Monthly variation in the morphological characteristics of Trichodina sp. (Ciliophora: Peritrichida) found on whiting Merlangius merlangus euxinus
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Abstract. To determine the existence and extent of seasonal variation in morphological characteristics of Trichodina sp., sixty whiting Merlangius merlangus euxinus were sampled monthly for one year, from the Eastern Black Sea coast of Turkey, using a line and hook. Strong seasonal morphological variations were detected; the denticle span, blade length and ray length showed significant seasonal variation, especially in June. The timing of primary production in the Black Sea and the changes in cellular organelles suggested a negative relationship between the body diameter of trichodinids and food availability in the surrounding environment.
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INTRODUCTION

Trichodinids are ectozoic species and like other ectozoic ciliates they do not feed on their fish host, but glide on their host’s surface to collect free swimming bacteria in the water column (Van As & Basson 1995). Any decrease in the number of bacterial biomass in the environment could lead to a decreased number of trichodinids. Moreover, it could be possible that these organisms might respond to changes in food availability by adjusting their cell size to a specific extent.

Various studies have reported that the morphology of the trichodinids may change over seasons or host species. Thus, seasonal variability on morphology was first reported by (Kazubski & Migala 1967), and later by Kazubski & Migala (1968) in Trichodina nigra, T. domerguei and T. mutabilis. The most astounding result reported was that, in the winter, the size of the cells was 1/3 larger than that of summer cells. In both reports, the findings indicated a seasonal fluctuation in the size of various cell components of trichodinids, although the sampling frequency and size were not large enough to draw any conclusions.

Even though the species description of trichodinids is based mainly on the morphological structures, there is no extensive report describing seasonal variations of the same species on the same host and factors affecting this variation. If the cell size of the same species is approximately 33% larger in winter than that in summer (Kazubski & Migala 1968), the variation in the morphology and the factors driving this variation deserve more intensive research for an appropriate description of trichodinid species. Here, in this study, we investigated the seasonal morphological variation of Trichodina sp. collected monthly from gills of Merlangius merlangus euxinus (Nordmann, 1870).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sixty whiting, Merlangius merlangus euxinus, were captured monthly from August 2003 to July 2004 in the South Eastern Black Sea, Turkey, by line and hooks ranging from 25 to 35 m in depth. Fresh gill smears from all fish sampled were prepared on the vessel, air-dried and fixed with absolute ethanol for 5 min. They were then stained with the dry silver impregnation technique described in Lom (1958) with slight modification. A sample of 15-20 trichodinid photomicrographs was compiled randomly from the smears of 60 fish per month from two capturing sites.

Some samples were stained with carbo-fuchsin. This procedure involved fixed samples being washed for 10 min, hydrolyzed for 2 h with 5 N HCl, dipped into 0.1 HCl
for a second, dipped in Shiff reagent for 2 h, 3x5 min incubation in metabisulphide solution, washed for 10 min at tap water, washed 3x with double distilled water, dehydrated with a series of alcohol (70%, 85%, 90% and 100%) and observed under the microscope after closing with Canadian Balsam.

The photomicrographs were taken using a microscope (Nikon Eclipse 600) equipped with a camera. Measurements of morphological structures of trichodinids follow the descriptions of Lom (1958), Arthur & Lom (1984), and Van As & Basson (1989, 1992) (Fig. 1a). Measurements (μ) were carried out in Photoshop 6.0 (Adobe Systems Inc.). All specimens were measured twice, the variances in the measurements of each organelle were compared and a third measurement was carried out as final data of morphological components. In all samples, young cells of the trichodinid species studied were ignored (Ogut & Palm 2005).

Averages of monthly measurements for each component were compared using Fisher’s LSD test after the data was checked for normality and equal variances. Statview 5.0 (SAS Institute Inc.) was used to carry out statistical analyses and P-values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
A single species, Trichodina sp., was dominant on the Merlangius merlangus euxinus (Fig. 1b) in the monthly samples. Trichodina puytoraci (Lom 1962) and T. claviformis (Dobberstein & Palm 2000) were two other species detected in 1.9% of the samples. Because these species were observed sporadically in some months, these species were not morphologically analyzed monthly.

DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIES
Trichodina sp. is characterized by a small size and cup-shape. Morphometric measurements are given in Table 1. The central zone of the adhesive disc in adult cells is partly clear with several scattered small dark granules, whereas some cells have a large shapeless dark cluster in the centre of the central zone. The white area is not thoroughly circular instead presenting several loop-like structures. The macronucleus is C-shaped, determined by carbo-fuchsin staining and its external diameter is between 28 and 37 μm (14 measurements). Adoral ciliary spiral turns 367º around the peristomial disc.
There were seasonal variations in the sizes of some morphological characteristics of *Trichodina* sp. such as the denticle span, blade length and ray length (Fig. 2), whereas some structures (i.e., denticle length, denticle number, adhesive disc diameter and denticle ring diameter) did not change by seasons or by month (Fig. 2). The size of the denticle span gradually decreased from March to July. The size sharply increased in the following month, and then in September an initiation of gradual decrease to December was anticipated. The size of the denticle span from June to July (Summer) was significantly lower than that in March (Spring) and September (Fall) (Fisher’s LSD test, $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2). Of the two denticle span features (blade length and ray length), variations in the blade length more closely followed the size variation of the denticle span ($r = 0.61, P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2). The size of the ray length, dipping in May (Spring), gradually increased to a peak in August (Late Summer) (Fig. 2). The longest rays were measured from the cells captured in August, compared to those captured in other months (Fisher’s LSD test, $P < 0.05$). There were no statistically significant differences in mean denticle lengths between months (Fisher’s LSD Test, $P > 0.05$) (Fig. 2).

