RESISTANCE MECHANISMS OF ALUMINUM (Al³⁺) PHYTOTOXICITY IN CEREALS: PHYSIOLOGICAL, GENETIC AND MOLECULAR BASES

Claudio Inostroza-Blancheteau¹, Braulio Soto², Pilar Ulloa¹, Felipe Aquea³ and Marjorie Reyes-Díaz⁴

¹Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias de Recursos Naturales, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile.

²Unidad de Biotecnología de plantas, Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias Carillanca, Centro de genómica nutricional agropecuaria (CGNA). Temuco, Chile

³Departamento de Genética Molecular y Microbiología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

⁴Instituto de Agroindustria, Universidad de La Frontera, P.O. Box 54-D, Temuco, Chile.
Corresponding author: reyesm@ufro.cl

Mecanismos de resistencia a la fitotoxicidad por aluminio (Al³⁺) en cereales: bases fisiológicas, genéticas y moleculares

Keywords: Aluminum tolerance, cereals, organics acid, *ALMT1* gene, triticeae family.

ABSTRACT

Aluminum (Al) toxicity is one of the main factors limiting crop productivity in acid soils around the world. In cereals, this problem can affect between 30 and 40% of crop yields. One way to reduce the toxic effect of Al is to neutralize the acidity with calcareous amendments. However, this practice is demanding and not very effective. An alternative is the search for genetic variability in the genome of cropping grasses and/or their wild relatives to resist Al. The development of biotechnology and molecular genetics approach has facilitated the understanding of the physiological, genetic and molecular bases in the process of ameliorating these species. This review presents the main physiological mechanisms of Al resistance and the genetic and molecular bases that explain the degree of resistance between different cereals species.

Palabras Claves: Tolerancia aluminio, cereales, ácidos organicos, gen *ALMT1*, familia Triticeae

RESUMEN

La toxicidad por aluminio (Al), es uno de los principales factores que limitan la productividad de los cultivos en los suelos ácidos alrededor del mundo. En cereales este problema puede afectar entre 30 a 40% los rendimientos de los cultivos. Una de las opciones para reducir el efecto tóxico del Al, es neutralizar la acidez con el uso de enmiendas calcáreas. Sin embargo, esta es una práctica muy laboriosa y poco efectiva. Una alternativa es la búsqueda de variabilidad genética para la resistencia a Al en el genoma de gramíneas cultivadas y/o sus parientes silvestres. El desarrollo de la biotecnología y la genética molecular han

facilitado el entendimiento de las bases fisiológicas, genéticas y moleculares en el proceso de mejoramiento de estas especies. En este review se presentan los principales mecanismos fisiológicos de la resistencia a la fitotoxicidad por Al y los fundamentos genéticos y moleculares que explican el grado de resistencia entre las diferentes especies de cereales.

INTRODUCTION

Aluminum (Al) phytotoxicity is one of the major agronomic problems in acid soils. The concentration of Al ions in soil solution is high unless the pH<5.0 (Kochian, 1995; Schmohl and Horts, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2007), compromising more than 40% of the potentially arable soils in the world (Foy *et al.*, 1978; Kochian, 1995; Zheng *et al.*, 1998; Delhaize *et al.*, 2004). This problem is exacerbated by the use of ammonium fertilizers and acid rain (von Uexkull and Murtert, 1995). The main symptom of Al toxicity is a rapid inhibition of root growth, which may translate to a reduction in vigor and crop yields (Rengel, 1992; Kochian *et al.*, 2005). Plants have different mechanisms to resist or tolerate the toxic effect of Al in response to this stress. These resistance mechanisms in plants have been classified as a) external or exclusion through the exudation of organic acids from the radical apexes and subsequent chelation of the root in the rhizosphere and b) internal or Al-tolerant since Al chelation is produced inside the cell and then later stored and compartmentalized in organelles like the vacuole (Kochian, 1995; Ramgareeb *et al.*, 2004). Several investigations have reported that Al interferes with the cell division of radical apexes, increases rigidification of the cell wall by crossing with pectins, reduces DNA replication by increasing the rigidification of the double helix (Rout, 2001), interferes with the signal transduction pathways, alters cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ levels (Jones *et al.*, 1998) and inhibits phospholipase C (PLC) activity of the phosphoinositide pathway associated with Ca²⁺ signaling (Jones and Kochian, 1995; Ramos-Díaz *et al.*, 2007).

The genetic bases for Al resistance have been studied in a limited number of species, such as wheat, oats, rye, triticale, sorghum (Delhaize *et al.*, 1993b; Fontecha *et al.*, 2007; Nava *et al.*, 2006; Budzianowski *et al.*, 2004; Caniato *et al.*, 2007). Although the resistance seems to be a multigenic trait in most of the plants studied, in some species it seems to be codified by a simple dominant locus (Jones and Ryan, 2003). In species like wheat, barley and rye, loci for Al resistance have been mapped on the long arm of chromosomes 4D, 4H and 4R, respectively (Luo *et al.*, 1996; Tang *et al.*, 2000; Miftahudin *et al.*, 2002; Miftahudin *et al.*, 2005), suggesting that this position may be conserved for Al resistance in cereals.

Current knowledge of the molecular physiology behind Al tolerance together with the genetics that control this trait make it possible to project significant advances in the production of tolerant varieties in various species of sensitive cereals. Nevertheless, as the complexity of genetic control seems to vary between species – *Oryza sativa*, for example, presents a polygenic control for Al tolerance (Nguyen *et al.*, 2003) – the amelioration process also becomes more complex. Although conventional amelioration methods have proven useful in identifying tolerant varieties in several crops (Riede and Andeson, 1996; Gallego and Benito, 1997; Tang *et al.*, 2000), these alone do not guarantee an efficient gene transference process to other elite materials. It is possible, however, to increase the efficiency of conventional amelioration by combining it with biotechnological strategies to reduce costs and selection time.

To do this, one must understand the physiological, genetic and molecular bases that govern these mechanisms. Hence, this review aims to present the main physiological mechanisms of Al phytotoxicity resistance and the genetic and molecular bases that explain the degree of resistance among the different cereal species.

