Phytoplankton photosynthetic parameters from the Gulf of California southern region

Parámetros fotosintéticos del fitoplancton en la región sur del Golfo de California

Gerardo Verdugo-Díaz¹, Aída Martínez-López¹, Gilberto Gaxiola-Castro² and José Eduardo Valdez-Holguín³

¹Departamento de Plancton y Ecología Marina, Centro Interdisciplinario de Ciencias Marinas, (CICIMAR-I.P.N), Apdo. Postal 592, Av. Instituto Politécnico Nacional S/N Col. Playa Palo de Santa Rita, La Paz, BCS, México, CP 23096. gverdugo@ipn.mx
²División de Oceanología, Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada, Apdo. Postal 2732, Carretera Ensenada-Tijuana No 3918, Zona Playitas, CP 22860, Ensenada BC, México
³Departamento de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas, Universidad de Sonora, Luis Donaldo Colosio S/N, entre Sahuaripa y Reforma Col. Centro, Hermosillo, Sonora, CP 83000, México

Resumen.- Se determinó la respuesta fisiológica del fitoplancton en la zona eufótica por medio de experimentos fotosíntesis-irradiancia realizada de junio del 2000 a junio del 2001 en la Bahía de La Paz, Golfo de California, México. Durante el periodo estratificado que comprende de junio a septiembre (índice de estratificación > 200 J m⁻³) el número de asimilación (Pm) estuvo representado por un valor promedio de 3.7 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹, mientras que de noviembre a febrero, cuando la columna de agua fue homogénea (índice de estratificación = 0 J m⁻³) fue de 9.2 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹. La eficiencia fotosintética máxima a baja irradiancia (α) tuvo un valor promedio de 0.017 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹ (μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹)⁻¹ representativo del periodo estratificado, mientras que durante el periodo homogéneo fue de 0.063 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹ (μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹)⁻¹. Los parámetros fotosintéticos (Pm y α) durante la mayor dinámica de la zona epipelágica evidencian la fotoaclimatación del fitoplancton a la irradiancia promedio en la zona eufótica. Valores altos de Pm se relacionaron con la abundancia de diatomeas (Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima, Chaetoceros compressus y Coscinodiscus perforatus), mientras que los valores menores de este parámetro se asociaron con el grupo de los dinoflagelados. Los valores promedio estimados para el parámetro de saturación de luz (Eₖ) fueron 334, 173 y 91 μmol cuanta m⁻² s⁻¹ para superficie, 10 y 1% de la zona eufótica, respectivamente. De manera general, la comunidad fitoplanctónica estuvo dominada por nanofitoplancton (2-20 μm) durante todo el periodo considerado.
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Abstract.- Phytoplankton physiologic response in the euphotic zone was determined by photosynthesis-irradiance experiments carried out between June 2000 and June 2001 at Bahia de La Paz, Gulf of California, Mexico. A stratified water column was present, from June to September (stratification index > 200 J m⁻³), with the assimilation number (Pm) represented by an average of 3.7 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹, while for the period from November through February, the water column was homogeneous (stratification index = 0 J m⁻³) and the average was 9.2 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹. The maximum photosynthetic efficiency at low irradiances (α) of 0.017 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹ (μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹)⁻¹ was the representative average for the stratified period, while 0.063 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹ (μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹)⁻¹ was the corresponding value for the homogeneous period. The photosynthetic parameters (Pm and α) had an increase during mixing conditions, as result of phytoplankton photoacclimation to average irradiance in the euphotic zone. Diatom abundance (Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima, Chaetoceros compressus and Coscinodiscus perforatus) were related with higher values of Pm, while lowest values of this parameter are associated with the dinoflagellate group. Average values estimated for the light saturation parameter (Eₖ) were 334, 173 and 91 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ for the surface, 10 and 1% of the euphotic zone, respectively. In general, nanophytoplankton (2-20 μm) dominated the phytoplanktonic community during the period studied.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the biological oceanography goals is the determination of the photosynthetic or carbon assimilation rate by phytoplankton (Falkowsky & Raven 1997). A perspicuous understanding of this complex process that controls marine biogeochemistry is essential, since their interlinked effects span from a regional to a
global scale. One investigative technique utilized to study this process is the determination of phytoplankton photosynthetic parameters from photosynthesis irradiance (P-E) curves (Jassby & Platt 1976). These allow the physiological response of the phytoplankton community in the face of environmental variability to be quantified (Coté & Platt 1983, 1984). The photosynthetic parameters $\alpha$ (maximum light utilization coefficient at low irradiance) and $P_m$ (maximum photosynthetic rate at light saturation), are related by the following equation: $E_k = P_m/\alpha$, where $E_k$ is the light-saturation parameter ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$); $P_m$ is the maximum photosynthesis at light saturation (mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) and $\alpha$ is the maximum light utilization coefficient [mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$]. These 2 parameters are fundamental to describe photosynthesis-irradiance relationships (Tilzer et al 1993, Sakshaug et al 1997).

