrow \mu(t, h)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, and this convergence is uniform on t . In view of F_n is absolutely continuous, from Example 1 we infer that $\mu(t, F_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, which implies that $\mu(t, h) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

To study the regularity of solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) we begin by establishing some preliminary lemmas.

In the sequel we denote by M a positive constant such that $\|T(t)\| \leq M$, $0 \leq t \leq a$. Moreover, for a fixed h , we use the notation

$$(2.3) \quad u(t) = \int_0^t T(t-s)h(s) ds,$$

Lemma 2.1. Assume that X is a reflexive space. Let $T(\cdot)$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on X and let $h : [0, a] \rightarrow X$ be a continuous function of bounded variation which satisfies the assumption (H_0) . Then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral

$$w(t) = \int_0^t T(t-s) d_s h = \int_0^t T(s) d_s h(t-s)$$

exists in the weak topology and define a continuous function $w : [0, a] \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be defined by

$$\Lambda(x^*) = \int_0^t \langle T(t-s)^* x^*, d_s h \rangle.$$

The Riemann-Stieltjes integral in the above expression exists because $T(\cdot)^* x^*$ is a continuous function ([10]) and h has bounded variation ([7]). Moreover, Λ is linear and

$$|\Lambda(x^*)| \leq M \|x^*\| V(h).$$

Consequently, $\Lambda \in X^{**}$ and in view of that X is reflexive we infer the existence of $w(t) \in X$ such that $\Lambda(x^*) = \langle x^*, w(t) \rangle$, for all $x^* \in X^*$.

On the other hand, for $t < 1$ and τ small enough, from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} w(t + \tau) - w(t) &= \int_0^{t+\tau} T(s) d_s h(t + \tau - s) - \int_0^t T(s) d_s h(t - s) \\ &= \int_0^t T(t - s) d_s [h(\tau + s) - h(s)] \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau T(t + s) d_s h(\tau - s) \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$\|w(t + \tau) - w(t)\| \leq M\mu(\tau, h) + Mv(\tau, h).$$

Since $\mu(\tau, h) \rightarrow 0, \tau \rightarrow 0$, because the condition (H_0) holds and $v(\tau, h) \rightarrow 0, \tau \rightarrow 0$, by the Proposition I.2.9 in [7] the previous estimation shows that $w(\cdot)$ is right continuous at t . Similarly, one can prove that w is left continuous at $t > 0$.

Next we denote by χ_E the characteristic function of a set E .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $h : [0, a] \rightarrow X$ be the step function $h = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{I_i}$, where I_i are intervals and $\{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ is a partition of $[0, a]$. Then the function u given by (2.3) is piecewise smooth, $u(t) \in D(A)$, $Au(\cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, a]$ and $u'(t) = Au(t) + h(t)$, $t \notin P$, where P denotes the set formed by the extreme points of intervals I_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Applying the linearity of u in terms of h , it is sufficient to prove the assertion for a function $h = x \chi_I$ where I is an interval of type $[t_1, t_2]$. In fact, in this case, $u(t)$ is given by

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \\ \int_0^{t-t_1} T(s)x ds, & t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, \\ \int_{t-t_2}^{t-t_1} T(s)x ds, & t_2 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

From the properties of semigroups we infer that $u(t) \in D(A)$ and that

$$Au(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \\ T(t - t_1)x - x, & t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, \\ T(t - t_1)x - T(t - t_2)x, & t_2 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

This shows that $Au(\cdot)$ is continuous. Moreover, it is immediate to verify that $u'(t) = Au(t) + h(t)$, $t \neq t_1, t_2$.