Aluminum (Al³⁺) Toxicity, symptoms in plants

Al mainly affects plants by inhibiting radical growth (see Figure 1). This can be seen in the primary and lateral root apices, which also become thick and turn brownish-gray (Kinraide, 1988; Roy *et al.*, 1988; Rout *et al.*, 2001). These symptoms become evident after a few minutes or hours of the plants being exposed to micromolar concentrations of Al in hydroponic solutions (Ryan *et al.*, 1993; Blancaflor *et al.*, 1998; Sivaguru and Horst, 1998; Zhang *et al.*, 1998; Vazquez *et al.*, 1999; Ma *et al.*, 2002; Rengel and Zhang, 2003). Radical inhibition coincides with a decline in cell division (Wallace and Anderson, 1984; Horst, 1995; Frantzios *et al.*, 2001) and elongation of the root cells, which then induces significant rigidification of the cell wall by crossing with pectins (Rout *et al.*, 2001; Jones *et al.*, 2006). This alteration prevents the water absorption essential to the transport of nutrients through the apoplast, eventually causing a decrease in yield and grain quality (Zheng and Yang, 2005; Raman *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, Al also triggers membrane lipid peroxidation and apoptosis or programmed cell death (PCD) (Pan *et al.*, 2001; Barceló and Poschenrieder, 2002). It has been reported that prolonged exposure to this element can induce and produce responses of rapid change in other biochemical and physiological processes (Rengel and Zhang, 2003). This is why the symptoms at foliar level resemble phosphorus deficiency, preventing plant growth, turning mature leaves dark green, stems purple and killing leaf apices (Wang *et al.*, 2006). In other cases,

Al toxicity reduces calcium (Ca) transport, making young leaves curl, preventing the development and growth of the petiole (Rout *et al.*, 2001). It has also been described that Ca is removed by Al from the apoplast, but it is highly unlikely that this is the cause of Al toxicity in wheat given that radical growth could be inhibited in nutrient solutions with low concentrations of Al without removing the Ca from the apoplast (Ryan *et al.*, 1997). Excesses of Al also induce symptoms of a Fe deficiency, which was observed in *Sorghum bicolor* (Clark, 1981), *Triticum aestivum* (Foy and Fleming, 1982) and *Oryza sativa* (Rout *et al.*, 2001). Several studies indicate that Al affects the normal operation of cell membranes, causing enzymatic disorders and affecting the nuclear DNA (Maustakas *et al.*, 1992; Matsumoto *et al.*, 1997; Sasaki *et al.*, 1997). It acts on the phosphate groups, altering their topology and recognition by polymerase DNA, modifying the entire functioning of the replicative machinery due to the increased rigidity of the double helix (Rout *et al.*, 2001; Mossor-Pietraszewska, 2001; Zhang *et al.*, 2002). In addition, Al is closely linked to other DNA-associated molecules, such as phosphorylated proteins (histones) (Kochian, 1995). Al interferes in the normal operation of the Golgi apparatus and in the peripheral cells of the apex of intact roots, in their quiescent center, mitotic activity and DNA synthesis (Rout *et al.*, 2001). Al may also affect the mechanism that controls the organization of cytoskeletal microtubules as well as the polymerization of tubulin by delaying disassembly during mitosis (Franzios *et al.*, 2000). This would affect the direction of the microtubules, which is closely related to cell expansion (Zheng and Yang, 2005).

Aluminum (Al³⁺) tolerance mechanisms

Species can vary in their ability to grow in acid soils with severe Al phytotoxicity (Jones and Ryan, 2003). Al tolerance

mechanisms have been classified into two main types: a) those that exclude Al from the root cells and b) those that allow Al to be tolerated once it has entered the plant cells (Barceló and Poschenrieder, 2002). Species in tropical areas are very resistant to Al stress and some of these species can accumulate high concentrations of Al in the leaves, greater than 1% of their dry weight (Jones and Ryan, 2003). By contrast, cereals like *Secale cereale*, *Zea mays*, *Hordeum vulgare*, *Triticum aestivum*, *X Triticosecale*, *Sorghum bicolor* and *Avena sativa* do not accumulate high concentrations of Al internally but rather use the Al exclusion mechanism through organic acid exudation (Li *et al.*, 2000; Piñeros *et al.*, 2002; Ma *et al.*, 2004; Delhaize *et al.*, 1993b; Magalhaes *et al.*, 2007; Caniato *et al.*, 2007; Nava *et al.*, 2006). This would be one of the most widely used mechanisms by most of the species studied.

External tolerance mechanism (exclusion)

Some species detoxify Al in the rhizosphere by exuding organic acid from their roots (Miyasaka *et al.*, 1989; Li *et al.*, 2002). This exudation is located in the radical apexes of some species (see Table 1), as this is a region which is very sensitive to Al toxicity due to constant cell division and elongation (Mossor-Pietraszewska, 2001). The organic acids commonly secreted are malate, citrate and oxalate. Malate and citrate are present in all cells given that they are involved in the mitochondrial respiratory cycle (Jones and Ryan, 2003).

Organic acid levels vary between species, cultivars and even between tissues of the same plant under identical growth conditions. In addition, organic acid biosynthesis and accumulation increase drastically in response to environmental stress (López-Bucio *et al.*, 2000). It has been observed that tolerant genotypes exude a greater amount of organic acids than sensitive genotypes, which would support the notion that organic acid exudation is an Al tolerance mechanism (Delhaize *et al.*,

1995). However, it has been reported that Al-sensitive species of wheat show a greater accumulation in the cortical tissue (5 to 10 times more) than the tolerant genotypes exposed for the same period of time (Delhaize *et al.*, 1993a).

Some organic acids such as citrate, malate and oxalate are able to form stable complexes with Al (Ma *et al.*, 2001; Jones and Ryan, 2003; Guo *et al.*, 2007), where the Al-citrate complex bond is strongest, followed by the Al-oxalate and Al-malate complexes, which are insoluble and not available for plants (Jones and Ryan, 2003). This is because Al is a metal that tends to form strong complexes with the oxygen donor ligand (Barcelo and Poschenrieder, 2002). The transport of these organic acids from the radical cells is mediated by the anionic channel activity in the plasma membrane (Ma *et al.*, 2001). These anionic channels might be Al-activated, which was demonstrated using the patch-clamp technique on isolated protoplasts of wheat and maize radical apexes (Ryan and Jones, 2003). Using anionic channel inhibitors such as niflumic acid would support the existence of these channels as elements for organic acid exudation in response to Al (Ryan *et al.*, 1997; Kollmeier *et al.*, 2001; Piñeros and Kochian, 2001).

