Redescription of the sea anemones *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and *Bunodactis hermafroditica* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Actiniaria) from Chile
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Resumen.- Debido a la ambigua definición de sus caracteres diagnósticos, las anémonas de mar *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* y *Bunodactis hermafroditica* han sido confundidas en reiteradas ocasiones. Examinando la anatomía externa e interna de varios ejemplares recolectados entre Antofagasta y Chiloé, se re-describe y compara ambas especies en relación a su historia sinonímica. Aun siendo muy similares, *A. hermaphroditica* y *B. hermafroditica* pueden ser distinguidas por los patrones de coloración del disco oral, el desarrollo de las verrugas, la presencia de zooxantelas y los cnidocistos de la columna y filamentos mesenteriales. En base a estos criterios, la autoria de *Bunodactis hermafroditica* pertenece a Carlgren (1959) pero debido a que el material tipo no corresponde con la descripción, se designa un neotipo que contiene las características señaladas por dicho autor. Aunque se cuestiona la validez de los acrorragios para diferenciar géneros, se proponen modificaciones a la terminología empleada en las diagnosis de *Anthopleura* y *Bunodactis*. A partir de esto se rechaza la sinonimización de los géneros *Gyractis* y *Bunodactis*, considerando este último un género válido hasta que se realice una adecuada revisión del material tipo de *Aulactinia*. Por otro lado, las marcadas diferencias anatómicas observadas entre poblaciones de *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* sugieren la presencia de al menos dos especies distintas.

Palabras clave: Actiniidae, taxonomía, intermareal, Pacífico suroriental

Abstract.- The Chilean sea anemones *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and *Bunodactis hermafroditica* have been confused repeatedly due to ambiguity in the definition of their diagnostic features. The present article re-describes and compares both species in relation to their synonymic history by examining the external and internal anatomy of several specimens collected between Antofagasta and Chiloe. Although very similar, *A. hermaphroditica* and *B. hermafroditica* can be distinguished by the coloration patterns of the oral disc, development of verrucae, presence of zooxanthellae, and the cnidocysts of the column and mesenterial filaments. Based on these differences, the authorship of *Bunodactis hermafroditica* belongs to Carlgren (1959) but because the type material does not match with the description; we designate a neotype that contains the features identified by this author. While the validity of acrorhagi to differentiate genera is questioned, some amendments to the terminology used in the diagnosis of *Anthopleura* and *Bunodactis* are proposed. Hence, we reject the synonymization of the genera *Gyractis* and *Bunodactis*, considering the latter as a valid genus until a proper review with the type material of *Aulactinia* is made. In addition, the remarkable anatomical differences observed among populations of *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* suggest the presence of at least two distinct species.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Although few characteristics differentiate their members, the family Actiniidae includes an extraordinary diversity of species (Carlgren 1949, McCommas 1991). Since Carlgren’s (1949) key, actiniid genera have been distinguished almost exclusively based on morphological features of the column which, to date, have been discussed in several papers (Den Hartog 1987, Belém & Pinto 1990, Riemann-Zürneck & Gallardo 1990, Daly 2004a, Daly & Den Hartog 2004,
Häussermann 2004), *Anthopleura* Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1860, *Bunodactis* Verrill, 1899, *Aulactinia* Verrill, 1864, and *Gyractis* Bovetti, 1893 have been traditionally differentiated by the presence of marginal spherules or acrorhagi. These characteristics, however, had been frequently confused in descriptions and it was not until the revision of Daly (2003) that these structures were finally defined. When Carlgren (1959) separated *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* (Carlgren, 1899) from *Bunodactis hermafroditica* (Carlgren, 1959), the only difference he stated at that time was the presence of marginal spherules and thus, these species have been treated as synonyms and as different species in subsequent determinations. While the description of these two species have been recently reviewed (Yanagi & Daly 2004, Sanamyan et al. 2009), so far no study has defined them comparatively. The present paper redescribes *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and *Bunodactis hermafroditica*, including in vivo observations, anatomy and cnidae data. Furthermore, the diagnostic characters of both species, as well as their authorship and generic assignment, are discussed.