Phytoplankton photosynthetic parameters variability can be attributed to changes in environmental or growth conditions (Dower & Lucas 1993), and its delineation can ultimately lead to a better understanding of algal physiology and water column production. In this context, it is necessary to generate ‘local specific’ data for these photosynthetic parameters. Bahía de La Paz is a unique region due to its climatic regime for the set up and development of algorithms to estimate integrated water column production using phytoplankton P-E parameters. In addition, minimal yearly cloud cover favors the use of satellite color imagery which when combined with these parameters allows primary production to be estimated from satellite data (Platt & Sathyendranath 1988).

Estimates of primary productivity are necessary to assess the interchange of material comprising the ocean-atmosphere CO$_2$ balance. Primary productivity data is sparse for this area of the Gulf of California, and when available it only documents indirect measurements of the photosynthetic rate (i.e., phytoplankton natural fluorescence) (Reyes-Salinas et al. 2003, Cervantes-Duarte et al. 2005). Thus, due to the previously noted conditions this study will utilize the variability of photosynthesis-irradiance parameters of phytoplankton in Bahía de La Paz, with the aim of proposing representative average values for different oceanographic conditions, which in turn will allow prediction of photosynthetic production rates (Alvarez-Borrego 2011) based on models like those proposed by Platt & Sathyendranath (1988).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Bahía de La Paz is located in the southeast of the Baja California peninsula, bounded by lines making the following geographical positions, 24°10’-24°47’N, and 110°20’-110°44’W, covering an approximate area of 2,635 km$^2$ (Cruz-Orozco et al. 1989). The bay has a semi-elliptical shape, with its major axis oriented in a northwesterly to southeasterly direction. The bay communicates with the Gulf of California by 2 channels, a northeastern channel (Boca Grande) and another one to the east (Canal de San Lorenzo). During the warm period (May to October), intense solar radiation and the weak sea breezes from the southeast allow a strong stratification in the water column. During the cold season (November to April), strong northwest winds increase the mixed layer depth.

Monthly samplings were conducted at a station (24°29.12’N, 110°28.33’W) located in the central area of Bahía de La Paz, (Fig.1) from June 2000 to June 2001, except July and December 2000 (Table 1). Samples were collected from 6 depths differentiated by the percentages...
of light penetration (100, 55, 33, 10, 3 and 1% of surface irradiance), calculated using the light attenuation coefficient \( K_d \) determined by the Secchi disk (Brown et al. 1989). Sampling depths were estimated following Lambert-Beer relationship 

\[
Z = \frac{\ln E_0 - \ln E_z}{K_d}
\]

At each depth, water column was collected for taxonomic phytoplankton identification, and dissolved inorganic nutrients analyses. Phytoplankton samples were fixed with acid Lugol’s solution (final concentration of 1%), and analyzed employing the method devised by Utermöhl (Hasle 1978). The phytoplankton taxa were identified according to Hustedt (1930, 1959), Cupp (1943), Licéa-Durán (1974) for diatoms; Schiller (1933), Taylor (1976), Pesantes (1978) and Balech (1988) for dinoflagellates and Murray & Schrader (1983) and Schrader et al. (1986), for silicoflagellates. Hasle & Syvertsten (1996) and Steindinger & Tangen (1996) were consulted to review and update in cases of synonymy between species.