Conversely, it has been observed that Al also induces the exudation of certain phenolic compounds, such as catequin and quercitin, from maize radical apexes, forming stable complexes with these compounds; it is likely that they can contribute to Al tolerance, but more research is required to confirm this hypothesis (Jones and Ryan, 2003).

Internal tolerance mechanism (inclusion)

Another proposed detoxification mechanism is internal tolerance or inclusion (Taylor, 1991; Kochian, 1995; Zheng *et al.*, 1998). Once the Al enters the cell, the Al³⁺ cation concentration free in the cytoplasm will be very low due to the high pH of the cytoplasm

(pH 7.0). However, it has been indicated that these internal Al concentrations can be dangerous (Jones *et al.*, 1998). Al also exhibits a high affinity for the oxygen ligand, which allows it to compete with other ions for metabolically important sites, despite a large difference in their concentrations (Martin, 1986; Ma *et al.*, 2001; Jones and Ryan, 2003). It has been observed that the Al-ATP bond is less than the bond of Al-citrate or Al-oxalate complexes. This may indicate that organic anions are able to protect plants by Al chelation in the cytosol. The metallic anion complex could then be transported around the plant for its storage (Jones and Ryan, 2003). This mechanism immobilizes, compartmentalizes or detoxifies the Al from the simplast (Zheng *et al.*, 1998; Guo *et al.*, 2007). The formation of less toxic Al complexes seems to be a prerequisite for tolerating the high concentrations of internal Al that have been observed in such plants as *Hydrangea macrophylla*, *Fagopyrum esculentum* and *Melastoma malabathricum*, able to accumulate high concentrations of Al (Zheng *et al.*, 1998; Barceló and Poschenrieder, 2002; Jones and Ryan, 2003). In *Fagopyrum esculentum*, the organic anions chelate the Al in different tissues, as in the radical cells and the vacuole of the cells of the leaves (Zheng *et al.*, 1998; Jones and Ryan, 2003). Moreover, Al-accumulating plants have been identified; these hyperaccumulators accumulate more than 1000 mg kg⁻¹ of Al in the leaves (Jansen *et al.*, 2002). It has been reported that a high Al accumulation in stems involves the transport of Al-soluble complexes through the xylem and the subsequent innocuous accumulation, solid or soluble, in the vacuole of the leaves or in the apoplast. The unstained Al in the leaves of various accumulators suggests that Al can be transported to the phloem (Barceló and Poschenrieder, 2002).

Genetic bases for Al³⁺ tolerance in cereals

Genetic control for Al tolerance has been studied on a limited number of species of agricultural importance. In cereals like wheat, barley, rye, sorghum and oats this trait seems to be codified by only one major gene (Tang *et al.*, 2002; Raman *et al.*, 2002; Gallego and Benito 1998; Miftahudin *et al.*, 2002; Magalhaes *et al.*, 2004; Nava *et al.*, 2006). In wheat, the major locus that conditions Al tolerance (*Alt_{BH}* gene) has been mapped in segregate populations, linked to some molecular markers and localized on the long arm of the chromosome 4D; this locus might control nearly 85% of the phenotypical variation of the trait (Riede and Anderson, 1996; Luo and Derorak, 1996; Rodríguez-Milla and Gustafson, 2001; Raman *et al.*, 2005; Raman *et al.*, 2008). Yet in such species as barley and rye the loci for Al tolerance has also been identified on the long arm of chromosomes 4H and 4R (*Alp* and *Alt3* genes), respectively (Tang *et al.*, 2000; Miftahudin *et al.*, 2002; Miftahudin *et al.*, 2005). The position reserved for these Al tolerance loci suggests that this trait in the tribe Triticea (wheat, barley and rye) is controlled by mutations in orthologous loci (Magalhaes, 2006). The locus for Al tolerance (*Alt_{SB}* gene) in sorghum was recently mapped in the terminal region of chromosome 3 (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2007). This major gene is responsible for 80% of the phenotypic variation for Al tolerance in mapped sorghum populations (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2007). Other investigations, however, have detected polygenic inheritance for Al tolerance in the Atlas 66 wheat cultivar and not all the genes were localized on the chromosomes of genome D (Berzonsky, 1992). Raman *et al.* (2005) identified a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) for Al tolerance in Atlas 66, localized on

chromosome 4DL. Zhou *et al.* (2001) identified a smaller QTL in this same cultivar localized on chromosome 3BL. Therefore, but to a lesser extent, Al tolerance also seems to be regulated by polygenic traits.

Molecular bases for Al³⁺ tolerance in cereals

The molecular bases for Al tolerance have made it possible to identify and recently to clone a malate transporter that is codified by major gene *ALMT1* (aluminum-activated malate transporter) in isogenic lines of wheat, which is constitutively expressed in the root apices, with higher levels of malate exudation being observed in the roots of the ET8 lines (Al-tolerant) than in the ES8 lines (Al-sensitive) (Sasaki *et al.*, 2004; Hoekenga *et al.*, 2006). This gene is a member of a new family of membrane proteins and corresponds to the major Al tolerance locus *Alt_{BH}* (Raman *et al.*, 2005). The location of this malate transporter in the plasma membrane of the radical apices was confirmed through expression analysis using green fluorescent protein (GFP) on onion and tobacco cells (Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, the heterologous expression of *ALMT1* conferred Al resistance on barley plants by dramatically increasing the malate exudation associated with the increase in Al tolerance in hydroponic crops and acid soils, whereas in rice the expression of *ALMT1* significantly increased the flow of Al-activated malate, but not Al tolerance (Delhaize *et al.*, 2004). This is attributed to the insufficient amount of malate released to confer Al resistance on rice (Kukui *et al.*, 2007). Another aspect to consider is the role of malate, since compared to oxalate or citrate, this organic acid is the one that exhibits the least capacity for chelating Al ions (Ma *et al.*, 1998; Ma *et al.*, 2001). *ALMT1* co-segregation Al tolerance in separate F₂ and F₃ populations derived from crossing the isogenic lines (Sasaki *et al.*, 2004; Zhou *et al.*, 2007). Al-induced gene expression studies have identified genes that

Figure 1: Phenotypic expression of cultivar Al-tolerant, and Al-sensitive of wheat, in hydroponic solution at 20 μM AlCl_3 . Inhibition of the radical growth is observed in the Al sensitive cultivar (Inostroza-Blancheteau *et al.* 2005).