**Materials and Methods**

Between March and June 2012, over 200 specimens of *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and *Bunodactis hermafroditica* were collected from the intertidal zone along the Chilean coast, from Antofagasta (23°39’S; 70°25’W) to Chiloé Island (41°51’S; 73°49’W) (Fig. 1). The specimens were photographed both in the field, either in situ or in an aquarium, and in the laboratory with a Nikon® SMZ-10A stereomicroscope. Specimens were relaxed with menthol crystals or 6-8% MgCl₂ and fixed in Bouin’s solution (for histology) or in 8-10% seawater formalin. For the histological examination, 12 and 8 specimens of *A. hermaphroditica* and *B. hermafroditica*, respectively, were embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 and 7 µm, and stained with Masson’s trichrome, with modifications of Spano & Flores (2013).

The cnidae from 26 and 9 specimens of *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and *Bunodactis hermafroditica*, respectively, were examined with a Nikon® OptiPhot-2 light microscope (1000X oil immersion) and photographed with a CoolSNAP-Pro camera connected to Image-Pro Plus software, both of Media Cybernetics®. From each individual, 40 capsules (whenever possible) were measured per type and per tissue. Cnidae measurements were statistically compared between populations, using Minitab® 15 software. In most cases the normality assumption was not fulfilled and, therefore, non-parametric analyses, such as Kruskal-Wallis test, were required. Additionally, semi-permanent slides were prepared in the field using Yanagi’s technique (1999) fide Häussermann & Försterra (2009) to observe discharge cnidae. Nematocyst terminology follows that of Schmidt (1972) and England (1991).

The studied specimens were deposited in the ‘Colección de Flora y Fauna Profesor Patricio Sánchez Reyes’ of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago (SSUC); Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Germany (ZSM); and additional specimens were kept by CS.

![Figure 1. Sampling sites of the intertidal zone from Antofagasta to Chiloé](image-url)
**RESULTS**

*Anthopleura* Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1860

Actiniaeidae with well-developed pedal disc. Column with adhesive verrucae arranged in longitudinal rows. **Acrorhagi present**; may sit inside fosse or atop marginal projections. Fosse deep. Tentacles simple, conical, hexamerously or irregularly arranged, with ectodermal longitudinal muscles. At least two well-developed siphonoglyphs. Marginal sphincter muscle diffuse to circumscript; retractor muscles diffuse or restricted. In adults, numerous or all mesenteries perfect and fertile. Mesenteries more numerous proximally than distally in some specimens. Cnidon: spirocysts, basitrichs, holotrichs, microbasic l-mastigophores, microbasic p-mastigophores. (Diagnosis from Daly & den Hartog 2004, with changes in bold)

**Anthopleura hermaphroditica (Carlsgren, 1899)**

*Anthopleura* hermaphroditica Carlgren 1899: 23.


