

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Mesophyll conductance constrains photosynthesis in three common sclerophyllous species in Central Chile

Carla E Brito<sup>1</sup>, Horacio E Bown<sup>1\*</sup>, Juan-Pablo Fuentes<sup>1</sup>, Nicolás Franck<sup>2</sup> and Jorge F Perez-Quezada<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** *Quillaja saponaria* Mol., *Cryptocarya alba* Mol. Looser, and *Lithraea caustica* Molina Hook et Arn., are common sclerophyllous species in Mediterranean Central Chile. Mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , may strongly limit photosynthesis in these semiarid environments.

**Results:** Simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence were carried out in 45 nursery plants from these species to determine diffusional and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis. Values of stomatal conductance,  $g_s$ , were greater than those of mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , while their ratio ( $g_m/g_s$ ) was not influenced by species being on average 0.47. Relative limitations posed by mesophyll conductance to photosynthesis,  $L_m$ , ( $0.40 \pm 0.02$ ) were high compared to those imposed by stomata,  $L_s$  ( $0.07 \pm 0.01$ ). The average  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in the intercellular air spaces ( $C_i$ ) was  $32 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  lower than in the atmosphere ( $C_a$ ), while the average  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in the chloroplasts ( $C_c$ ) was  $131 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  lower than  $C_i$  independent of species. Maximal rates of Rubisco carboxylation,  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ , and maximal electron transport rates driving regeneration of RuBP,  $J_{\text{max}}$ , ranged from 13 to  $66 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and from 33 to  $148 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively, and compare well to averages for  $C_3$  plants.

**Conclusions:** Photosynthetic performance was in the series: *Q. saponaria* > *C. alba*  $\geq$  *L. caustica*, which can be attributed first to mesophyll conductance limitations, probably mediated by leaf anatomical traits and then to species specific foliage N partitioning strategies.

**Keywords:** Chloroplastic  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration; Electron transport; Mesophyll conductance; Rubisco carboxylation; Sclerophyllous species

## Background

The biochemical model of leaf photosynthesis originally proposed by Farquhar et al. (1980) and later improved (von Caemmerer and Farquhar, 1981; Sharkey, 1985; Harley and Sharkey, 1991) is widely used in ecophysiological research for describing  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange processes and to scale carbon exchange from leaves to canopies (Baldocchi and Harley 1995; McMurtrie et al. 1992; Whitehead et al. 2004). In this model, photosynthesis is considered to be limited by the maximal rate of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) carboxylase-oxygenase (Rubisco) carboxylation,  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ , and by the maximal electron transport rate driving

regeneration of RuBP,  $J_{\text{max}}$ , and by triose phosphate utilization (TPU) (Farquhar et al. 1980; von Caemmerer 2000; von Caemmerer and Farquhar 1981). These parameters are fitted to the response of photosynthesis,  $A$ , to the  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in the intercellular air spaces,  $C_i$ , known as  $A/C_i$  curves. Values of  $C_i$  are estimated from stomatal conductance to  $\text{CO}_2$  transfer,  $g_s$ , and the ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration external to the leaf,  $C_a$ . This model has been used to explain the mechanisms of photosynthetic acclimation to elevated atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  (Griffin et al. 2000; Hogan et al. 1996; Kellomaki and Wang 1996; Murray et al. 2000; Turnbull et al. 1998), the influence of global warming on plant carbon budgets (Turnbull et al. 2002), and for identifying factors limiting photosynthesis under water stressed conditions in Mediterranean plants

\* Correspondence: hborn@uchile.cl

<sup>1</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Forestales y de la Conservación de la Naturaleza, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 9206, Santiago, Chile

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

(Grassi and Magnani 2005; Gulias et al. 2002; Niinemets et al. 2009b), among others.

In most studies, the mesophyll conductance of CO<sub>2</sub> from the intercellular air spaces to the sites of Rubisco carboxylation in the chloroplasts,  $g_m$ , has been considered to be sufficiently large to be negligible (Farquhar et al. 1980). However, there is a growing awareness that the significance of  $g_m$  in limiting photosynthesis can be similar to the limitation imposed by stomata (Flexas et al. 2008; Harley et al. 1992; Loreto et al. 1992; von Caemmerer 2000; Warren and Adams 2006; Warren et al. 2003). As a result,  $C_i$  is greater than the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplasts,  $C_c$ , and values of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  are underestimated when fitted to estimates of  $C_i$  rather than  $C_c$ .

Arid and semiarid lands account for 41% of Chile's continental territory, covering about 31 million ha (Benites et al. 1994). Within this area, semiarid sclerophyllous (i.e. a woody plant with small coriaceous evergreen leaves dominant of the Mediterranean region) shrublands and forests extends from 32–36° S latitude (~345,000 ha) in Central Chile (Armesto et al. 2007; CONAF 1999); exhibiting high levels of endemism, and are therefore considered a priority for biodiversity conservation (Arroyo et al. 2004). These ecosystems are subjected to high radiative and water stresses that limit their development and reproduction (Cabrera 2002); and, additionally, have a long history of degradation by human activity, which may represent an important adaptive pressure (Galmes et al. 2007). Therefore, understanding how environmental limitations are imposed on the carbon budget is relevant to accurately estimate carbon uptake and water use by sclerophyllous species.

There is extensive work on comparisons of  $g_m$  between species and plant functional groups (Loreto et al. 1992; De Lucia et al. 2003; Hassiotou et al. 2009; Niinemets et al. 2009b). However, little is known about the extent to which  $g_m$  regulates the rate of photosynthesis in sclerophyllous species of central Chile. Consequently we chose to work with three native sclerophyllous species: *Quillaja saponaria* Mol., *Cryptocarya alba* Mol. Looser and *Lithraea caustica* Molina Hook et Arn., to determine stomatal, mesophyll and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis. Specifically, we assessed whether mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> induces similar constraints to photosynthesis across different sclerophyllous species.

## Methods

### Plant material

Plant material consisted of 15 randomly selected plants from each of the following species: *Q. saponaria*, *C. alba* and *L. caustica*, from a nursery of the Faculty of Forestry and Nature Conservation at University of Chile, Santiago, Chile. Seeds were sown in the winter 2008, and transferred during spring 2008 and spring 2009 to 12 × 15 cm (0.25 L)

and 20 × 30 cm (2 L) black polyethylene bags filled with an even mixture of composted plant residues, soil and sand. No fertilizers were applied. Plants developed under 46% shading (in order to mimic the light environment experienced by saplings in their natural habitat) and received weekly irrigation during winter, and daily irrigation to field capacity in other seasons. At the time of measurements, we selected fifteen homogenous plants of *Q. saponaria*, *C. alba* and *L. caustica* which exhibited an average ( $\pm 1$  SD) plant height of 82.9  $\pm$  14.4 cm, 63.4  $\pm$  18.9 cm, and 47.6  $\pm$  15.3 cm; and a collar diameter of 7.5  $\pm$  0.8 mm, 9.1  $\pm$  1.4 mm, and 11.0  $\pm$  2.6 mm, respectively. All gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were taken in a laboratory without thermal regulation, with open windows to allow circulation of fresh air. Measurements took 45 days; with only one plant measured per day, spread in the summer period from December 20, 2010 to March 15, 2011; six months after plants were transferred from small to large containers. Gas exchange measurements for each individual plant typically took all day between 10 am and 6 pm; and followed the exact same sequence of measurements for all plants (see below). We alternated species each day in order to avoid differences brought about by day to day meteorological changes.

### Gas exchange measurements

Simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence were carried out on the 45 selected plants, using a portable photosynthesis system (CIRAS-2, PP Systems, MA, USA) equipped with an integrated chlorophyll fluorescence and gas exchange chamber (PLC6-U Auto Leaf Cuvette, PP System, MA, US). Plants were shifted from the nursery to the laboratory the day before measurements were undertaken.