The concentration of inorganic nutrients (nitrites and nitrates) was determined according to the methods proposed by Parsons et al. (1984). The properties of the water column were characterized (temperature, salinity) using a CTD (Sea Bird-25). The stratification index proposed by Simpson & Hunter (1974) was calculated using the temperature, salinity and density data for the upper 50 m depth. The stratification index \( \varphi \), interpreted as the amount of mechanical energy required to bring about vertical mixing (J m\(^{-3}\)), equals zero for a well-mixed layer and increases with stratification, expressed by the following equation:

\[
\varphi = \frac{1}{h} \int_{h}^{0} (\rho - \bar{\rho}) g z dz
\]

where: \( \rho = \) seawater density, \( \bar{\rho} = \) average seawater density, \( g = \) gravity acceleration (9.81 m s\(^{-2}\)), \( h = \) depth (in this case 50 m), and \( z = \) vertical coordinate (ascendent and positive).

The determination of photosynthetic parameters was carried out by experimental measurements of \(^{14}\)C assimilation during June, September and November of 2000, as well as during February and May of 2001. Water samples were collected from 100%, 10% and 1% surface irradiance levels, using dark bottles and filtered through a 333 \( \mu \)m mesh to remove microzooplankton. Samples were stored in refrigerator while the experiment was prepared. Water samples were collected with a lapse of approximately 1-1.5 h between sample acquisition and the initiation of incubation in the laboratory, where samples were inoculated with 1 \( \mu \)Ci of NaH\(^{14}\)CO\(_3\). Inoculated samples were incubated during 1 h in 3 incubators similar to those proposed by Babin et al. (1994). Incubation bottles were the same type as those used for tissue cultures, polystyrene plastic, which have provided good results in the incubation of marine phytoplankton samples; polystyrene doesn’t affect the phytoplankton for incubation periods up to 2 h (Babin et al. 1994). The light source was a 300 W tungsten-halogen lamp. Care was taken during the incubation process, so that water temperature inside incubators remained approximately equal to the temperature from which the sample was collected (± 1°C). The radioactivity counts in the phytoplankton samples were measured utilizing a Beckman LSC 6500 liquid scintillation counter. The inorganic carbon assimilation was determined following Steemann-Nielsen (1952).

Mathematical model used for the adjustment of the photosynthetic parameters was the proposed by Jassby & Platt (1976). Nonlinear models from Statistica ver. 7 software were used to simultaneously estimate both parameters \( P_m \) and \( \alpha \) using the relationship:

\[
P = P_m \tanh (\alpha E_o / P_m)
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the maximum light coefficient at low irradiance [(mg C m\(^{-3}\) h\(^{-1}\)) (\( \mu \)mol quanta m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\))], \( P_m \) is the maximum photosynthesis at light saturation (mg C m\(^{-3}\) h\(^{-1}\)), \( E_o \) is the light intensity in the incubator (\( \mu \)mol quanta m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (DD/MM/YY)</th>
<th>Surface irradiance percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/06/00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/08/00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/09/00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/10/00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/11/00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/01/01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/02/01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/03/01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/04/01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/05/01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/06/01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Water column temperature and the Simpson & Hunter (1974) stratification index ($\phi; \text{J m}^{-3}$) indicated stratification from June through September. This period was characterized by temperature in excess of 28°C, and high stratification index (>200 J m$^{-3}$); while from November to February exhibited a mixed layer depth exceeding 50 m deep (i.e., zero stratification) and lower temperature. These conditions began to reverse slowly, rising trend starting in March, until the stratification index reached 200 J m$^{-3}$ in June 2001. From this parameter May 2001 was considered a transitional month (Fig. 2).

Phytoplankton abundance was dominated both temporally and spatially by nanophytoplankton (cell size 2-20 μm), with higher cells abundance recorded at subsurface levels during June and September 2000. The microphytoplankton fraction (cells size >20 μm) showed their maximum proliferation in June 2000 at the bottom of the euphotic zone (Table 2), with *Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima* as the the dominant specie.