*Anthopleura hermafroditica* Carlgren 1949: 54; Carlgren 1959: 22


**Examined material** (all from intertidal): 1 specimen (SSUC 7757), Rada de Antofagasta (S1: 23°39'S; 70°25'W), 15.6.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7756), Pozas Los Gringos (S2: 23°42'S; 70°26'W), 14.6.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7754), Escollo El Pulpo (S3: 27°01'S; 70°51'W), 18.5.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7755), Playa Brava (S4: 27°03'S; 70°50'W), 19.5.2012; 4 specimen (ZSM 20130507), Puerto de Caldera (S5: 27°03'S; 70°51'W), 17.5.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7753), Bahía Inglesa (S6: 27°08'S; 70°51'W), 18.5.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7751), Punta Teatinos (S7: 29°49'S; 71°17'W), 30.4.2012; 2 specimen (ZSM 20130508), Fuerte Lambert (S8: 29°57'S; 71°22'W), 1.5.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7752), Playa La Herradura (S9: 29°58'S; 71°22'W), 1.5.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7750), Tongoy (S10: 30°15'S; 71°29'W), 28.4.2012; 2 specimen (ZSM 20130509), Puerto Aldea (S11: 30°17'S; 71°36'W), 28.4.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7749), Playa Merquique (S12: 36°29'S; 72°54'W), 15.4.2012; 4 specimen (ZSM 20130510), Punta Columo (S13: 36°31'S; 72°57'W), 12.4.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7747), Playa Cocholgüé (S14: 36°36'S; 72°58'W), 12.4.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7748), Punta Lavapié (S15: 37°08'S; 73°35'W), 14.4.2012; 2 specimen (ZSM 20130511), Punta Pilluco (S16: 41°30'S; 72°53'W), 24.3.2012; 2 specimen (ZSM 20130512), Bahía Quillaie (S17: 41°33'S; 72°45'W), 22.3.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7742), Punta Metri (S18: 41°36'S; 72°42'W), 21.3.2012; 1 specimen (SSUC 7744), Punta (Faro) Corona (S19: 41°47'S; 73°52'W), 25.3.2012; 1 specimen var. *eton* (SSUC 7743), Playa Lechagüa (S21: 41°52'S; 73°52'W), 24.3.2012.

*Examined type material.*: *Bunodes hermaphoditicus* Carlgren, 1898 (Zoologisches Museum Hamburg C1496), Talcahuano (36°74'S; 73°13'W); *Bunodes hermaphoditicus* Carlgren, 1898 (Naturlhistorisk Riksmuseum 1177), Talcahuano (36°74'S; 73°13'W); *Cribrina hermaphroditica* McMurrich, 1904 (Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt Universität 4220), Antofagasta (23°68'S; 70°45'W), Talcahuano (36°74'S; 73°14'W), Puerto Monti (41°48'S; 72°96'W); *Anthopleura hermafroditica* Carlgren, 1959 (Museum of Natural History in Oslo B1076), Seno Reloncavi, Punta Pillico (41°50'S; 72°90'W); *Bunodactis hermafroditica* Carlgren, 1959 (identified as *Anthopleura hermafroditica*, see Discussion) (Museum of Natural History in Oslo B1075), Seno Reloncavi, Punta Pillico (41°50'S; 72°90'W).

**Differential diagnosis:** Brown, medium to small size, short cylinder-shaped species with oral disc slightly wider than pedal disc and column up to 17 mm in diameter. Oral disc may present opaque marks over mesenterial insertions with or without greenish-white spots along tentacles. Marginal sphincter circumscript, retractor muscles diffuse and mesenteries more numerous proximally than distally. Hermaphroditic viviparous; zoanxanthelae in gastrodermis.

**External anatomy.** **Size.**- Pedal disc diameter up to 17 mm, typically 6 mm (S.D = 3; n = 92); Oral disc diameter up to 18 mm, typically 8 mm (S.D. = 3; n = 94). Column ~12 mm in height in preserved specimens. Usually, tentacles not larger than oral disc diameter and to 12 mm long in preserved specimens.

**Coloration.**- Oral disc, column and tentacles chocolate or sepia brown, varying from olive green to grayish ochre. Rarely tentacles white to greenish or translucent blue and column of a pale orange tone (var. *eton*, Fig. 5). Proximal column and pedal disc often lighter in color than rest of the body. Frequently, oral disc with opaque marks over mesenterial insertions forming a stellate pattern of radial stripes around mouth (Fig. 2A). Tentacles may also present an opaque base and/or greenish-white spots (Fig. 2B). Preserved specimens discolor to grayish or yellowish tones depending on fixative solution.
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**Column.**- Provided with numerous small, endocoelic, adhesive verrucae, generally arranged in 24 well-defined longitudinal rows. These can be more or less developed and, in many cases, may be slightly pigmented. Rows of verrucae are distributed regularly throughout the column but are more prominent distally (Fig. 2D). Each row with a marginal projection that can bear up to five verrucae on its outer surface. Half of the specimens also exhibited an opaque, swollen acrorhagus on the inner edge of up to 1 mm diameter (Fig. 3E); with deep fosse.