For each plant, a fully-developed leaf in the upper third of the plant was chosen and placed inside a circular 2.5 cm<sup>2</sup> (18 mm in diameter) cuvette. Temperature in the cuvette (block) was maintained at 25°C while leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit (*VPD*) was maintained below 1 kPa. Each leaf was left to equilibrate inside the cuvette for 10 min at about 368  $\pm$  3  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and saturating irradiance (2,000  $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), before measuring the response of net assimilation (*A*) to intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_i$ ). External CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_a$ ) was supplied with a CO<sub>2</sub> mixer across the sequence 25, 50, 75, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000, 1200 and 1500  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ , with saturating irradiance, *Q* (400–700 nm), maintained at 2,000  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Measurements were recorded after values of *A*,  $C_i$  and  $g_s$  became stable but with a minimum waiting time of 3 min at each step within the sequence. At each value of  $C_i$ , measurements of fluorescence for the light-adapted leaf were made simultaneously to the gas exchange measurements. Values of *F* and  $F_m'$  (the steady and maximal fluorescence

respectively), were used to calculate photochemical efficiency of photosystem II,  $\Phi_{PSII}$ . The response of net assimilation to irradiance ( $A/Q$  curves) was measured immediately after each  $A/C_i$  curve ended, across the  $Q$  sequence: 0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, 500, 800, 1000, 1200, 1400, 1600, 1800 and 2000  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , with ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration maintained at  $368 \pm 3 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  using a  $\text{CO}_2$  mixer. The  $A/C_i$  and  $A/Q$  curves were measured on the same foliage sample.

### Models fitted

The biochemical model of leaf photosynthesis by Farquhar et al. (1980) describes the rate of photosynthesis ( $A$ ) as:

$$A = \min \{A_c, A_q\} - R_d \quad (1)$$

where  $A_c$  and  $A_q$  are the photosynthetic rates limited by Rubisco carboxylation and by electron transport rate respectively, and  $\min \{ \}$  indicates the minimum of these two rates.  $R_d$  is the rate of daytime respiration resulting from processes other than photorespiration. The photosynthetic rate limited by Rubisco carboxylation ( $A_c$ ) is given by:

$$A_c = V_{cmax} \frac{C_i - \Gamma^*}{C_i + K_c (1 + O_i / K_o)} \quad (2)$$

where  $V_{cmax}$  is the maximum rate of Rubisco carboxylation under saturating RuBP and  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $C_i$  and  $O_i$  are the intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations,  $\Gamma^*$  is the  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation concentration in the absence of day respiration, and  $K_c$  and  $K_o$  are the Michaelis constants for  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ , respectively.

The photosynthetic rate limited by RuBP regeneration driven by electron transport ( $A_q$ ) is given by:

$$A_q = \frac{J (C_i - \Gamma^*)}{4 (C_i + 2\Gamma^*)} \quad (3)$$

where  $J$  is the rate of electron transport at a given irradiance  $Q$ .


Prioul and Chartier (1977) described the response of  $A$  to  $Q$  by a non-rectangular hyperbola as:

$$A = \frac{\alpha Q + A_{sat} - \sqrt{(\alpha Q + A_{sat})^2 - 4\alpha\theta Q A_{sat}}}{2\theta} - R_{dark} \quad (4)$$

where  $\theta$  is the convexity of the non-rectangular hyperbola,  $\alpha$  is the initial slope of the  $A/Q$  curve (often referred as 'apparent maximum quantum efficiency'),  $A_{sat}$  is the light-saturated photosynthetic capacity and  $R_{dark}$  the respiration rate at zero irradiance. Individual  $A/Q$  response curves were fitted using Eq. 4 in order to estimate  $\alpha$ ,  $A_{sat}$  and  $R_{dark}$ . The light saturation point,  $Q_{sat}$ , was calculated as the irradiance for which 90% of  $A_{sat}$  was achieved.

Values of the rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light,  $R_d^*$ , and the chloroplastic  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation point,  $\Gamma^*$ , were estimated for each sample using the Laisk method (von Caemmerer 2000). Briefly, the  $A/C_i$  response was measured at three levels of low irradiance ( $Q = 50, 200$  and  $400 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) for six increasing values of  $C_a$  from 25 to 400  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{mol}^{-1}$ . Linear relationships between  $A$  and  $C_i$  were fitted and the point of intersection of the three lines was taken as  $R_d^*$  ( $y$ -axis) and  $\Gamma^*$  ( $x$ -axis) (Figure 1).

The photosynthesis model described by Farquhar et al. (1980) (Eq. 1) was fitted to the  $A/C_i$  and  $A/C_c$  curves by non-linear least squares regression (SigmaPlot, Version 12.1, SPSS, Chicago, IL). Values of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $R_d$  were estimated from the lower part of the  $A/C_i$  or  $A/C_c$  curve ( $C_i$  or  $C_c < 220 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ ) (Eq. 1 and 2), and these values were then used to estimate  $J_{max}$  over the entire range of measured  $C_i$  or  $C_c$  (Eq. 1,2 and 3). Michaelis-Menten constants of Rubisco for  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $K_c$  and  $K_o$ , used in the fitting ( $25^\circ\text{C}$ ) were  $404.9 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  and  $278.4 \text{mmol mol}^{-1}$ , respectively as described by Bernacchi et al. (2001).



**Figure 1** The response of net photosynthesis ( $A$ ) to intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) at three different irradiances ( $Q = 400, 200$  and  $50 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , 400–700 nm) for a representative foliage sample. Linear relationships between  $A$  and  $C_i$  were fitted for each  $Q$  level and their intersections averaged to yield a point which when projected to the  $A$  axis was taken as the rate of day respiration ( $R_d^*$ ) and when projected to the  $C_i$  axis was taken as the intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation concentration in the absence of day respiration ( $C_i^*$ ). The mitochondrial  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation concentration ( $\Gamma^*$ ) was calculated as  $\Gamma^* = C_i^* + R_d^* / g_m$  (von Caemmerer 2000), where  $g_m$  is mesophyll conductance to  $\text{CO}_2$  transfer. Method originally proposed by Laisk, A. (von Caemmerer 2000) to determine  $C_i^*$  and  $R_d$ . This figure is equivalent to the one presented by De Lucia et al. (2003) but with data drawn from this study.

### Calculations of mesophyll conductance

Mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , was estimated using the "constant  $J$ " method (Harley et al. 1992; Loreto et al. 1992) where  $J$  is the rate of electron transport (Figure 2b). This method uses data in the RuBP-regeneration limited portion of the  $A/C_i$  curve, where rates of electron transport are constant. Within this region, further increases in photosynthesis with increasing  $C_i$  are due to suppression of photorespiration as the rate of carboxylation progressively substitutes the rate of oxygenation. Thus, photosynthesis is related to  $C_c$  and the relative  $CO_2/O_2$  specificity of Rubisco, normally described by the chloroplastic  $CO_2$  compensation point,  $\Gamma^*$ . The constant  $J$  method is sensitive to errors in both the rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light,  $R_d^*$ , and  $\Gamma^*$  and the approach assumes that both  $J$  and  $g_m$  are constant across the range of  $C_a$  concentrations used for the measurements (Harley et al. 1992; Pons et al. 2009). The relationship of  $g_m$  with  $\Gamma^*$ ,  $R_d^*$  and intercellular  $CO_2$  compensation point in the absence of day respiration,  $C_i^*$  is given by  $\Gamma^* = C_i^* + R_d^*/g_m$  (Peisker and Apel 2001; von Caemmerer 2000).

The photochemical efficiency of photosystem II ( $\Phi_{PSII}$ ) was estimated from chlorophyll fluorescence measurements as  $(F_m' - F) / F_m'$  where  $F$  and  $F_m'$  are the steady and maximal fluorescence in the light-adapted sample respectively (Schreiber et al. 1994). The values of  $\Phi_{PSII}$  are directly proportional to the rate of electron transport through photosystem II, and therefore can be used to determine the portion of the  $A/C_i$  curve where the rate of electron transport is constant (Genty et al. 1989) (Figure 2a). Optimal values for  $g_m$  were resolved iteratively from three or more measurements of photosynthesis at high values of  $C_i$  that correspond with constant rates of electron transport (Singsaas et al. 2004; Warren 2006). This was done using the Generalized Reduced Gradient Nonlinear Solving Method for nonlinear optimization included in the Solver Tools of Microsoft Excel with measurements of  $A$ ,  $C_i$ ,  $\Gamma^*$ ,  $R_d^*$  to resolve the value of  $g_m$  that best explained changes in photosynthesis with changes in  $C_i$  indicated by minimum variance in  $J$  (Harley et al. 1992; Singsaas et al. 2004; Warren 2006) (Figure 2b).