Figura 1: Expresin fenotípica en un cultivar de trigo tolerante y uno sensible a Al, en solución hidropónica a 20 μM AlCl_3 . Se observa inhibición del crecimiento radical en el cultivar sensible (Inostroza-Blancheteau *et al.* 2005).

are expressed differentially between two isogenic lines of NILs wheat (Chisholm-T, tolerant and Chisholm-S, sensitive), using suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) and microarray, where 57 genes were expressed differentially during the first exposure to Al. Among these, 28 genes including *ALMT1*, ent-kaurenoic, β -glucosidase, lectin, histidine kinase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase exhibited abundant transcripts in Chisholm-T, facilitating Al tolerance. These results suggest that Al tolerance may be co-regulated by multiple genes with various functions (Guo *et al.*, 2007). Then again, studies of functional genomics have determined the structure and chromosomal location of *ALMT1* by physical mapping on chromosome 4DL, suppressing ditelosomic lines (Chinese Spring), which coincides with the loss of Al tolerance. The structure that codifies this gene is pb 1388, with six exons interrupted by five introns (Raman *et al.*, 2005), of which two alleles for this gene are reported (*ALMT1-1* allele for Al tolerance and *ALMT1-2* allele for Al sensitivity). The introgression of these loci in the genetic background of wheat may be an option for developing tolerant wheat cultivars for acid soils with high levels of available Al (Stodart *et al.*, 2007).

In barley, mapping with AFLP and RFLP markers has localized the *Alt* gene, which is responsible for Al tolerance. RFLP analysis localized the gene on the long arm of chromosome 4H (4HL), at 2.1 cM proximal from the marker *Xbcd1117* to 2.1 cM distal from the markers *Xwg464* and *Xcdo1395* (Tang *et al.*, 2000; Raman *et al.*, 2002). In species like barley, highly saturated genetic maps have been used where locus *Alp* could be identified, delimited at 0.2 cM by the markers *ABG715* and *HvGABP* and using double haploid lines of tolerant (Dayton) and sensitive (Zhepi 2) cultivars and segregant populations F_2 . Wang *et al.* (2007) identified a candidate gene *HvMATE* (*Hordeum vulgare* Multidrug and Toxic Compound Extrusion). This gene may

control Al tolerance in barley. Recently, through mapping analysis and microarray, the gene was identified (*HvAACT1*), responsible for citrate exudation, activated by Al, using an Al-tolerant cultivar (Murasakimochi) and an Al-sensitive cultivar (Morex), there being a correlation between the expression of the *HvAACT1* gene and citrate exudation, evaluated in barley cultivars with different degrees of Al tolerance (Furukawa *et al.*, 2007). This demonstrated that *HvAACT1* is an Al-activated citrate transporter responsible for tolerance in barley. While, in other studies conducted on rye, four different genes for Al tolerance have been identified (*Alt1*, *Alt2*, *Alt3* and *Alt4*) localized on chromosome 6RS, 3RS, 4RL and 7RS, respectively (Ma *et al.*, 2000; Aniol, 2004; Fontecha *et al.*, 2007; Matos *et al.*, 2007), this being the cereal species most tolerant of Al toxicity after rice, wheat and barley (Xue *et al.*, 2007).

Triticale (X *Triticosecale* Wittmack), a crossbreed of wheat and rye, contains a complete genome from the rye chromosomes (AABBRR), which permits adaptation to marginal atmospheres with a good yield potential, presenting high levels of Al tolerance, approaching those of wheat and rye (Kim *et al.*, 2001). Evaluations on winter (cv. Presto) and spring (Rhino) hexaploid triticale lines with D-genome disomic substitution of the wheat chromosome were analyzed, where lines 6 and 9, respectively, show a relative increase in Al tolerance over what was observed in the control lines (Budzianowski and Wos, 2004).

In rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), some quantitative trait loci (QTL) have been analyzed for Al tolerance based on radical growth rate (RGR) using linkage maps and recombinant inbred lines (RILs). These lines were derived from crossing the Al-tolerant Asominori cultivar with the Al-sensitive IR24 cultivar, and 3 QTLs (qRRE-1, qRRE-9 and qRRE-11) were detected on chromosomes 1, 9 and 11, respectively, with a phenotypical variance from 13.5 to 17.7% (Xue *et al.*, 2006; Xue

Table 1: Influence of Al on organic acid release from roots of different species of the Triticeae family. This table shows the main organic acids exudated from radical apexes or whole root (Adapted from Barceló *et al.* 2000; Jones and Ryan, 2003).

Tabla 1: Influencia del Al sobre la liberación de ácidos orgánicos desde las raíces de diferentes especies de la familia Triticeae. Esta tabla muestra los principales ácidos orgánicos exudados desde el ápice radical o la raíz entera (Adapted from Barceló *et al.* 2000; Jones and Ryan, 2003).

Plant species	Organic acid	Tissue measured	References
Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	Malate	Root apexes	Ryan <i>et al.</i> , 1995
Barley (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.)	Citrate	Root apexes	Gallardo <i>et al.</i> , 1999
Rye (<i>Secale cereale</i> L.)	Citrate, malate	Whole root	Li <i>et al.</i> , 2000
Oats (<i>Avena sativa</i> L.)	Citrate	Whole root	Zheng <i>et al.</i> , 1998
Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)	Citrate	Whole root	Ishikawa <i>et al.</i> , 2000
Triticale (X <i>Triticosecale</i> W.)	Citrate, malate	Whole root	Ma <i>et al.</i> , 2000
Sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L.)	Citrate	Whole root	Magalhaes <i>et al.</i> , 2007

et al., 2007). The alleles of the Asominori cultivar of the three QTLs were associated with the increase in Al tolerance. qRRE-9 is expressed in the genetic backgrounds of both IR24 and Asominori/IR24 (Xue *et al.*, 2007). In addition, in two tolerant sorghum cultivars, a major locus (*Alt_{SB}*) for Al tolerance has been found (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2004). This locus might be associated with Al tolerance through citrate exudation from the radical apexes. Most recently through of positional cloning was identified a gene encoding a member of the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family, an aluminum-activated citrate transporter, responsible for tolerance in sorghum (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2007).