**Oral disc and tentacles.**- Mouth more or less prominent and may be elevated on oral cone or lip. Tentacles between 19-68 (in a 2 mm and 17 mm pedal disc specimens, respectively) in number, slender, conical, generally short, with rounded and, occasionally, perforated tip; hexamerously arranged in two to five cycles, the last one never complete. Tentacles can be fully covered by the column when contracted (Fig. 2C).

**Pedal disc.**- Distinct limbus and usually arcuate inwards in preserved organisms. Pedal disc adherent and well-developed.

**Internal anatomy: General.**- Actinopharynx no longer than half length of the column, deeply sulcated, with two well-developed aborally prolonged siphonoglyphs, each attached to a pair of directives (Fig. 3C). Oral and marginal stomata present, the latter usually reduced. Mesenteries hexamerously arranged in three perfect cycles, even though occasionally individuals with less than 24 pairs or with a fourth cycle in early development may be found. Mesenteries grow from the pedal disc upward (with more mesenteries proximally than distally). In most specimens, the reproductive tissue was poorly developed and only observed in the first 2 cycles.
Figure 3. Anthopleura hermaphroditica anatomy. A) Longitudinal section of margin; B) Cross section of tentacle; C) Cross section of upper column; D) Longitudinal section of pedal disc; E) Longitudinal section of an acrorhagi. I, II and III: 1\textsuperscript{st} to 3\textsuperscript{rd} cycle of mesenteries, ph: actinopharynx, di: directives, mf: mesenterial filaments, pb: parietobasilar muscle, r: retractor muscle, si: siphonoglyph, v: verruca, sp: sphincter, ep: epidermis, f: fosse, ga: gastrodermis, m: mesoglia, et: ectodermal longitudinal muscle of tentacle, zx: zooxanthellae, bm: basilar muscle, h: holotrichs battery / Anatomía de Anthopleura hermaphroditica. A) Corte longitudinal del margen; B) Corte transversal de un tentáculo; C) Corte transversal de la columna superior; D) Corte longitudinal del disco pedio; E) Corte longitudinal de un acrorragio. I, II y III: 1\textsuperscript{er} a 3\textsuperscript{er} ciclo de mesenterios, ph: actinofaringe, di: directivos, mf: filamento mesenterial, pb: músculo parietobasilar, r: músculo retractor, si: sifonoglifo, v: verruga, sp: esfínter, ep: epidermis, f: fosa, ga: gastrodermis, m: mesoglia, et: músculo longitudinal ectodérmico del tentáculo, zx: zooxantelas, bm: músculo basilar, h: bateria de holotricos

**Musculature.** Marginal sphincter muscle endodermal, circumscribed-pinnate with highly branched mesogleal processes (Fig. 3A). Circular endodermal musculature of body wall strong but absent in verrucae. Longitudinal muscles of tentacles ectodermal (Fig. 3B). Retractor muscles diffuse, strong and often covering half of the mesentery. Basilar and parietobasilar muscles well-defined and more or less strong (Figs. 3C, D).

**Cnidom:** Spirocysts, basitrichs, rod-like basitrichs, holotrichs, microbasic b-mastigophores and microbasic p-mastigophores A1, A2 (Fig. 4). See Table 1 for size and distribution of cnidae.

It should be noted that the rod-like basitrichs only appeared in larger numbers in specimens collected between Coquimbo and Antofagasta, and sometimes were observed in the gastrodermis of the tentacles. Significant differences...
(α = 0.05, P < 0.001) were found in the capsule length of basitrichs, holotrichs and microbasic p-mastigophores A1 between populations. Major differences in standard deviation occurred between populations from the central-south (Chiloé, Puerto Montt and Concepción) and northern regions (Coquimbo, Caldera and Antofagasta).