### Stomatal and mesophyll limitations to photosynthesis

$A/C_i$  response and values for  $g_s$  and  $g_m$ , were used to partition stomatal, mesophyll and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis. Values of stomatal conductance to  $CO_2$  transfer were calculated by  $g_s = A/(C_a - C_i)$  dividing values by 1.64 (Jones 1992). Relative stomatal limitations were calculated using the method of Farquhar and Sharkey (1982) as  $L_s = 1 - A_{a-gs} / A_{i-gs}$  where  $A_{a-gs}$  and  $A_{i-gs}$  are the actual value of  $A$  and the value estimated when  $g_s$  is infinite, respectively. Similarly, following Bernacchi et al. (2002), relative limitation to photosynthesis imposed by  $g_m$  was calculated as:  $L_m = 1 - A_{a-gm} / A_{i-gm}$  where  $A_{a-gm}$  and

$A_{i-gm}$  are the actual value of  $A$  and the value estimated when  $g_m$  is infinite, respectively. The  $CO_2$  concentration in the chloroplasts,  $C_c$ , was calculated from  $C_c = C_i - A/g_m$ .

### Foliage surface area and nutrient concentrations

Following the completion of  $A/C_i$  and  $A/Q$  curves, the leaf was carefully removed from the cuvette, scanned to determine leaf area by optical methods, dried at  $70^\circ C$  to constant mass and then both measurements used to calculate the foliage area to mass ratio,  $M$ . Foliage samples were finely ground, Dumas combusted and N concentrations determined by thermo conductivity (LECO, TruSpec CN, US) at the Laboratory of Soil and Foliar Analysis at Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile. Nitrogen foliage concentrations are expressed on a hemisurface area basis ( $N_a$ ) and photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency,  $E_N$ , defined as  $A_{sat}/N_a$ .

### Data analysis


All statistical analyses were undertaken at the plant level using The R System (R Core Team 2013). Variables were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance and transformations were made as necessary to meet the underlying statistical assumptions of the models used. All values are presented as means  $\pm$  1 standard error ( $n = 15$ ) unless stated otherwise. A one way analysis of variance was used to compare diffusional and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis between sclerophyllous species. Tukey's least significant difference test was used to distinguish among individual means where applicable with a confidence level of  $P < 0.05$ . Differences in slopes and intercepts in the linear relationships between photosynthetic parameters and foliar nitrogen among sclerophyllous species were tested for significance by analysis of covariance.

## Results and discussion

### Overall photosynthetic performance

The Farquhar et al. (1980) model was fitted to the  $A/C_i$  curves while the Prioul and Chartier (1977) model to the  $A/Q$  curves measured for each leaf sample ( $n = 45$ ). There was excellent correspondence between modelled and observed data independent of the sclerophyllous species (Figure 3).

Light response curves (Figure 3a) were very similar among species up to an irradiance level of about  $500 \mu mol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , changing drastically thereafter with clearly distinct maximums of photosynthetic rates in the series: *Q. saponaria* > *C. alba* > *L. caustica* which reflects that the three species exhibited a similar initial pseudo-linear slope of the  $A/Q$  curve (the apparent maximum quantum efficiency:  $\alpha$ ) averaging  $0.033 \pm 0.007 mol CO_2 mol^{-1} quanta$ . The rate of photosynthesis at saturating irradiance ( $2000 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ) and ambient  $CO_2$  concentration ( $A_{sat}$ ), on the other hand, was significantly




**Figure 2** Graphic description of the constant  $J$  method to determine transfer conductance. **(a)** The rate of net photosynthesis ( $A$ ; open circles) and photochemical efficiency of photosystem II ( $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ ; solid triangles) as a function of the intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) for a representative foliage sample. Solid lines represent a least-squares fit to the  $A/C_i$  and  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}/C_i$  response. Open squares are observed values used to estimate mesophyll conductance ( $g_m$ ). These values are within the portion of the  $A/C_i$  response where  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$  indicated that electron transport rate was constant. **(b)** The variance of estimated electron transport rates,  $J$ , for different values of  $g_m$ . Values of  $J$  were estimated for each of the four  $A$  values indicated as open squares in Figure 2a using the equation given by Harley et al. (1992). The  $g_m$  that minimized the variance of  $J$  estimates for this foliage sample was  $0.054 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ . This figure is equivalent to the one presented by Harley et al. (1992) and De Lucia et al. (2003) but with data drawn from this study.

greater in *Q. saponaria* ( $14.2 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) compared to *C. alba* ( $9.5 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and *L. caustica* ( $7.8 \pm 0.7 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) (Figure 3a; Table 1).

The light saturation point,  $Q_{\text{sat}}$ , which followed a similar pattern as  $A_{\text{sat}}$ , was consistently higher in *Q. saponaria* ( $1168 \pm 57 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) compared to *C. alba*





( $964 \pm 56 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and *L. caustica* ( $987 \pm 65 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ). The rate of respiration in the absence of light at ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $R_{\text{dark}}$ ) was  $0.77 \pm 0.10 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  independent of the species. The light compensation point, or the irradiance value at which the rate of photosynthesis equals zero, did not differ across species, being  $38 \pm 4 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . The rate of transpiration at saturating irradiance ( $2000 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $E$ ) was  $2831 \pm 309 \mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  while the instantaneous water use efficiency ( $E/A_{\text{sat}}$ ) was  $337 \pm 41 \text{ mol H}_2\text{O mol}^{-1} \text{CO}_2$ , unaffected by species (Table 1).

The response of photosynthetic rates ( $A$ ) to internal  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) (Figure 3b), changed drastically among species for the whole range of  $C_i$  values in the series: *Q. saponaria* > *C. alba* > *L. caustica*. Consequently the initial slope of the  $A/C_i$  curves ( $dA/dC_i$ ; often referred to as ‘carboxylation efficiency’) was greater in *Q. saponaria* (0.070) compared with *C. alba* (0.047) and *L. caustica* (0.038). A similar trend was observed for the rate of photosynthesis near saturating  $C_i$  ( $800 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ ),  $A_{\text{max}}$ , being 12.03, 17.01 and  $23.81 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for *L. caustica*, *C. alba* and *Q. saponaria*, respectively (Table 1).

### Mesophyll conductance

Mesophyll conductance ( $g_m$ ) was similar between *L. caustica* ( $0.060 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ) and *C. alba* ( $0.065 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ), but collectively significantly lower than *Q. saponaria* ( $0.097 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ). Although un-significantly,  $g_s$  tended to be greater for *Q. saponaria* ( $0.250 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) compared to *C. alba* ( $0.227 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and *L. caustica* ( $0.221 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ). The ratio  $g_m/g_s$  was on average  $0.47 \pm 0.08$ , independent of species (Table 1). Relative limitations posed by mesophyll

conductance to photosynthesis,  $L_m$ , ( $0.40 \pm 0.02$ ) were high compared to those imposed by stomata,  $L_s$  ( $0.07 \pm 0.01$ ) and not significantly influenced by species. The average  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in the intercellular air spaces ( $C_i$ ) was  $31.7 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  lower than in the atmosphere ( $C_a$ ), while the average  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in the chloroplasts ( $C_c$ ) was  $130.6 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  lower than in  $C_i$ , independent of the species (Table 1).

The  $g_m$  values found in this study were generally lower than those reported for other plant functional groups. Flexas et al. (2008) argues that  $g_m$  is associated with leaf forms and plant functional groups, rather than evolutive trends: herbaceous plants exhibit generally the largest  $g_m$  values ( $\sim 0.4 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ), perennial herbs and woody deciduous angiosperms display intermediate values ( $\sim 0.2 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ), while woody evergreen plants exhibit  $g_m$  values slightly above and below  $0.1 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  in angiosperms and gymnosperms, respectively. The mean value across all angiosperm sclerophyllous species studied was  $0.073 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ , similar to those found by Niinemets et al. (2009b) for Australian sclerophyllous species ( $0.087 \text{ mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ).