CONCLUSIONS

Cereals are the world's primary nutritional source. They constitute a basic food for humanity and are an important source of vitamins and energy. They are also an important pool of genetic resources that can be used to improve the species. Most

of these species are cultivated in acid soils, with high concentrations of phytotoxic Al (Al^{3+}), reducing grain yield and quality. Al tolerance in cereals might be increased by incorporating currently tolerant genes into the genetic pool of the Triticeae family. The search for genetic variability in crop species and/or its wild relatives is crucial for this purpose. Currently, advances in biotechnology and molecular biology have enable to discover and understand the physiological, genetic and molecular mechanisms present in these species. The advances have allowed the use of suitable tools and appropriate methodologies for effective improvement and reducing the selection time and costs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank to Dr. Helen Lowry, Queen's University, Canada for revising the language to the manuscript and the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico of the Government of Chile through Fondecyt N° 1080372 Project.

REFERENCES

- ANIOL, A. 2004. Chromosomal location of aluminum tolerance genes in rye. *Plant Breed* 123: 132-136.
- BARCELÓ, J., POSCHENRIEDER C. 2002. Fast root growth responses, root exudates, and internal detoxification as clues to the mechanisms of aluminium toxicity and resistance: a review. *Environ Exp Bot* 48: 75-92.
- BERZONSKY, W.A. 1992. The genomic inheritance of aluminum tolerance in "Atlas 66" wheat. *Genome* 35: 689-693.
- BLANCAFLOR, E.B., JONES, D.L., GILROY, S. 1998. Alterations in the cytoskeleton accompany aluminum-induced growth inhibition and morphological changes in primary roots maize. *Plant Physiol* 118: 159-172.
- BUDZIANOWSKI, G., WOS, H. 2004. The effect of single D-genome chromosomes on aluminum tolerance of triticale. *Euphytica* 137: 165-172.
- CANIATO, F.F., GUIMARAES, C.T., SHAFFERT, R.E., ALVES, V.M.C., KOCHIAN, L.V., BOREM, A., KLEIN, P.E., MAGALHAES, J.V. 2007. Genetic diversity for aluminum tolerance in sorghum. *Theor Appl Genet* 114: 863-876.
- CLARK, R.B., PIER, H.A., KNUDSEN, D., MARANVILLE, J.W. 1981. Effect of trace element deficiencies and excesses on mineral nutrients in sorghum. *J Plant Nutr* 3: 357-374.
- DELHAIZE, E., CRAIG, S., BEATON, C., BENNET, R., JAGADISH, V., RANDALL, P. 1993a. Aluminum tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), I. Uptake and distribution of aluminum in root apices. *Plant Physiol* 103: 685-693.
- DELHAIZE, E., RYAN, P., RANDALL, P. 1993b. Aluminum tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), II. Aluminum-Stimulated excretion of malic acid from root apices. *Plant Physiol* 103: 695-702.
- DELHAIZE, E., RYAN, P. 1995. Aluminum toxicity and tolerance in plant. *Plant Physiol* 107: 315-321.
- DELHAIZE, E., RYAN, P.R., HEBB, D.M., YAMAMOTO, Y., SASAKI, T., MATSUMOTO, H. 2004. Engineering high-level aluminum tolerance in barley with the *ALMT1* gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 15249-15254.
- FONTECHA, G., SILVA-NAVAS, J., BENITO, C., MESTRES, M.A., ESPINO, F.J., HERNÁNDEZ-RIQUER, M.V., GALLEGU, F.J. 2007. Candidate gene identification of an aluminum-activated organic acid transporter gene at the *Alt4* locus for aluminum tolerance in rye (*Secale cereale* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 144: 249-260.
- FOY, C.D., CHANEY, R.L., WHITE, M.C. 1978. The physiology of metal toxicity in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol* 29: 511-566.
- FOY, CD, AND FLEMING AL. 1982. Aluminum tolerance of wheat cultivars related to nitrate reductase activities. *J Plant Nutr* 5: 1313-1333.
- FURUKAWA, J., YAMAJI, N., WANG, H., MITANI, N., MURATA, Y., SATO, K., KATSUHARA, M., TAKEDA, K., MA, J.F. 2007. An Aluminum-activated citrate transporter in barley. *Plan Cell Physiol* 8: 1081-1091.
- FRANTZIOS, G., GALATIS, B., APOSTOLAKOS, P. 2000. Aluminum effects on microtubule organization in dividing root-tips cells of *Triticum turgidum*. I. Mitotic cell. *New Phytol* 145: 211-224.