**Distribution and habitat:** *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* can be found in the intertidal and shallow subtidal (to 20 m depth) of protected or semi-protected fronts from Antofagasta (23°39’S, 70°25’W) to the Chonos Archipelago (45°39’S, 75°52’W). This species mainly inhabits tide pools, frequently lives under rocks, in crevices and very often on mussels or encrusting algae. Abundant on hard substrate, the highest densities (>> 1000 ind. m⁻²) were reached in fine or muddy sand flats, forming extended carpets of tentacles (Bahía de Quellón, Punta Metri, Bahía Quillaipe and Puntilla de Coliumo). Usually, specimens shared habitat with other sea anemones, such as *Bunodactis hermafroditica* (Carlgren, 1959), juveniles of *Phymactis papillosa* (Lesson, 1830) and *Paranthus niveus* (Lesson, 1830) (the latter only in soft bottoms with hard substrate below).

### Table 1. Capsules size and distribution of cnidae of *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and *Bunodactis hermafroditica*. *m₁* and *m₂* are the means, *d₁* and *d₂* are the standard deviations (all in µm). *N* is the proportion of animals examined with respective type of cnidae present and *n* is the number capsules measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th><em>Anthopleura hermaphroditica</em></th>
<th><em>Bunodactis hermafroditica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cnidae</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>m₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentacle</td>
<td>Spines (A)</td>
<td>9.3-23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basitrich 2 (B)</td>
<td>12.5-22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorhagi</td>
<td>Holotrich (C)</td>
<td>19.2-44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>Basitrich 1 (D)</td>
<td>8.3-13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basitrich 2 (E)</td>
<td>10.0-19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holotrich (F)</td>
<td>12.3-24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinopharynx</td>
<td>Basitrich 2 (G)</td>
<td>11.1-26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbasic p-mastigophore A2 (H)</td>
<td>14.4-21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filament</td>
<td>Basitrich 2 (I)</td>
<td>10.8-24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rod-like basitrich (J)</td>
<td>19.0-40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbasic b-mastigophore (K)</td>
<td>15.9-29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbasic p-mastigophore A1 (L)</td>
<td>12.1-19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbasic p-mastigophore A2 (M)</td>
<td>15.1-24.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4. Cnidae of Anthopleura hermaphroditica.** A-M letters refer to Table 1 / Cnidos de Anthopleura hermaphroditica. Letras A-M referidas a la Tabla 1

---

Spano et al. Redescription of two sea anemones from Chile
**Natural history:** Column generally with small stones pebbles and shells attached. Specimens rarely dry up and may spend several hours out of water. Under unfavorable conditions they can detached from the substrate by inflating the pedal disc. *Zooxanthellae (Symbiodinium spp.)* clearly present in the gastrodermis, measuring about 8.3 μm (S.D =1; n = 160) in diameter in the tentacles. Though most abundant there, *zooxanthellae* were also observed in the distal column, acrorhagi, actinopharynx and mesenterial filaments. There was significantly less *zooxanthellae* in specimens of the var. *eton* than in normal specimens. Shells of isopods of the family Cirolanidae and ischyrocerids amphipods were commonly found inside the coelenteron. No food was recognized in the specimens collected from soft bottoms.

*Anthopleura hermaphroditica* is a simultaneous hermaphrodite species producing both male and female gametes in different follicles of the same mesentery. Occasionally, eggs were found in the gastrovascular cavity of the tentacles (Fig. 5). Embryos appeared frequently in the coelenteron, with up to six individuals in an adult specimen. The size of the brooded young ranged between 1 and 2.5 mm in column diameter. During the anesthetization, some specimens everted the actinopharynx, expelling the juveniles contained inside. In the field, it is common to find several juveniles attached to the pedal disc of a larger individual.