There seems to be a consistent pattern for sclerophyllous species to exhibit both low  $g_m$  and low  $g_m/g_s$  values (Gulias et al. 2002; Niinemets et al. 2009b; Tomas et al. 2013; Warren 2004). Consequently, differences between  $C_a$  and  $C_i$  are relatively small compared to the difference between  $C_i$  and  $C_c$ . This drawdown of  $\text{CO}_2$  implies that relative limitations imposed by mesophyll conductance to photosynthesis are much greater than those posed by stomata. Warren (2004) found that mesophyll limitations ( $L_m \sim 0.19$  to  $0.38$ ) were greater than stomatal limitations ( $L_s \sim 0.05$  to  $0.23$ ) for *Eucalyptus globulus* which are similar to our study. Equivalent mesophyll conductance limitations were observed for other sclerophyllous

**Table 1 Comparison of leaf and photosynthetic parameters for three common sclerophyll species in Central Chile**

Variable	Units	Schlerophyll species			ANOVA statistics
		<i>L. caustica</i>	<i>C. alba</i>	<i>Q. saponaria</i>	
$A_{sat}$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	7.8 ± 0.7 a	9.5 ± 0.4 a	14.2 ± 0.8 b	***
$\alpha$	( $\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	0.019 ± 0.002	0.024 ± 0.002	0.023 ± 0.002	ns
$R_{dark}$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	0.7 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1	ns
$Q_{sat}$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	987 ± 65 a	964 ± 56 a	1168 ± 57b	*
$Q_{comp}$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	36 ± 8	51 ± 9	27 ± 4	ns
$E$	( $\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	2133 ± 447	2913 ± 476	3492 ± 654	ns
1 / WUE	( $\text{mol H}_2\text{O mol}^{-1}\text{CO}_2$ )	376 ± 93	347 ± 57	285 ± 60	ns
SLA	( $\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$ )	7 ± 0.4 a	10 ± 0.7 b	8.7 ± 0.5 ab	***
$N_a$	( $\text{mmol m}^{-2}$ )	120.2 ± 8.9 a	195.5 ± 21 b	165.8 ± 17.6 ab	**
$E_n$	( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	69.8 ± 9.1 ab	56.4 ± 6.2 a	95.9 ± 8.8 b	**
$A_{max}$	( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	12.03 ± 0.86 a	17.01 ± 0.81 b	23.81 ± 1.18 c	***
$dA/dC_i$	( $\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	0.038 ± 0.003 a	0.047 ± 0.002 a	0.070 ± 0.004 b	***
$g_m$	( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{bar}^{-1}$ )	0.06 ± 0.005 a	0.065 ± 0.004 a	0.097 ± 0.007 b	***
$g_s$	( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	0.221 ± 0.03	0.221 ± 0.025	0.25 ± 0.018	ns
$g_m / g_s$	-	0.54 ± 0.18	0.47 ± 0.14	0.43 ± 0.06	ns
$L_s$	-	0.07 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.01	ns
$L_m$	-	0.35 ± 0.03	0.42 ± 0.02	0.43 ± 0.02	ns
$C_a$	( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	368.3 ± 0.6	368.7 ± 1	368.7 ± 0.6	ns
$C_i$	( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	337.7 ± 7	336.5 ± 6.9	333.6 ± 3.7	ns
$C_c$	( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	220 ± 10.3	202.2 ± 8.2	192.8 ± 5.9	ns
$V_{cmax} (C_i)$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	26.8 ± 2.1 a	32.6 ± 1.1 a	49 ± 2.6 b	***
$J_{max} (C_i)$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	56.3 ± 4.1 a	79.6 ± 3.8 a	111.4 ± 5.6 b	***
$J_{max} / V_{cmax} (C_i)$	-	2.15 ± 0.1	2.44 ± 0.07	2.29 ± 0.07	ns
$V_{cmax} (C_c)$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	45.5 ± 5.1 a	64.4 ± 3.8 a	98.3 ± 5.7 b	***
$J_{max} (C_c)$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	60.4 ± 4.4 a	89.9 ± 4.2 b	126.4 ± 6.1 c	***
$J_{max} / V_{cmax} (C_c)$	-	1.41 ± 0.07	1.43 ± 0.06	1.31 ± 0.05	ns
$R_d^*$	( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	1.0 ± 0.1 a	1.7 ± 0.2 b	1.5 ± 0.1 b	***
$C_i^*$	( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	33 ± 4.2 ab	23.2 ± 2.3 a	34 ± 2.4 b	*
$\Gamma^*$	( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	55.5 ± 5.4	56.8 ± 4.1	59.2 ± 5.9	ns

Values are presented as means (± 1 SE, n=15) for the light-saturated rate of photosynthesis ( $A_{sat}$ ), apparent maximum quantum efficiency ( $\alpha$ ), the rate of mitochondrial respiration at zero irradiance ( $R_{dark}$ ), light saturation ( $Q_{sat}$ ) and compensation point ( $Q_{comp}$ ), evapotranspiration ( $E$ ), the inverse of water use efficiency (1/WUE), specific leaf area (SLA), the nitrogen content on area basis ( $N_a$ ), photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency ( $E_n$ ),  $C_i$ -saturated rate of photosynthesis ( $A_{max}$ ) and initial slope of the  $A/C_i$  curve ( $dA/dC_i$ ), mesophyll ( $g_m$ ) and stomatal ( $g_s$ ) conductance to  $\text{CO}_2$  diffusion, and their ratio ( $g_m/g_s$ ), relative stomatal,  $L_s$ , and mesophyll,  $L_m$ , limitations to photosynthesis, the external ( $C_a$ ), intercellular ( $C_i$ ) and chloroplastic ( $C_c$ )  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration, maximal rate of Rubisco carboxylation ( $V_{cmax}$ ), maximal rate of electron transport driving regeneration of RuBP ( $J_{max}$ ), the ratio  $J_{max} / V_{cmax}$  on  $C_i$  and  $C_c$  basis, the rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light ( $R_d^*$ ), the intercellular ( $C_i^*$ ), and chloroplastic ( $\Gamma^*$ )  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation concentration in the absence of mitochondrial respiration. Separation of means was determined by a Tukey test where applicable. Different letters between species indicate that means were significantly different at  $P < 0.05$ . Significance of species on photosynthetic parameters are shown as: ns, non significant; \*, significant at  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*, significant at  $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*, significant at  $P < 0.001$ .

species ( $L_m \sim 0.20-0.50$ ) by Niinemets et al. (2009b). This seems to be explained by leaf anatomical traits such as thickness, density and the leaf mass to area ratio (Flexas et al. 2012; Niinemets et al. 2009b; Tomas et al. 2013) and also by tissue and cell anatomical traits, such as chloroplast surface area exposed to the intercellular air spaces, cell wall thickness and palisade tissue path length, among others (Tomas et al. 2013; Flexas et al. 2012; Tosens et al. 2012; Terashima et al. 2011; Hassiotou et al. 2009).

*C. alba* There are only a few studies on leaf anatomical traits for the sclerophyllous species considered in this study. *Q. saponaria* generally exhibits lower density palisade parenchyma and spongy mesophyll compared to *C. alba* and *L. caustica* (Gotor 2008); and additionally *L. caustica* exhibits substantially thicker cell walls compared to the other sclerophyllous species (Montenegro 1984). We also found *L. caustica* leaves to be thicker and denser, as shown by its lower foliage area to mass ratio

(*M*) ( $7.0 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ), compared to *Q. saponaria* ( $8.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ) and *C. alba* ( $10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ). Tomas et al. (2013) found that for sclerophyllous species  $g_m$  was strongly constrained by cell wall thickness (e.g. *L. caustica*). Such differences in leaf, tissue and cell anatomical traits may at least partially explain why values of  $g_m$  and photosynthetic rates are in the series: *Q. saponaria* > *C. alba*  $\geq$  *L. caustica*.