Nitrate concentration in the euphotic zone showed a stratified distribution all year. Minimal values (<2.5 μM) were associated with the surface layer, mainly during the months of higher water column stratification (from July to September 2000); while maximum values were registered at the deepest levels of the euphotic zone. We observed a nitrate increase at 10 and 1% irradiance levels mainly during October 2000 and March 2001. Nitrite concentrations in the euphotic zone registered values lower than 0.2 μM from June to October 2000. Early in the condition of mixed water column, nitrite concentration gradually increased until its maximum value achieved in March (1.2 μM). Subsequently, a decrease was observed during April, May, and June (Table 2).

![Figure 2. Monthly sea surface temperature and the Simpson and Hunter (1974) stratification index from June 2000 to June 2001 / Variabilidad mensual de la temperatura superficial del mar y el índice de estratificación de Simpson y Hunter (1974) de junio de 2000 a junio de 2001](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year/Month</th>
<th>Nanophytoplankton ($10^5$ cells L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Microphytoplankton ($10^5$ cells L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Nitrile (μM)</th>
<th>Nitrate (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>242.2</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>546.2</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>326.2</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>298.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>452.3</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>399.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>102.8</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>196.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ND* = no data available
Phytoplankton photosynthetic parameters showed a temporal variability related with oceanographic conditions of the water column. During months of stratification (June and September 2000), maximum photosynthetic efficiency at low irradiances ($\alpha$) from the 3 levels of the sampled euphotic zone fluctuating between 0.005 and 0.035 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$, giving a representative mean of 0.017 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$. During November 2000 and 2001, when the water column was homogeneous, ($\phi \sim 0$ J m$^{-3}$), $\alpha$ reached their maxima values [0.052 and 0.115 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$], and the difference between the 3 sampled levels increase, showing a variation between 0.019 and 0.115 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$. The overall representative average was 0.06 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$. During May considered as a transitional month between homogeneity and stratification conditions, $\alpha$ ranged from 0.005 and 0.007, with a mean value of 0.006 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ ($\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$. Maximum photosynthesis rate ($P_m$) behaved similarly to the previously described parameter. However, its variability between irradiance levels showed more deviation. For the stratification period, $P_m$ ranged from 0.72 to 8.66 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, with a mean of 3.62 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$. In contrast, this parameter showed markedly higher values during the months of mixed water column ($\phi \sim 0$ J m$^{-3}$), ranging between 6.39 and 12.69 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, with a representative mean value of 9.3 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$. During May 2001 the minimum registered value was 0.41 and the maximum 1.72, with an average of 1.14 mg C m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$.

With respect to the light saturation index ($E_k$), the main source of variation was on the vertical coordinate, as this parameter showed evident differences between the considered light levels. Light saturation index at surface registered values between 232 y 469 $\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, with an average of 335 $\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. In the intermediate level (10% of surface irradiance), values oscillated between 110 and 247 $\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, with an average of 173 $\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ the average value (Table 3). Euphotic zone lowest differences of $E_k$ were obtained during November and February (water column mixing conditions), indicating highest phytoplankton photoacclimation to mean irradiance, derived from the lower stratification prevailing during those months.