Now we can prove the main result of this note.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that X is a reflexive space and let h be a continuous function of bounded variation on $[0, a]$ which satisfies assumption (H_0) . Let $x_0 \in D(A)$. Then the mild solution of (1.1)-(1.2) is a classical solution.*

Proof. We consider a sequence $(h_n)_n$ of step functions, where each h_n is given by

$$h_n = \sum_{i=1}^n h(t_i) \chi_{I_i}.$$

In this expression we have denoted $I_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $I_n = [t_{n-1}, t_n]$, where the points t_i have been chosen as $t_i = \frac{a}{n}i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

It is clear that the sequence $(h_n)_n$ converge uniformly to h . Let u_n be the function given by (2.3), with h_n instead of h . Then, $u_n \rightarrow u$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on $[0, a]$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 we have that $u_n(t) \in D(A)$ and, if we fix $0 \leq t \leq a$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $t \in I_k$, for some $k = 1, \dots, n$. From our definitions we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Au_n(t) &= A \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} T(t-s)h(t_i) ds + A \int_{t_{k-1}}^t T(t-s)h(t_k) ds \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [T(t-t_{i-1}) - T(t-t_i)]h(t_i) + [T(t-t_{k-1}) - I]h(t_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T(t-t_{i-1})[h(t_i) - h(t_{i-1})] + T(t-t_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad [h(t) - h(t_{k-1})] + T(t - t_{k-1})[h(t_k) - h(t)] + T(t)h(0) - h(t_k)$$

so that

$$\|Au_n(t)\| \leq MV(h) + (M+1)\|h\|_\infty.$$

This shows that $(Au_n(t))_n$ is a bounded sequence. Consequently, there is a subsequence which converges to $z(t) \in X$ in the weak topology. Moreover, from (2.4) it follows that

$$z(t) = w(t) - h(t) + T(t)h(0).$$

An standard argument shows that the full sequence $(Au_n(t))_n$ converges to $w(t)$. As A is a closed operator this implies that $u(t) \in D(A)$ and $z(t) = Au(t)$.

An application of Lemma 2.1 yields that $Au(\cdot)$ is a continuous function. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$u'_n(t) = Au_n(t) + h_n(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \neq i/n, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

so that for each $x^* \in X^*$ we obtain

$$\langle x^*, u_n(t) \rangle = \int_0^t \langle x^*, Au_n(s) + h_n(s) \rangle ds$$

and taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\langle x^*, u(t) \rangle = \int_0^t \langle x^*, Au(s) + h(s) \rangle ds$$

which implies that

$$u(t) = \int_0^t Au(s) ds + \int_0^t h(s) ds.$$

This shows that $u(\cdot)$ is a function of class C^1 that satisfies (1.1)-(1.2).

A similar result holds for the second order abstract Cauchy problem ([5]).

References

- [1] Cazenave, T. and A. Haraux, *An Introduction to Semilinear Evolution Equations*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [2] Eidelman, Y. S. and I. V. Tichonov, *A Note on the Abstract Cauchy Problem*, Semigroup Forum, **54**(1997), 112-116.
- [3] Engel, K-J. and R. Nagel, *One-parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [4] Gordon, R. A., *The integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994.
- [5] Henríquez, H. R. and C. Vásquez, *Differentiability of Solutions of the Second Order Abstract Cauchy Problem*. To appear in Semigroup Forum.
- [6] Hewitt, E. and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [7] Hönig, C. S., *The Abstract Riemann-Stieltjes Integral and its Applications to Linear Differential Equations with Generalized Boundary Conditions*. Notas do Instituto de Matemática e Estatística da Universidade de São Paulo. Série Matemática N°1, 1973.
- [8] Hönig, C. S., *Semigroups and Semivariation*, 14° Seminário Brasileiro de Análise, 1981, 185-193.
- [9] Miyadera, I., *Nonlinear Semigroups*. Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 1992.
- [10] Pazy, A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [11] Ray, W. O., *Real Analysis*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1988.

- [12] Travis, C. C., *Differentiability of weak solutions to an abstract inhomogeneous differential equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 425-430.
- [13] Webb, G. F., *Regularity of Solutions to an Abstract Inhomogeneous Linear Differential Equations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1977), 271-277.
- [14] Zaidman, S. D., *Abstract Differential Equations*. Research Notes in Mathematics, 36, Pitman, San Francisco, 1979.

Received : May, 2001.

Hernán R. Henríquez Miranda

Departamento de Matemática

Universidad de Santiago de Chile

Casilla 307

Correo 2

Santiago

Chile

E-mail: henrique@fermat.usach.cl