In our study, values of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  were strongly and positively correlated with  $g_m$  ( $A_{\text{sat}} = 1.92 + 100.49 g_m$ ,  $r^2 = 0.61$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Intercepts ( $F_{2,38} = 8.07$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) but not slopes ( $F_{2,38} = 1.6$ ,  $P = 0.21$ ) of this linear relationship were influenced by species (Figure 4). Values of  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  were uncorrelated ( $r^2 = 0.03$ ,  $P = 0.29$ ). An increase in the rate of photosynthesis with increasing  $g_m$  is consistent with previous findings from a wide range of species (Flexas et al. 2004; Grassi and Magnani 2005; Loreto et al. 1992; Niinemets et al. 2009b; Singaas et al. 2004; Tomas et al. 2013; von Caemmerer and Evans 1991; Warren et al. 2003). Using the variable *J* method and carbon isotopes to estimate  $g_m$  for 15 angiosperm species, Loreto et al. (1992) estimated that the slope of the relationship between  $g_m$  ( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ) and the rate of photosynthesis at saturating irradiance,  $A_{\text{sat}}$  ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) was 0.025 (i.e.  $A_{\text{sat}} = 40 g_m$ ). However, the magnitude of this slope in our study was 3.45 times greater. Grassi and Magnani (2005) estimated that the slope of the relationship


between  $g_m$  and  $A_{\text{sat}}$  was 0.0132 (i.e.  $A_{\text{sat}} = 75.7 g_m$ ) for oak trees, being our slope 1.8 times greater. This confirms that mesophyll conductance strongly limited the photosynthetic rates in the sclerophyllous species included in our study, compared to other plant groups; although they compare well with other sclerophyllous species.

The 'constant *J* method' used to estimate  $g_m$  is sensitive to errors in both the rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light,  $R_d^*$ , and the chloroplastic  $\text{CO}_2$  compensation concentration in the absence of mitochondrial respiration,  $\Gamma^*$ . Values of  $\Gamma^*$  in our study were very similar across sclerophyllous species ( $F_{2,41} = 0.26$ ,  $P = 0.78$ ), with a mean value of  $50.7 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  (Table 1). In contrast,  $R_d^*$  was significantly lower ( $F_{2,41} = 9.87$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) in *L. caustica* ( $1.0 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) compared to *C. alba* ( $1.7 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and *Q. saponaria* ( $1.5 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) (Table 1).

A recent paper by Gu and Sun (2014) suggests that the so-called Laisk method to estimate  $\Gamma^*$  and  $R_d^*$  seems to be invalid, and hence an alternative estimate for  $g_m$  in our study would reduce the uncertainty related to our results. We tested whether our results for  $g_m$  withhold when using values found in the literature for  $\Gamma^*$  and  $R_d^*$ . We used values for tobacco from Brooks and Farquhar (1985) (Set 1:  $R_d = 0.8 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $\Gamma^* = 36.9 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ ) and for spinach from Bernacchi et al. (2001) (Set 2:  $R_d = 1 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $\Gamma^* = 42.8 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ ), which have been commonly used to calculate  $g_m$  for evergreen species (Gallé et al. 2011; Hassiotou et al. 2009; Niinemets et al. 2009a; Niinemets et al. 2009b). We did not find significant differences within each species when comparing the three estimates of  $g_m$  i.e. using our estimates using the Laisk method, Set 1 and Set 2 (*Q. saponaria*:  $P = 0.48$ , *C. alba*:  $P = 0.38$ , *L. caustica*:  $P = 0.12$ ). Tomas et al. (2013a, b) performed a similar analysis for deciduous, semideciduous and evergreen trees and herbs plants, obtaining similar values of  $g_m$  independent of the values chosen for  $\Gamma^*$  and  $R_d^*$ . This shows that our results hold independent of having used the Laisk method to estimate  $\Gamma^*$  and  $R_d^*$ .

#### Biochemical limitations to photosynthesis

Values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  (range 13–66, mean  $36 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and  $J_{\text{max}}$  (range 33–148, mean  $82 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) in our study were within the range compiled for 109  $\text{C}_3$  plant species by Wullschleger (1993) ( $V_{\text{cmax}}$ : range 6–194, mean  $64 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ;  $J_{\text{max}}$ : range 17–372, mean  $134 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Wullschleger (1993) also provided specific values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  (range 11–119, mean  $47 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and  $J_{\text{max}}$  (range 29–237, mean  $104 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) for temperate hardwoods and sclerophyllous species ( $V_{\text{cmax}}$ : range 35–71, mean  $53 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ;  $J_{\text{max}}$ : range 94–167, mean  $122 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ); which are similar to the ones found in our study. Pena-Rojas et al. (2004) observed values of  $29 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $59 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$ , respectively for *Quercus ilex*. Niinemets



**Figure 4** The relationship between  $A_{\text{sat}}$  and  $g_m$ .  $A_{\text{sat}}$  stands for the rate of photosynthesis at saturating irradiance and ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration; and,  $g_m$  for mesophyll conductance. The  $A_{\text{sat}}/g_m$  linear relationship was highly significant ( $A_{\text{sat}} = 2.4 + 109 g_m$ ,  $r^2 = 0.6$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; without intercept:  $A_{\text{sat}} = 138 g_m$ ). Intercepts ( $F_{2,38} = 8.07$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) but not slopes ( $F_{2,38} = 1.6$ ,  $P = 0.21$ ) of the  $A_{\text{sat}}/g_m$  linear relationships differed between species. Dashed-lines are  $A_{\text{sat}} = 40 g_m$ ,  $r^2 = 0.89$ , determined for 15 angiosperms species by Loreto et al. (1992).



et al. (2009b) observed values of  $37 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $89 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$ , respectively for different Australian sclerophyllous species. This emphasizes the fact that photosynthetic capacity in our study was more limited by  $g_m$  than by biochemical limitations, as our values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  were greater than those reported by Pena-Rojas et al. (2004) and Niinemets et al. (2009b) and comparable to averages for  $C_3$  hardwoods.


The maximal rate of Rubisco carboxylation ( $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ) calculated on a  $C_i$  basis, were significantly greater in *Q. saponaria* ( $49.0 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) compared to *C. alba* ( $32.6 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and *L. caustica* ( $26.8 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) (Table 1). Corresponding values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  on a  $C_c$  basis were  $98.3$ ,  $64.4$  and  $45.5 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. Therefore, values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  calculated on a  $C_c$  basis were  $101\%$ ,  $97\%$  and  $70\%$  greater than those on a  $C_i$  basis for *Q. saponaria*, *C. alba* and *L. caustica*, respectively.

Similar to  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ , the maximal rate of electron transport driving regeneration of RuBP ( $J_{\text{max}}$ ) calculated on a  $C_i$  basis, were significantly greater in *Q. saponaria* ( $111.4 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) compared to *C. alba* ( $79.6 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and *L. caustica* ( $56.3 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) (Table 1). Corresponding values of  $J_{\text{max}}$  on a  $C_c$  basis were  $126.4$ ,  $89.9$  and  $60.4 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. Therefore, values of  $J_{\text{max}}$  were  $13\%$ ,  $13\%$  and  $7\%$  greater on a  $C_c$  than a  $C_i$  basis for *Q. saponaria*, *C. alba* and *L. caustica*, respectively.

Although there were significant differences in values of  $J_{\text{max}}$  and  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  among species, the  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  ratio was constant both on a  $C_i$  ( $2.29 \pm 0.05$ ) and  $C_c$  ( $1.38 \pm 0.04$ ) basis across species (Table 1). Similarly, the relationship between  $J_{\text{max}}$  and  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  was highly significant both on a  $C_i$  ( $J_{\text{max}} = 2.267 V_{\text{cmax}}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.82$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and  $C_c$  ( $J_{\text{max}} = 1.288 V_{\text{cmax}}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.81$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) basis (Figure 5).