---

**Bunodactis** Verrill, 1899

Actiniidae with well-developed pedal disc. Whole or most of the column with adhesive verrucae, that may or may not be arranged in obvious vertical rows. **No acrorhagi present, but there may be marginal projections.** Tentacles rather short, simple and with ecto or meso-ectodermal longitudinal muscles. Commonly two well-developed siphonoglyphs, each attached to a pair of directives. Marginal sphincter muscle circumscribed; retractor muscles **diffuse or restricted.** All stronger mesenteries fertile, although sometimes the directives are sterile. Mesenteries more numerous proximally than distally. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic **b-mastigophores,** microbasic **p-mastigophores.** (Amended diagnosis from Carlgren 1949, with changes in bold; See discussion)

**Bunodactis hermafroditica** (Carlgren, 1959)


---

**Figure 5.** Var. *eton.* Note egg (arrow) in the gastrovascular cavity of the tentacle / Var. *eton.* Nótese la presencia de un huevo (flecha) en la cavidad gastrovascular del tentáculo

Differential diagnosis: Medium to small size, thick cylinder-shaped species with oral disc about the same diameter as pedal disc, up to 21 mm. Body in pastel tones of green, red and blue, with thin white radial lines around mouth. Well-developed verrucae fully cover the column with particles from surrounding environment. Marginal sphincter circumscript and retractor muscles diffuse. Viviparous.

External anatomy: Size.- Pedal disc diameter up to 21 mm, typically 11 mm (S.D = 4; n = 26). Column ~12 mm in height in preserved organisms. Tentacles usually not larger than oral disc diameter and approximately 9 mm in living specimens.

Coloration.- Oral disc and tentacles varying between olive-brown, carmine red and dark shades of blue. Variable number of distinct, thin white radial lines on the oral disc, extending from the lip, typically yellow-orange, toward the tentacle base (Figs. 6A-C). Column generally of same color as oral disc but in paler tones, especially in proximal region. Preserved specimens cream or beige in color depending on fixative solution.

Column.- With simple, well-developed, endocoelic, adhesive verrucae, profusely distributed from margin to limbus and arranged in 24 more or less defined longitudinal rows. Because of its size, the alignment is only recognizable in living and pigmented specimens. Distal verrucae may be slightly thickened, but never with acrorhagi; with deep fosse.

Oral disc and tentacles.- Mouth more or less prominent. Tentacles commonly slender and conical; tip rounded with a terminal pore; hexamerously arranged in three to four marginal cycles. Tentacles can be fully covered by the column when contracted.

Pedal disc.- Very pronounced limbus and pedal disc adherent and well-developed.

Figure 6. Bunodactis hermafroditica. A-C) Oral disc view; D) Lateral view of column of several specimens. Scale: 8 mm / Bunodactis hermafroditica. A-C) Vista del disco oral; D) Vista lateral de la columna de varios especímenes. Escala: 8 mm
**Internal anatomy:** General.- Actinopharynx elevated, with longitudinal furrows up to three quarters of column length. Two siphonoglyphs prolonged to aboral region, each attached to a pair of directives (Fig. 7B). Oral and marginal stomata present but difficult to observe in contracted specimens. Mesenteries hexamerously arranged in three to four cycles, typically 24 pairs perfect. More mesenteries proximally than distally. No gametogenic tissue was observed in examined specimens.

**Musculature:** Marginal sphincter muscle endodermal, circumscribed-pinnate with numerous mesogleal processes with fan-like branching pattern (Fig. 7A). Circular musculature of the body wall endodermal and longitudinal muscles of tentacles ectodermal (Fig. 7D). Retractor muscles diffuse to diffuse-restricted, very strong and highly branched. Basilar and parietobasilar muscles strong; the latter more developed proximally (Fig. 7B,C).

**Cnidom:** Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores and microbasic $p$-mastigophores A2 (Fig. 8). See Table 1 for size and distribution of cnidae.

Additionally, the mesenterial filaments are loaded with a distinct long thick basitrich (Fig. 8V), especially abundant in the cnidoglandular tract. The basitrichs in the actinopharynx from specimens collected in the Concepción region are twice as large as those from Chiloé. Significant differences ($\alpha = 0.05, P < 0.001$) were found between the basitrichs (except those of the filaments) and microbasic $b$-mastigophores of the specimens from Concepción and Chiloé Island.