- FRANTZIOS, G., GALATIS, B., APOSTOLAKOS, P. 2001. Aluminium effects on microtubule organization in dividing root-tip cells of *Triticum turgidum*. II Cytokinetic cells. J Plant Res 114: 157-170.
- GALLEGO, F., BENITO, C. 1997. Genetic control of aluminum tolerance in Rye (*Secale cereale* L.). Theor Appl Genet 95: 393-399.
- GALLEGO, F., BENITO, C. 1998. Molecular markers linked to the aluminum tolerance gene Alt1 in rye (*Secale cereale* L.). Theor Appl Genet 97: 1104-1109.
- GALLARDO, F., BORIE, F., ALVEAR, L., BAER, E.V. 1999. Evaluation of aluminium tolerance of three barley cultivars by two short-term screening methods and field experiments. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 45: 713-719.
- GUO, P., BAI, G., CARVER, B., LI, R., BERNARDO, A., BAUM, M. 2007. Transcriptional analysis between two wheat near-isogenic lines contrasting in aluminum tolerance under aluminum stress. Mol Genet Gen 277: 1-12.
- HORTS, W.J. 1995. The role of the apoplast in aluminium toxicity and resistance of higher plants: a review. Z. Pflanzenernahr Bodenk 158: 419-428.
- HOEKENGA, O.A., MARON, L.G., PIÑEROS, M.A., GERALDO M. A. CANCADO, G.M.A., SHAFF, J., KOBAYASHI, Y., RYAN, P.R., DONG, B., DELHAIZE, E., SASAKI, T., MATSUMOTO, H., YAMAMOTO Y., KOYAMA H., KOCHIAN, L.V. 2006. *AtALMT1*, which encodes a malate transporter, is identified as one of several genes critical for aluminum tolerance in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad of Sci USA 103: 9738-9743.
- INOSTROZA-BLANCHETEAU, C., SOTO, B., PEÑALOZA, E., SALVO-GARRIDO, H. 2005. Introgresión de genes que confieren tolerancia a aluminio (Al^{3+}) en trigo *Triticum aestivum* L. In: Congreso Internacional de Biotecnología-Grupo Biotecnología. VI Simposio Nacional de Biotecnología. REDBIO-Argentina, 7-10 de Junio. Encuentro Trinacional REDBIO Argentina-Chile-Uruguay. Buenos Aires. Argentina, p. 269-270.
- ISHIKAWA, S., WAGATSUMA, T., SASAKI, R., OFEI-MANU, P. 2000. Comparison of the amount of citric and malic acids in Al media of seven plant species and two cultivars each in five plant species. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 46: 751-758.
- JANSEN, S., BROADLEY, M., ROBBRECHT, E., SMETS, E. 2002. Aluminium hyperaccumulation in angiosperms: a review of its phylogenetic significance. Bot Rev 68: 235-269.
- JONES, D.L., KOCHIAN, L.V. 1995. Aluminum inhibition of the inositol 1, 4, 5-triphosphate signal transduction pathway in wheat roots: a role in aluminum toxicity. The Plant Cell 7: 1913-1922.
- JONES, D.L. 1998. Organic acids in the rhizosphere a critical review. Plant Soil 205: 25-44.
- JONES, D.L., KOCHIAN, L.V., GILROY, S. 1998. Aluminum induced a decrease in cytosolic calcium concentration in BY-2 tobacco cell cultures. Plant Physiol 116: 81-89.
- JONES, D.L., RYAN, P.R. 2003. Aluminum toxicity. Nutrition, p 656-664.

- JONES, D.L., BLANCAFLOR, E.B., KOCHIAN, L.V., GILROY, S. 2006. Spatial coordination of aluminum uptake, production of reactive oxygen species, callosa production and wall rigidification in maize roots. *Plant Cell Environ* 29: 1309-1318.
- KINRAIDE, T.B. 1988. Proton extrusion by wheat roots exhibiting severe aluminum toxicity symptoms. *Plant Physiol* 88: 418-423.
- KIM, B.Y., BAIER, A.C., SOMERS, D.J., GUSTAFSON, J.P. 2001. Aluminum tolerance in triticale, wheat, and rye. *Euphytica* 120: 329-337.
- KOCHIAN, L.V. 1995. Cellular mechanisms of aluminum toxicity and resistance in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* 46: 237-260.
- KOCHIAN, L.V., PIÑEROS, M.A., HOEKENGA, O.A. 2005. The physiology, genetics and molecular biology of plant aluminum resistance and toxicity. *Plant Soil*. 274: 175-195.
- KOLLMEIER, M., DIETRICH, P., BAUER, C., HORST, W., HEDRICH, R. 2001. Aluminum activates a citrate-permeable anion channel in the aluminum-sensitive zone of the maize root apex. A comparison between an aluminum-sensitive and aluminum-resistant cultivar. *Plant Physiol* 126: 397-410.
- KUKUI, S., SASAKI, T., OSAWA, H., MATSUMOTO, H., YAMAMOTO, Y. 2007. Malate enhances recovery from aluminum-caused inhibition of root elongation in wheat. *Plant Soil* 290: 1-15.
- LI, X.F., MA, J.F., MATSUMOTO, H. 2000. Pattern of aluminum induced secretion of organic acids differs between rye and wheat. *Plant Physiol* 123: 1537-1543
- LI, X.F., MA, J.F., MATSUMOTO, H. 2002. Aluminum-induced secretion of both citrate and malate in rye. *Plant Soil* 242: 235-243.
- LÓPEZ-BUCIO, J., NIETO-JACOBO, M.F., RAMÍREZ-RODRÍGUEZ, V., HERRERA-ESTRELLA, L. 2000. Organic acid metabolism in plants: from adaptative physiology to transgenic varieties for cultivation in extreme soils. *Plant Sci* 160: 1-13.
- LUO, M.C., DVORAK, J. 1996. Molecular mapping of an aluminum tolerance locus on chromosome 4D of Chinese Spring wheat. *Euphytica* 91: 31-35.
- MARTIN, R.B. 1986. The chemistry of aluminum as related to biology and medicine. *Clin Chem* 32: 1797-1806.
- MA, J.F., TAKETA, S., YANG, Z.M. 2000. Aluminum tolerance genes on the short arm of chromosome 3R are linked to organic acid release in triticale. *Plant Physiol* 122: 687-694.
- MA, J.F., RYAN, P.R., DELHAIZE, E. 2001. Aluminium tolerance in plants and the complexing role of organic acids. Review. *Trends in Plant Sci* 6: 273-278.
- MA, J.F., MIYASAKA, S.C. 1998. Oxalate exudation by taro in response to Al. *Plant Physiol* 118: 861-865.
- MA, J.F., NAGAO, S., SATO, K., ITO, H., FURUKAWA, J., TAKAEDA, K. 2004. Molecular mapping of a gene responsible for Al-activated secretion of citrate in barley. *J Exp Bot* 55: 1335-1341.
- MA, Q.F., RENGEL, Z., KUO, J. 2002. Aluminum toxicity in rye (*Secale cereale*): Root growth and dynamics of cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ in intact root tips. *Ann Bot* 89: 241-244.
- MAGALHAES, J.V., GARVIN, D.F., WANG, Y., SORRELLS, M.E., KLEIN, P.E., SHAFFERT, R.E., LI, L., KOCHIAN, L.V. 2004. Comparative mapping of a major aluminum tolerance gene in sorghum and other species in the Poaceae. *Genetics* 167: 1905-1914.