Most values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  reported in the literature are calculated from  $A/C_i$  response curves, rather than  $A/C_c$  curves, with the implicit assumption that mesophyll conductance is infinitely large. When this assumption is invalid, values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  are underestimated (Ethier and Livingston 2004; Ethier et al. 2006; Grassi and Magnani 2005; Harley et al. 1992; Long and Bernacchi 2003; Loreto et al. 1992; Manter and Kerri-gan 2004; von Caemmerer 2000; Niinemets et al. 2009a). To prove this assumption Grassi and Magnani (2005) showed that the relationship between  $V_{\text{cmax,ci}}$  and  $V_{\text{cmax,cc}}$  values result in a slope of  $1.62$  ( $r^2 = 0.94$ ), showing that the  $C_i$  calculation underestimated the real photosynthetic capacity of the leaf. This relation is similar to the one found in our study, which resulted in a slope of  $1.28$  ( $r^2 = 0.76$ ).

There is mounting evidence that  $g_m$  changes with chloroplastic  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration and irradiance, although some of that variation can be an artifact due to the mathematical methods employed (Gu and Sun 2014). Also



Tholen et al. (2012) suggests there are limitations in the precise estimate of  $g_m$  when taking several  $A$  values from varying  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations and rates of photorespiration to estimate  $g_m$ . Taking aside artefactual responses, it seems that  $g_m$  initially increases, then peaks to decline thereafter with increasing  $C_c$ ; while  $g_m$  seems to increase with irradiance for the same level of  $C_c$  (Flexas et al. 2007). We are aware that converting  $A/C_i$  into  $A/C_c$  curves assuming a constant  $g_m$  can be invalid; but still useful for comparing our results with previous studies. For instance, the parameters and results found in our study were similar for the photosynthesis model stated by Farquhar et al. (1980) with model parameters in normal scenarios of  $V_{\text{cmax}} \sim 50 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $J_{\text{max}} \sim 125 \mu\text{mol electrons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and dark respiration rates  $\sim 1.25 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . These values were approximate to an 'average'  $C_3$  leaf based on  $C_c$ -derived estimates and in the range of the results for the three sclerophyllous species of our study; therefore emphasizing that our species were more limited by  $g_m$  than biochemical parameters. We are also aware that using 'standard' Rubisco kinetics from tobacco to calculate  $g_m$ ,  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  may lead to biases (Walker et al. 2013) to parameterize photosynthesis in the sclerophyllous

species considered in this study. This emphasizes the need for future work to estimate Rubisco kinetics for sclerophyllous species in central Chile.

### Foliar nitrogen and photosynthetic parameters

Photosynthetic rates are known to be closely related to foliar nitrogen concentrations (Field and Mooney 1986; Walcroft et al. 1997; Grassi et al. 2002; Ripullone et al. 2003). This is explained by the high proportion of total nitrogen partitioned to the carboxylating enzyme Rubisco (Sage and Pearcy 1987; Evans 1989; Warren and Adams 2002; Takashima et al. 2004) and also by the strong coupling effect among capacities  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  (von Caemmerer and Farquhar 1981; Wullschleger 1993; Sharkey 1985).

At the plant level, observed  $N_a$  ranged almost sixfold from 54 to 339 mmol N m<sup>-2</sup> being significantly greater in *C. alba* (195.5 mmol m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to *Q. saponaria* (165.8 mmol m<sup>-2</sup>) and *L. caustica* (120.2 mmol m<sup>-2</sup>) (Table 1). Values of  $g_m$  were uncorrelated to  $N_a$  ( $r^2 = 0.001$ ,  $P = 0.40$ ); but as expected values of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  on a  $C_i$  basis significantly increased with  $N_a$  ( $F_{1,38} > 5.95$ ,  $P < 0.019$ ). Intercepts ( $F_{2,38} > 31.3$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) but not slopes ( $F_{2,38} < 1.42$ ,  $P > 0.25$ ) of the  $V_{cmax}/N_a$  and  $J_{max}/N_a$  linear relationships were significantly different between species ( $V_{cmax} = a + 0.075 N_a$ , with  $a = 17.728$  for *L. caustica*,  $a = 32.489$  for *C. alba*,  $a = 39.204$  for *Q. saponaria*,  $r^2 = 0.61$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ;  $J_{max} = a + 0.149 N_a$ , with  $a = 38.349$  for *L. caustica*,  $a = 85.976$  for *C. alba*,  $a = 101.296$  for *Q. saponaria*,  $r^2 = 0.62$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). It is worth noting that *L. caustica* exhibited the lowest intercept followed by *C. alba* and *Q. saponaria* consistently for both the  $V_{cmax}/N_a$  and the  $J_{max}/N_a$  relationships which can be attributed to reasons other than foliage N (i.e. foliage N was accounted for in the slope of these relationships). We then may speculate that intercept differences among species can be attributed to distinct leaf N investment strategies. Consistently we found significant differences in Nitrogen use efficiency ( $E_n$ ), being greatest in *Q. saponaria* (95.9 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol N s<sup>-1</sup>), compared to *L. caustica* (69.8 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol N s<sup>-1</sup>) and *C. alba* (56.4 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol N s<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1). Such differences in  $E_n$ , may suggest that *Q. saponaria*, that exhibits a greater photosynthetic capacity, may invest proportionally more N to Rubisco compared to *L. caustica* and *C. alba* that exhibit poorer photosynthetic performance.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, values of stomatal conductance,  $g_s$ , were greater than those of mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , while their ratio ( $g_m/g_s$ ) was not influenced by species, being on average 0.47. Therefore, the relative limitations imposed by  $g_m$  were high ( $L_m \sim 0.40$ ,  $C_i - C_c \sim 131$  μmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) compared to those imposed by  $g_s$  ( $L_s \sim 0.07$ ,  $C_a - C_i \sim 32$  μmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). Consequently photosynthetic rates in our study were mainly limited by

$g_m$  as biochemical limitations  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  compare well to averages for C<sub>3</sub> plants. Photosynthetic performance was in the series: *Q. saponaria* > *C. alba* ≥ *L. caustica* which can be attributed first to mesophyll conductance limitations, probably mediated by leaf anatomical traits and then to species specific foliage N partitioning strategies.

### Appendix

Abbreviations used throughout the text can be found in Table 2.

**Table 2 Abbreviations**

Symbol	Description	Units
$A_{sat}$	light-saturated rate of photosynthesis at ambient $C_a$	μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$R_{dark}$	respiration rate at zero irradiance	μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$Q_{sat}$	Light saturation point determined as the Q value for which assimilation is 90% of $A_{sat}$	μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$Q_{comp}$	Light compensation point determined as the Q value for which the rate of photosynthesis exactly matches the rate of respiration	μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$\alpha$	apparent maximum quantum efficiency	mol mol <sup>-1</sup>
$C_a$	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in the air outside the leaf	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>
$C_i$	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in intercellular air spaces	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>
$C_c$	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplast	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\Gamma^*$	chloroplastic CO <sub>2</sub> compensation point	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\tilde{C}_i^*$	intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> compensation point in the absence of day respiration	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>
$R_{d}^*$	rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light	μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$E_n$	instantaneous photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$L_s$	relative stomatal (plus boundary layer) limitation to photosynthesis	%
$L_m$	relative mesophyll limitations to photosynthesis	%
$g_s$	stomatal (plus boundary layer) conductance to CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion	mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$g_m$	Mesophyll transfer conductance to CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion	mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> bar <sup>-1</sup>
$V_{cmax}$	<i>in vivo</i> maximum rate of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate (RuBP) carboxylase-oxygenase (Rubisco) carboxylation	μmol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$J_{max}$	electron transport driving regeneration of RuBP	μmol electrons m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$A_{max}$	the rate of photosynthesis near saturating $C_i$ (800 μmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )	μmol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$N_a$	foliage nitrogen concentration on an area basis	mmol m <sup>-2</sup>
$M$	Leaf area to mass ratio	m <sup>2</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup>
VPD	Leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit	kPa

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

CB was involved in the original idea and carried out all measurements of gas exchange. HB participated in the design of the study, performed the statistical analysis and wrote together with CB the first draft. JPQ and JPF contributed significantly in the presentation of results and discussion. NF substantially contributed in the discussion. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

During this work the corresponding author was supported by the National Science and Technology Commission (CONICYT) through the project FONDECYT 1090259 "Disturbance mediated water and nutrient stresses regulate carbon assimilation and allocation in sclerophyll ecosystems in Central Chile: A process-based approach". We thank Corporación Nacional Forestal for their support to carry out FONDECYT 1090259, and for providing accommodation and technical advice within the National Reserve "Roblería de los Cobres de Loncha". We also thank Mrs. Cristina Sáez N. (Universidad de Chile), Mr. Roberto Cerda R. (CONAF) and Mr. Eric Campos (Universidad de Chile) for their kind advice and valuable technical skills. The experiments and measurements undertaken for this paper comply with the current laws of Chile.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Forestales y de la Conservación de la Naturaleza, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 9206, Santiago, Chile. <sup>2</sup>Centro de Estudios de Zonas Áridas, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 129, Coquimbo, Chile. <sup>3</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 1004, Santiago, Chile.