The monthly variations of body diameter, adhesive disc diameter, the denticle ring diameter, and number and length of the denticles followed the same trend. Increases and decreases in all above-mentioned morphological structures occurred in parallel throughout the year. Even though no significance was detected, the largest cells (39.3 ± 0.06 μm) were observed in January and the smallest cells (35.96 ± 1.17 μm) were captured in December. Interestingly, seasonal fluctuations of denticle numbers followed a similar pattern to that of body length, adhesive disc diameter, denticle ring diameter, and denticle length.

Body length of trichodinids was significantly correlated with adhesive disc diameter ($r = 0.95, P < 0.05$), body membrane width, denticle ring diameter and central part width. Denticle span was significantly correlated with border membrane width ($r = 0.62, P < 0.05$) and radial pins per denticle ($r = 0.85, P < 0.05$). Body length, on the other hand, was not correlated with the denticle number ($r = 0.41, P > 0.05$), denticle span ($r = 0.44, P > 0.05$) and radial pins per denticle ($r = 0.47, P > 0.05$).

There were inter- and intra-seasonal variations in morphological structures of *Trichodina* sp. found on the whiting. As reported earlier, morphology of trichodinid species on a given host species changes seasonally (Laird 1953, Kazubski 1967, Kazubski & Migala 1968). As reported by Kazubski & Migala (1968), responses of some species to seasonal changes are more dramatic than others. In the latter study, it was reported that the size of some cells in the summer were at least 33% smaller than that of the cells from winter. Laird (1953) showed seasonal differences in denticulate ring diameter and number of denticles of *T. parabranchicolae*. Similarly, Kazubski (1967) and Kazubski & Migala (1968) reported significant seasonal differences in the numbers of denticles and their shapes in the winter and summer cells of *Trichodina nigra*. In the latter, it was also mentioned, as a general rule, both smaller and bigger individuals could have a low or high number of denticles. That is, the denticle number did not depend on the cell size, in parallel with our findings. In the present study, seasonal change of denticle numbers had a similar fluctuation pattern to that of body length, adhesive disc diameter, denticle ring diameter, and denticle length.

The underlying processes causing morphological variability in cellular structures of trichodinids is not known. Kazubski & Migala (1968) speculated that the variability is a result of fission earlier or later than paternal individuals. A new skeletal ring is formed on a smaller or larger circumference than in the paternal individuals. As a result, the number of denticles on the new cell increases (Martins *et al.* 2010). Kazubski & Migala (1968), moreover, speculated that temperature could be the reason igniting...
Figure 2. Monthly variation (1 = January to 12 = December) in cellular structures of Trichodina sp. found on the Merlangius merlangus euxinus from the Black Sea. Bars show 95% confidence intervals. The data for the biomass was redrawn from Sorohin (1983).
the process. However, we found that larger cells could be
found both in the winter and in the late summer, so that
temperature itself cannot be the only causative reason
having an effect (synergically or antagonistically) on the
variability. We propose that primary production, or
availability of food in the environments, may be the main
cause driving the morphological changes. Kazubski (1968)
reported that there were two peaks in primary production:
one in January and the other, with smaller peak but longer
duration, in July in the Black Sea. This seasonal fluctuation
pattern was observed in denticle span, blade length and
ray length, suggesting that when there is insufficient
food, trichodinids respond by enlarging certain parts in
generations. Blade and ray size decrease when there is
sufficient food and vice versa. It is possible that changes
in the size of these organelles may provide for
more efficient filtering of food items. The strongly
seasonal change in the sizes of blade and ray especially
suggests that the morphological changes are food-related
in different seasons. The same approach might be true as
well for explaining morphological differences in the same
species at different localities. One locality may be more
productive in terms of food for trichodinids than the other.
Thus, the cells in less productive areas would be larger
than in the highly productive areas. It could also be
suggested that the same trichodinid species on different
hosts could differ in morphology.

Denticles of trichodinids are one of the main organelles
of the cell. Factors affecting the number of denticles on a
given species are in question. Kazubski & Migala (1968)
drew attention to the fact that the larger cells did not
always have higher numbers of denticles than the smaller
cells. We also found that the smaller cells could have
higher numbers of denticles than a bigger cell at a given
time regardless of the time of the year. Moreover, the
change in the number of denticles followed a seasonal
pattern parallel to the pattern observed in the diameter of
the cell, adhesive disc and denticle ring. Interestingly,
there are no studies mentioning changes in the denticle
number and its possible link to feeding, or relating
seasonal changes and feeding variation regimes.
Considering that these protozoans are filter feeders, it
can be suggested from the pattern of primary production
that when food is scarce, the cell has a higher number of
denticles than in times when food is plentiful. Thus, it
can be hypothesized that the response of the protozoan
is to have more denticles and have bigger cells to feed in
environments poor in prey items. It should also be noted
that, as Kazubski (1967) pointed out, the denticle number
does not change with the age of the trichodinid but with
new generations of the cells, supporting the hypothesis
that, if food is getting scarcer, then new generations will
be larger. More research is needed to determine the
relationship between food availability and cell size or the
size of other particulars.

In brief, changes in morphological characteristics of a
same species of Trichodina were related to seasons. The
concomitant occurrence of differences in the morphology,
and timing of primary production suggests that food could
be the main driving force for the observed changes/
fluctuations in the morphology. Therefore, our results
suggest that in species descriptions, collection time, host
and environment of the species need to be described.
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