By correlating the Simpson stratification index ($\phi$) with the photosynthetic parameters, we found that the maximum photosynthetic efficiency at low irradiances ($\alpha$) showed negative slope for the 3 considered light levels, with the determination coefficient ($r^2$) between 0.54 and 0.72. In the same sense, maximum photosynthetic parameter ($P_m$) had a negative linear relationship, with $\phi$ showing a variation between 0.45 and 0.81 in the determination coefficient. Unlike the 2 previously described parameters, light saturation index ($E_k$) showed positive slope with $\phi$ at both the surface and the level corresponding to 10% of surface irradiance, with determination coefficients of 0.46 and 0.81, respectively. At the lower limit of the euphotic zone, the determination coefficient was higher (0.91), with negative slope.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Phytoplankton photosynthetic parameters at 100, 10 and 1% of surface irradiance / Parámetros fotosintéticos del fitoplancton a 100, 10 y 1% de la irradiancia superficial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photosynthetic parameters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Month</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Phytoplankton studies have been carried out during the last decade in the 2 larger bays of the Gulf of California (Bahía de La Paz and Bahía Concepción). These studies have documented that primary productivity and phytoplankton community structure display seasonal changes, associated mainly with the occurrence of strong stratification during summer, and upwelling and water column mixing during winter (Martínez-López et al. 2001, Verdugo-Díaz et al. 2010). Biological responses to the physical forcing suggest that changes in phytoplankton community structure, as evidenced by increases of abundance in nanophytoplankton during summer conditions, could be related to a greater capacity of these cells to acquire and assimilate lower nutrient concentration during oligotrophic-water periods; allowing them to obtain a competitive advantage over larger cells (Fogg et al. 1986). Another factor, which possibly contributes to their dominance throughout the water column, may be related to a greater efficiency in their absorption and utilization of incident light compared to larger-sized cells, particularly at low irradiances (Agustí et al. 1994).

Photosynthesis-irradiance related parameters contain information of physiological seasonal changes of the phytoplankton community in Bahía de La Paz. These parameters according with their characteristics can be applied to specific examples and utilized to generate estimates of primary production in the study zone (Valdez-Holguín et al. 1999). Phytoplankton photosynthesis rate variability in the ocean mainly depends of the quantity and quality of available light for the microalgae growth. Walsh & Legendre (1983) did not notice significant differences in phytoplankton assimilation rates produced by variable irradiance, because photosynthetic capacity is not a direct function of light absorption (Sakshaug et al. 1997), it also depends on photo-acclimation. Marine microalgae exhibit several irradiance requirements in order to saturate their photosynthetic process and achieve their maximum productivity.

The P-E curve generated in this study are high when compared to those reported for the central region of the Gulf of California (Gaxiola-Castro et al. 1999, Valdèz-Holguín et al. 1999), but similar to values registered around the large islands (Tiburón & Ángel de la Guarda) in the northern Gulf (Alvarez-Borrego & Gaxiola-Castro 1988) as well as for a subtropical coastal lagoon of the Baja California Peninsula (Montes-Hugo & Alvarez-Borrego 2003). It seems that oceanographic conditions at Bahía La Paz support high values of primary and secondary productivity (Jiménez-Illescas 1994, Martínez-López et al. 2001, De Silva-Dávila & Palomares-García 2002), making it one of the most productive zones of the Gulf.

Nutrient concentrations recorded in this study are consistent with those reported by Reyes-Salinas et al. (2003) and Cervantes-Duarte et al. (2005) for Bahía de La Paz. They described low nitrate concentrations in the upper euphotic zone during the entire year, which declined during the summer period. In our study we found high correlation between the values of $P_m$ and nitrate concentration (data not shown). Gaxiola-Castro et al. (1999) established that an increase in nutrient concentration is not necessarily correlated with an increase in $P_m$, because changes of this photosynthetic parameter are also a function of variations in growth irradiance controlled by stratification or mixing in the water column.

The observed values of maximum photosynthesis showed low variability during the studied period. Despite the low frequency of obtained data, which limits us to contrast statistically, it is clear that conditions of the water column play a central role in the magnitude of photosynthesis rate. Because of this, we consider very useful a mean value of $3.6 \, \text{mg C m}^{-3} \, \text{h}^{-1}$ as representative of stratified conditions, $9.3 \, \text{mg C m}^{-3} \, \text{h}^{-1}$ for homogeneity conditions, and $1.1 \, \text{mg C m}^{-3} \, \text{h}^{-1}$ for the transitional periods. The lowest observed variability, especially during the stratified water column, may be associated to acclimation or tolerance ranges of phytoplankton in the face of environmental fluctuations that modify its photosynthetic parameters (Coté & Platt 1983). Besides irradiance, chlorophyll cell-quantity or concentration (first order factor) in phytoplankton community is considered as the most influential in the variability of parameters derived from P-E curves (Cullen et al. 1992, Marañón & Holligan 1999).