Received: 14 February 2014 Accepted: 18 June 2014

Published online: 16 August 2014

### References

- Armesto JJ, Arroyo MTK, Hinojosa LF (2007) The mediterranean environment of Central Chile. In: Veblen TT, Young KR, Orme AR (eds) *The physical geography of South America*: 184–199. Oxford University Press, United State of America
- Arroyo MTK, Marquet P, Marticorena C, Simonetti J, Cavieres LA, Squeo FA, Rozzi R (2004) Chilean winter rainfall - Valdivian forests. In: Mittermeier PRRA, Hoffmann M, Pilgrim J, Brooks T, Goetsch-Mittermeier C, Lamoreux J, Da Fonseca GAB (eds) *Hotspots revisited: earth's biologically richest and most endangered terrestrial ecoregions*: 99–103. CEMEX, Mexico
- Baldocchi DD, Harley PC (1995) Scaling carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange from leaf to canopy in a deciduous forest: II model testing and application. *Plant Cell and Environment* 18:1157–1173
- Benites J, Saintraint D, Morimoto YK (1994) Degradación de tierras y producción agrícola en Argentina, Bolivia, Brasil, Chile y Paraguay: Erosión de Suelos en América Latina. Oficina Regional de la FAO para América Latina y el Caribe, Santiago, pp 83–116
- Bernacchi CJ, Elsingsaas CP, Portis AR, Long SP (2001) Improved temperature response functions for models of Rubisco-limited photosynthesis. *Plant Cell Environ* 24:253–259
- Bernacchi CJ, Portis AR, Nakano H, Von Caemmerer S, Long SP (2002) Temperature response of mesophyll conductance. Implications for the determination of Rubisco enzyme kinetics and for limitations to photosynthesis in vivo. *Plant Physiology* 130:1992–1998
- Brooks A, Farquhar GD (1985) Effect of temperature on the CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> specificity of ribulose-1, 5- bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase and the rate of respiration in the light: estimates from gas-exchange measurements on spinach. *Planta* 165:397–406
- Cabrera M (2002) Respuestas ecofisiológicas de plantas en ecosistemas de zonas con clima mediterráneo y ambientes de alta montaña. *Rev Chil Hist Nat* 75:625–637
- CONAF (1999) Catastro y Evaluación de Recursos Vegetacionales Nativos de Chile. Proyecto CONAF-CONAMA-BIRF, Santiago, Chile, p 89
- De Lucia EH, Whitehead D, Clearwater MJ (2003) The relative limitation of photosynthesis by mesophyll conductance in co-occurring species in a temperate rainforest dominated by the conifer *Dacrydium cupressinum*. *Funct Plant Biol* 30:1197–1204
- Ethier GJ, Livingston NJ (2004) On the need to incorporate sensitivity to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer conductance into the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry leaf photosynthesis model. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 27:137–153
- Ethier GJ, Livingston NJ, Harrison DL, Black TA, Moran JA (2006) Low stomatal and internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> versus Rubisco deactivation as determinants of the photosynthetic decline of ageing evergreen leaves. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 29:2168–2184
- Evans JR (1989) Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> plants. *Oecologia* 78:9–19
- Farquhar GD, Sharkey TD (1982) Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* 33:317–345
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. *Planta* 149:78–90
- Field C, Mooney HA (1986) The photosynthesis - nitrogen relationship in wild plants. In: Givnish TJ (ed) *The economy of plant form and function*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 25–55
- Flexas J, Bota J, Loreto F, Comic G, Sharkey TD (2004) Diffusive and metabolic limitations to photosynthesis under drought and salinity in C<sub>3</sub> plants. *Plant Biol* 6:269–279
- Flexas J, Díaz-Espejo A, Galmés J, Kaldenhoff R, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbo M (2007) Rapid variations of mesophyll conductance in response to changes in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration around leaves. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 30:1284–1298
- Flexas J, Ribas-Carbo M, Díaz-Espejo A, Galmes J, Medrano H (2008) Mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: current knowledge and future prospects. *Plant Cell Environ* 31:602–621
- Flexas J, Barbour MM, Brendel O, Cabrera HM, Carriqui M, Díaz-Espejo A, Douthe C, Dreyer E, Ferrio JP, Gago J, Galle A, Galmes J, Kodama N, Medrano H, Niinemets U, Peguero-Pina JJ, Pou A, Ribas-Carbo M, Tomas M, Tosens T, Warren CR (2012) Mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: an unappreciated central player in photosynthesis. *Plant Science* 193:70–84, doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2012.05.009
- Gallé A, Flórez-Sarasa I, Aououad HE, Flexas J (2011) The Mediterranean evergreen *Quercus ilex* and the semi-deciduous *Cistus albidus* differ in their leaf gas exchange regulation and acclimation to repeated drought and re-watering cycles. *J Exp Bot* 62:5207–5216
- Galmes J, Medrano H, Flexas J (2007) Photosynthetic limitations in response to water stress and recovery in Mediterranean plants with different growth forms. *New Phytol* 175:81–93
- Genty B, Briantais JM, Baker NR (1989) The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron-transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta* 990:87–92
- Gotor B (2008) Evaluación de parámetros fisiológicos y de Crecimiento en plantas de *Quillaja saponaria* Mol. bajo condiciones de déficit hídrico: Memoria para optar al título de Ingeniero Forestal. Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- Grassi G, Magnani F (2005) Stomatal, mesophyll conductance and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis as affected by drought and leaf ontogeny in ash and oak trees. *Plant Cell Environ* 28:834–849
- Grassi G, Meier P, Cromer R, Tompkins D, Jarvis PG (2002) Photosynthetic parameters in seedlings of *Eucalyptus grandis* as affected by rate of nitrogen supply. *Plant Cell Environ* 25:1677–1688
- Griffin KL, Tissue DT, Turnbull MH, Whitehead D (2000) The onset of photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure in field-grown *Pinus radiata* D: Don. after 4 years. *Plant Cell Environ* 23:1089–1098
- Gu L, Sun Y (2014) Artefactual responses of mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> and irradiance estimated with the variable J and online isotope discrimination methods. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 37:1231–1249
- Gulias J, Flexas J, Abadia A, Medrano H (2002) Photosynthetic responses to water deficit in six Mediterranean sclerophyll species: possible factors explaining the declining distribution of *Rhamnus ludovici-salvatoris*, an endemic Balearic species. *Tree Physiol* 22:687–697
- Harley PC, Sharkey TD (1991) An improved model of C<sub>3</sub> photosynthesis at high CO<sub>2</sub> - reversed O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity explained by lack of glycerate reentry into the chloroplast. *Photosynth Res* 27(3):169–178
- Harley PC, Loreto F, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD (1992) Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant Physiol* 98:1429–1436
- Hassiotou F, Ludwig M, Renton M, Veneklaas EJ, Evans JR (2009) Influence of leaf dry mass per area, CO<sub>2</sub> and irradiance on mesophyll conductance in sclerophylls. *J Exp Bot* 60:2303–2314