- MAGALHAES, J.V. 2006. Aluminum tolerance genes are conserved between monocots and dicots. *Proc Natl Acad of Sci USA* 103: 9749-9750.
- MAGALHAES JV, LIU J, GUIAMARAES CT, LANA GP, ALVES MC, WANG YH, SHAFFERT RE, HOEKENGA OA, PIÑEROS MA, SHAFF JE, KLEIN PA, CARNEIRO NP, COELHO CM, TRICK HN, KOCHIAN LV. 2007. A gene in the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family confers aluminum tolerance in sorghum. *Nat Genet* 9: 1156-1161.
- MATSUMOTO, H., MORIMUNA, S., TAKAHASHI, E. 1997. Binding of aluminium to DNA of DNP (deoxyribonucleoprotein) in pea root nuclei, *Plant Cell Physiol* 18: 987-993.
- MOSSOR-PIETRASZEWSKA, T. 2001. Effect of aluminum on plant growth and metabolism. *Act Biochem Pol* 3: 367-686.
- MATOS, M., PÉREZ-FLORES, V., CAMACHO, M.V., PERNAUTE, B., PINTO-CARNIDE, O., BENITO, C. 2007. Detection and mapping of SSRs in rye ESTs from aluminum-stressed roots. *Mol Breed* 20: 103-115.
- MAUSTAKAS, M., YUPSANIO, T., SYMEONIDES, L., KARATOGLIS, S. 1992. Aluminum toxicity effect on durum wheat cultivars. *J Plant Nutr* 5: 627-638.
- MIYASAKA, S.C., KOCHIAN, L.V., SHAFF, J.E., FOY, C.D. 1989. Mechanisms of aluminum tolerance in wheat. An investigation of genotypic differences in rhizosphere pH, K⁺ and H⁺ transport, and root cell membranes potentials. *Plant Physiol* 91: 1188-1196.
- MIFTAHUDIN, J., SCOLES, G., GUSTAFSON, J.P. 2002. AFLP markers tightly linked to the aluminum tolerance gene *Alt3* in rye (*Secale cereale* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 104: 626-631.
- MIFTAHUDIN, T., CHIKMAWATI, K., ROSS, G., SCOLES, J., GUSTAFSON, J.P. 2005. Targeting the aluminum tolerance gene *Alt3* region in rye, using rice/rye micro-colinearity. *Theor Appl Genet* 110: 906-913.
- NAVA, I.C., DELATORRE, C.A., DE LIMA DUATE, I.T., PACHECO, M.T., FEDERREZZI, L.C. 2006. Inheritance of aluminum tolerance and its effects on grain yield and grain quality in oats (*Avena sativa* L.). *Euphytica* 148: 353-358.
- NGUYEN, B., BRAR, D., BUI, B., NGUYEN, T., PHAM, L., NGUYEN, H. 2003. Identification and mapping of the QTL for aluminum tolerance introgressed from the new source, *Oryza rufipogon* Griff, into indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 106: 583-593.
- PAN, J.W., ZHU, M.Y., CHEN, H. 2001. Aluminum-induced cell death in root-tip cells of barley. *Environ Exp Bot* 46: 71-79.
- PIÑEROS, M.A., KOCHIAN, L.V. 2001. A patch-clamp study on the physiology of aluminum toxicity and aluminum tolerance in maize. Identification and characterization of Al³⁺ induced anion channels. *Plant Physiol* 125: 295-305.
- PIÑEROS, M.A., MAGALHAES, J.V., CARVALHO, V.M., KOCHIAN, L.V. 2002. The physiology and biophysics of an aluminum tolerance mechanism based on root citrate exudation in maize. *Plant Physiol* 129: 1194-1206.

- RAMAN, H., MORONI, J.S., SATO, K., READ, B.J., SCOTT, B.J. 2002. Identification of AFLP and microsatellite markers linked with an aluminum tolerance gene in barley. *Theor Appl Genet* 105: 458-464.
- RAMAN, H., ZHANG, K., CAKIR, M., APPELS, R., GARVIN, D.F., MARON, L.G., KOCHIAN, L.V., MORONI, J.S., RAMAN, R., IMTIAZ, M., BROCKMAN, F.D., WATERS, I., MARTIN, P., SASAKI, T., YAMAMOTO, Y., MATSUMOTO, H., HEBB, D.M., DELHAIZE, E., RYAN, P.R. 2005. Molecular characterization and of *ALMT1*, the aluminium-tolerance gene of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Genome* 48: 781-791.
- RAMAN, H., RYAN, P.R., RAMAN, R., STODART, B.J., ZHANG, K., MARTIN, P., WOOD, R., SASAKI, T., YAMAMOTO, Y., MACKAY, M., HEBB, D.M. 2008. Analysis of *TaALMT1* traces the transmission of aluminum resistance in cultivated common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 116: 343-354.
- RAMGAREEB, S., COOKE, J.A., WATT, M.P. 2004. Responses of meristematic callus cells of two *Cynodon dactylon* genotypes to aluminium. *J. Plant Physiol* 161: 1245-1258.
- RAMOS-DÍAZ, A., BRITO-ARGAES, L., MUNNIK, T., HERNÁNDEZ-SOTOMAYOR S.M.T. 2007. Aluminum inhibits phosphatidic acid formation by blocking the phospholipase C pathways. *Planta* 225: 393-401.
- RENGEL, Z. 1992. Disturbance of cell Ca^{2+} homeostasis as primary trigger of Al toxicity syndrome. *Plant Cell Environ.* 15: 931-938.
- RENGEL, Z., ZHANG, W.H. 2003. Role of dynamics of intracellular calcium in aluminium-toxicity syndrome. *Review. New Phytol* 159: 295-314.
- RIEDE, C., ANDERSON, J. 1996. Linkage of RFLP markers to an aluminum tolerance gene in wheat. *Crop Sci* 36: 905-909.
- ROY, A.K., SHARMA, A., TALUKDER, G. 1988. Some aspects of aluminum toxicity in plants. *Bot. Rev* 54: 145-177.
- ROUT, G., SAMANTARAY, S., DAS, P. 2001. Aluminium toxicity in plants: a review. *Agronomie* 21: 3-21.
- RODRIGUEZ-MILLA, M.A., GUSTAFSON, J.P. 2001. Genetic and physical characterization of chromosome 4DL in wheat. *Genome* 44: 883-892.
- RYAN, P.R., DI TOMASO, J.M., KOCHIAN, L.V. 1993. Aluminium toxicity in roots. An investigation of spatial sensitivity and the role of root cap. *J Exp Bot* 44: 437-446.
- RYAN, P.R., DELHAIZE, E., RANDALL, P.J. 1995. Characterization of Al-stimulated efflux of malate from the apices of Al-tolerant wheat roots. *Planta* 196: 103-110.
- RYAN, P., SKERRETT, M., FINDLAY, G., DELHAIZE, E., TYERMAN, S. 1997. Aluminum activates an anion channel in the apical cells of wheat roots. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94: 6547-6552.
- SASAKI, M., YAMAMOTO, Y., MATSUMOTO, H. 1997. Aluminum inhibits growth and stability of cortical microtubules in wheat (*Triticum estivum* L.). roots. *Soil Sci and Plant Nutr* 43: 469-472.
- SASAKI, T., YAMAMOTO, Y., EZAKI, B., KASUARA, M., JU AHN, S., RYAN, P., DELHAIZE, E., MATSUMOTO, H. 2004. A wheat gene encoding an aluminum-activated malate transporter. *Plant J* 37: 645-653.
- SIVAGURU, M., HORST, W.J. 1998. The distal part of the transition zone is the most aluminum-sensitive apical root zone of maize. *Plant Physiol* 116: 155-163.