Under the same scheme, for the results corresponding to maximum photosynthetic efficiency at low irradiances, we suggest a value of $0.017 \, \text{mg C m}^{-3} \, \text{h}^{-1} \, (\mu \text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1})^{-1}$ as representative of stratification conditions; and an average value when the water column is homogeneous of $0.06 \, \text{mg C m}^{-3} \, \text{h}^{-1} \, (\mu \text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1})^{-1}$. As the change is gradual between both conditions, the value that represents the transition period is $0.006 \, \text{mg C m}^{-3} \, \text{h}^{-1} \, (\mu \text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1})^{-1}$. The understanding of variability of this phytoplankton physiological indicator
is important because its represents the trend of phytoplankton towards equilibrium between the light phase and dark reactions of photosynthesis; like equilibrium between quanta absorption for photosystem II and the electrons transported from water in order to reduce carbon dioxide (Escoubas et al. 1995, Sakshaug et al. 1997). Regarding this, Tüller (1993) discussed the importance of understanding its magnitude and variability, as he defined it as a function of the number of photosynthetic units or reaction centers and antenna pigments.

Light saturation index parameter ($E_k$) showed a marked correlation with depth, while the extent of temporal variation was lower. Considering these observations, we suggest an average of 335 μmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ as the irradiance that saturates the phytoplankton photosynthetic process at ocean surface. Despite the relatively low value of $E_k$ in this case, we did not observe photoinhibition –a decline process in quantum efficiency caused by both irradiance and increasing temperature (Anderson et al. 1997). It has been observed that in natural environments like the Gulf of California, surface phytoplankton shows no photoinhibition, even at irradiances as high as 1.400 μmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Valdez-Holguín et al. 1999).

From phytoplankton samples collected at 10% and 1% surface irradiance levels, maxima photosynthesis reach saturation at 173.2 μmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and 90.8 μmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. During each stratified period, a difference between levels was observed. This could be related with density differences which arguably propitiate that phytoplankton cells remain at the same depth, which in turn may allow better cell photoacclimation (Ley 1980, Falkowski 1983). On the other hand, during months of homogeneous water column, phytoplankton communities at the edge of euphotic zone may move upwards and acclimate accordingly to higher irradiances (Falkowski 1983), producing the observed uniformity between the $E_k$ values corresponding to irradiance levels of 10 and 1% of surface irradiance. It is well known that phytoplankton photoacclimation processes occur within time intervals varying from hours to days (Ley 1980), according to requirements and pigment structure of the species existent in the communities (Bidigare et al. 1990, Falkowski & La Roche 1991). There are 2 main strategies for phytoplankton photoacclimation to dynamic irradiance regimes: 1) increments in photosynthetic unit’s size, and 2) increase in the number of photosynthetic units. The physiologic mechanisms that occur at cellular level in adaptation to low irradiances comprise both, with a decrease in the numbers of photosynthetic units, and increase in the cell chlorophyll-a (Ley 1980). In the same way, cells exposed to high photonic densities may resort to the xanthophylls cycle. Under such conditions, photon excess in the antenna unit is reflected as violaxanthin transformation into zeaxanthin helping to the dissipation of excessive energy (Hall 1994).

Hydrographic conditions at La Paz Bay maintain a stratified system from June to September, while in the period between November to February water-column remains homogeneous. Gaxiola-Castro et al. (1999) suggested that both, high water column stratification and mixing lead to low values in the water-column averaged photosynthetic parameters. Phytoplankton community react to this variability, with dinoflagellates and nanophytoplankton being more abundant during stratified conditions, while diatoms reach greater occurrence during the months of homogeneous water column (data not shown). In the same approach, the photosynthetic parameters as indicators of phytoplankton physiologic response in the expression of environmental variability show a relationship between its values and the prevailing environmental conditions. Despite the low frequency of experimental data, this study provides important representative values for $P_m$ and $\alpha$ at different oceanographic conditions in the water column. Likewise, representative values of $E_k$ were proposed for phytoplankton sampled communities at different irradiance levels. Our approach is based on backgrounds suggesting a closed relationship between water column physical stability and photosynthetic parameters for the southern Gulf of California phytoplankton.
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