- Hogan KP, Whitehead D, Kallarackal J, Buwalda JG, Meekings J, Rogers GND (1996) Photosynthetic activity of leaves of *Pinus radiata* and *Nothofagus fusca* after 1 year of growth at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 23(5):623–630
- Jones HG (1992) Plants and microclimate: a quantitative approach to environmental physiology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
- Kellomaki S, Wang KY (1996) Photosynthetic responses to needle water potentials in Scots pine after a four-year exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. *Tree Physiol* 16:765–772
- Long SP, Bernacchi CJ (2003) Gas exchange measurements, what can they tell us about the underlying limitations to photosynthesis? procedures and sources of error. *J Exp Bot* 54:2393–2401
- Loreto F, Harley PC, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD (1992) Estimation of mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> flux by three different methods. *Plant Physiol* 98:1437–1443
- Manter DK, Kerrigan J (2004) A/C<sub>i</sub> curve analysis across a range of woody plant species: influence of regression analysis parameters and mesophyll conductance. *J Exp Bot* 55:2581–2588
- McMurtrie RE, Leuning R, Thomson WA, Wheeler AM (1992) A model of canopy photosynthesis and water use incorporating a mechanistic formulation of leaf CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. *For Ecol Manag* 52:261–278
- Montenegro G (1984) Atlas de anatomía de especies vegetales autóctonas de la Zona Central. Ediciones Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago
- Murray MB, Smith RI, Friend A, Jarvis PG (2000) Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and varying nutrient application rates on physiology and biomass accumulation of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). *Tree Physiol* 20:421–434
- Niinemets Ü, Díaz A, Flexas J, Galmés J, Warren R (2009a) Importance of mesophyll diffusion conductance in estimation of plant photosynthesis in the field. *J Exp Bot* 60:2271–2282
- Niinemets Ü, Wright I, Evans J (2009b) Leaf mesophyll diffusion conductance in 35 Australian sclerophylls covering a broad range of foliage structural and physiological variation. *Journal Experimental Botany* 60:24333–22449
- Peisker M, Apel H (2001) Inhibition by light of CO<sub>2</sub> evolution from dark respiration: comparison of two gas exchange methods. *Photosynth Res* 70:291–298
- Pena-Rojas K, Aranda X, Fleck I (2004) Stomatal limitation to CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and down-regulation of photosynthesis in *Quercus ilex* sprouts in response to slowly imposed drought. *Tree Physiol* 24(7):813–822, Schreiber U, W Bilger & C Neubauer (1994) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a noninvasive indicator for rapid assessment of in vivo photosynthesis. In: ED Schulze & MM Caldwell (eds) *Ecophysiology of photosynthesis*: 49–70. Springer Verlag, Berlin
- Pons TL, Flexas J, von Caemmerer S, Evans JR, Genty B, Ribas-Carbo M, Brugnoli E (2009) Estimating mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: methodology, potential errors, and recommendations. *J Exp Bot* 60:2217–2234
- Prioul JL, Chartier P (1977) Partitioning of transfer and carboxylation components of intracellular resistance to photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> fixation: a critical analysis of the methods used. *Ann Bot* 4:789–800
- R Core Team (2013) A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Ripullone F, Grassi G, Lauteri M, Borghetti M (2003) Photosynthesis-nitrogen relationships: interpretation of different patterns between *Pseudotsuga menziesii* and *Populus euroamericana* in a mini-stand experiment. *Tree Physiol* 23:137–144
- Sage RF, Pearcy RW (1987) The nitrogen use efficiency of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants. *Plant Physiol* 84:959–963
- Schreiber U, Bilger W, Neubauer C (1994) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a noninvasive indicator for rapid assessment of in vivo photosynthesis. In: Schulze ED, Caldwell MM (eds) *Ecophysiology of photosynthesis*: 49–70. Springer Verlag, Berlin
- Sharkey TD (1985) Photosynthesis in intact leaves of C<sub>3</sub> plants: physics, physiology and rate limitations. *Bot Rev* 51:54–105
- Singsaas EL, Ort DR, Delucia EH (2004) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on mesophyll conductance and its consequences for interpreting photosynthetic physiology. *Plant Cell Environ* 27:41–50
- Takashima T, Hikosaka K, Hirose T (2004) Photosynthesis or persistence: nitrogen allocation in leaves of evergreen and deciduous *Quercus* species. *Plant Cell Environ* 27:1047–1054
- Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tholen D, Niinemets Ü (2011) Leaf functional anatomy in relation to photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol* 155:108–116
- Tholen D, Ethier G, Genty B, Pepin S, Zhu XG (2012) Variable mesophyll conductance revisited: theoretical background and experimental implications. *Plant Cell Environ* 35:2087–2103
- Tomas M, Flexas J, Copolovici L, Galmes J, Hallik L, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbo M, Tosens T, Vislap V, Niinemets U (2013) Importance of leaf anatomy in determining mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> across species: quantitative limitations and scaling up by models. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64(8):2269–2281, doi:10.1093/jxb/ert086
- Tosens T, Niinemets Ü, Westoby M, Wright IJ (2012) Anatomical basis of variation in mesophyll resistance in eastern Australian sclerophylls: news of a long and winding path. *J Exp Bot* 63:5105–5119
- Turnbull MH, Tissue DT, Griffin KL, Rogers GND, Whitehead D (1998) Photosynthetic acclimation to long-term exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in *Pinus radiata* D. Don. is related to age of needles. *Plant Cell Environ* 21:1019–1028
- Turnbull MH, Murthy R, Griffin KL (2002) The relative impacts of daytime and night-time warming on photosynthetic capacity in *Populus deltoides*. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 25:1729–1737
- von Caemmerer S (2000) *Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis*. Victoria, Australia, CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood
- von Caemmerer S, Evans JR (1991) Determination of the average partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in chloroplasts from leaves of several C<sub>3</sub> plants. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 18:287–305
- von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. *Planta* 153:376–387
- Walcroft AS, Whitehead D, Silvester WB, Kelliher FM (1997) The response of photosynthetic model parameters to temperature and nitrogen concentration in *Pinus radiata* D. Don Plant, Cell & Environment 20:1338–1348
- Walker B, Ariza LS, Kaines S, Badger MR, Cousins AB (2013) Temperature response of in vivo Rubisco kinetics and mesophyll conductance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: comparisons to *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant Cell Environ* 36:2108–2119
- Warren CR (2004) The photosynthetic limitation posed by internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> movement is increased by nutrient supply. *J Exp Bot* 55:2313–2321
- Warren CR (2006) Why does photosynthesis decrease with needle age in *Pinus pinaster*? *Trees* 20:157–164
- Warren CR, Adams MA (2002) Phosphorus affects growth and partitioning of nitrogen to Rubisco in *Pinus pinaster*. *Tree Physiol* 22:11–19
- Warren CR, Adams MA (2006) Internal conductance does not scale with photosynthetic capacity: implications for carbon isotope discrimination and the economics of water and nitrogen use in photosynthesis. *Plant Cell Environ* 29:192–201
- Warren CR, Ethier GJ, Livingston NJ, Grant NJ, Turpin DH, Harrison DL, Black TA (2003) Transfer conductance in second growth Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) canopies. *Plant Cell Environ* 26:1215–1227
- Whitehead D, Walcroft AS, Griffin KL, Tissue DT, Turnbull MH, Engel VC, Brown KJ, Schuster WSF (2004) Scaling carbon uptake from leaves to canopies: insights from two forests with contrasting properties. In: Mencuccini JGM, Moncrieff J, McNaughton KG (eds) *Forests at the land-atmosphere interface*: 231–254. CAB International, U.K. CAB. <http://arrow.uws.edu.au:8080/vital/access/manager/Repository/uws:2660>
- Wullschlegel SD (1993) Biochemical limitations to carbon assimilation in C<sub>3</sub> plants - a retrospective analysis of the A/C<sub>i</sub> curves from 109 species. *J Exp Bot* 44:907–920

doi:10.1186/s40693-014-0008-0

**Cite this article as:** Brito et al.: Mesophyll conductance constrains photosynthesis in three common sclerophyllous species in Central Chile. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 2014 **87**:8.