- STODART, B.J., RAMAN, H., COOMBES, N., MACKAY, M. 2007. Evaluating landraces of bread wheat *Triticum estivum* L. for tolerance to aluminum under low pH conditions. *Genet Resour Crop Evol* 54: 759-766.
- SCHMOHL, N., HORST, W.J. 2002. Effect of aluminium on the activity of apoplastic acid phosphatase and the exudation of macromolecules by roots and suspension-culture cells of *Zea mays* L. *J Plant Physiol* 159: 1213-1218.
- TANG, Y., SORRELLS, M., KOCHIAN, L., GARVIN, D. 2000. Identification of RFLP markers linked to the barley aluminum tolerance gene *Alp*. *Crop Sci* 40: 778-782.
- TANG Y, GARVIN D, KOCHIAN M, SORRELLS E, CARVER F. 2002. Physiological genetics of aluminum tolerance in the wheat cultivar atlas 66. *Crop Sci* 42: 1541-1546.
- TAYLOR, G.J. 1991. Current views of the aluminum stress response: the physiological basis of tolerance. *Curr Top Plant Biochem Physiol* 10:57-93.
- VAZQUEZ, M.D., POSCHENRIEDER, C., CORRALES, I., BARCELÓ, J. 1999. Change in apoplastic aluminum during the initial growth response to aluminum by roots of a tolerant maize variety. *Plant Physiol* 119: 435-444.
- VON UEXKULL, H.R., MURTER, E. 1995. Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soil. In: Date RA, Grundon NJ, Raymet GE, Probert ME, eds. *Plant-soil interaction at low pH: principles and management*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, p. 5-19.
- WALLACE, S.U., ANDERSON, I.C. 1984. Aluminum toxicity and DNA synthesis in wheat roots. *Agronomy J* 76: 5-8.
- WANG, J.P., RAMAN, H., ZHANG, G.P., MENDHAM, N., ZHOU, M.X. 2006. Review. Aluminium tolerance in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.): physiological mechanisms, genetics and screening methods. *J. Zhejiang Univ Sci. B.* 10: 769-787.
- WANG, J.P., RAMAN, H., ZHOU, M., RYAN, P., DELHAIZE, E., HEBB, D.M., COOMBES, N., MENDHAM, N. 2007. High-resolution mapping of the *Alp* locus and identification of a candidate gene *HvMATE* controlling aluminum tolerance in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 115: 265-276.
- XUE, Y., WAN, J.M., JIANG, L., LIU, L., SU, N., ZHAI, H.Q., MA, J.F. 2006. QTLs analysis of aluminum Resistance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Soil* 287: 375-383.
- XUE, Y., JIANG, L., SU, N., WANG, J.K., DENG, P., MA, J.F., ZHAI, H.Q., WAN, J.M. 2007. The genetic basic and fine-mapping of a stable quantitative-trait loci for aluminum tolerance in rice. *Planta* 227: 255-262.
- YAMAGUCHI, M., SASAKI, T., SIVAGURU, M., YAMAMOTO, Y., OSAWA, H., JU AHN, S., MATSUMOTO, H. 2005. Evidence for the plasma membrane localization of Al-activated malate transporter (*ALMT1*). *Plant Cell Physiol* 46:812-816.

- ZHANG, W.H., RENGEL, Z., KUO, J. 1998. Determination of intracellular Ca^{2+} in cells of intact wheat roots: Loading of acetoxymethyl ester of Fluo-3 under low temperature. *Plant J* 15: 147-151.
- ZHANG, R., LIU, Y., PANG, D, CAI, R., QI, Y. 2002. Spectroscopic and voltametric study on the binding of aluminum (III) to DNA. *Anal Sci* 18: 761-766.
- ZHANG, J., HE, Z., TIAN, H., ZHU, G., PENG, X. 2007. Identification of aluminium-responsive genes in rice cultivars with different aluminum sensitivities. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58: 2269-2278.
- ZHENG, S.J, MA, J., MATSUMOTO, H. 1998. High aluminum resistance in buckwheat. I. Al-induced specific secretion of oxalic acid from root tips. *Plant Physiol* 117: 745-751.
- ZHENG, S.J., MA, J.F., MATSUMOTO, H. 1998. Continuous secretion of organic acids is related to aluminium resistance during relatively long-term exposure to aluminium stress. *Physiol Plant* 103: 209-214.
- ZHENG, S.J., YANG, J.L. 2005. Target sites of aluminum phytotoxicity. *Biol Plant* 3: 321-331.
- ZHOU, L., BAI, G., MA, H., CARVER, B. 2001. Quantitative trait loci for aluminum resistance in wheat. *Mol Breed* 19: 153-161.
- ZHOU, L., BAI, G.H., MA, H.X., CARVER, F.B. 2007. Quantitative trait loci for aluminum resistance in wheat. *Mol Breed* 9: 153-161.