---

Distribution and habitat: *Bunodactis hermafroditica* can be found in the intertidal of protected or semi-protected fronts from Tongoy (23°39'S, 70°25'W) to Lilihuapi Island, Comau Fjord (42°14'S, 72°58'W). Although rather uncommon, this species lives in populations of dozens of individuals. It settles only on rocky bottoms, inhabiting tide pools, crevices, often between mussels or burrowed in thick sand. In general, *B. hermafroditica* was not observed co-existing with other sea anemones, except occasionally with *Anthopleura hermaphroditica*.

Natural history: Shell debris and pebbles adhere to verrucae fully covering the column (Fig. 6D) and preventing the body from drying (see Hart & Crowe 1977). Azooxanthellae species. Due the presence of embryos in the coelenteron, we rarely found food inside the specimens and nothing could be taxonomically identified.

We could not confirm the hermaphroditism in *Bunodactis hermafroditica* because specimens did not have any reproductive tissue developed. However, most examined specimens presented numerous embryos in the coelenteron and under the pedal disc. In the pedal disc, up to 20 specimens were found hanging from the adult through small basal incisions where the embryonic envelope is attached. Embryos ranged between 1.5 and 4 mm in column diameter. Several specimens expelled their brooded young through the actinopharynx during anesthetization. In the field, larger individuals usually had attached several juveniles to their pedal disc.

Table 2. Diagnostic comparison between *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and *Bunodactis hermafroditica* / Comparación diagnósticas entre *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* y *Bunodactis hermafroditica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Anthopleura hermaphroditica</em></th>
<th><em>Bunodactis hermafroditica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External anatomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral disc pigmentation</td>
<td>Stellate pattern of opaque marks</td>
<td>Reduced to thin white lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body structures</td>
<td>Verrucae in well-defined rows.</td>
<td>Verrucae developed in less defined rows. Never with acrorhagi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can bear acrorhagi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zooxanthellae</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproduction</td>
<td>Hermaphrodite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cnidom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column margin</td>
<td>Holotrichs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenterial filaments</td>
<td>Microbasic p-mastigophore A1</td>
<td>Large basitrichs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

When Carlgren described *Bunodes hermaphroditicus* from the coast of Talcahuano, Chile, he reported the presence of acrorhagi (‘marginal spherules loaded with nematocysts’) in the distal column (Carlgren 1899: 23). Unable to confirm this particular character on very similar specimens, McMurrich (1904) changed the generic assignment of the species to *Cribrina*, partly attributing the differences to the fact that ‘Carlgren’s specimens were apparently twice as large as those I examined’ (McMurrich 1904: 288). Carlgren (1927) assumed that the marginal spherules will only be evident in adult organisms and sure of their conspecificity, he synonymized both species as *Anthopleura hermaphroditica*. Until then, descriptions provided little information about the cnidae; however, all had confirmed the presence of zooxanthellae in the gastrodermis, viviparity and the hermaphroditism of the species. During the Lund Expedition in Chile, Carlgren noticed adult organisms (with embryos inside) of 4 mm height, which seems make him questioned his prior assumption. In his last manuscript, Carlgren (1959) returned to differentiate his specimens from those of McMurrich only via the presence of acrorhagi and, probably by error, he used the spelling with *f* (hermafroditica) instead of *ph*.

Despite having very similar descriptions, *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* can be distinguished from *Bunodactis hermaphroditica* in both macroscopic and microscopic features (Table 2). Externally, both species differ in color pattern and the verrucae size. In *B. hermaphroditica*, for instance, the oral disc pigmentation is rather reduced while the verrucae are much more developed than in *A. hermaphroditica*. The latter is easily verifiable in the field, where specimens of *B. hermaphroditica* remain completely covered by the surrounding material, compared to *A. hermaphroditica* that is usually covered partially or, in mudflats, not at all. Furthermore, only *A. hermaphroditica* specimens are zooxanthellate and, even though both species incubate their offspring, we could not confirm the hermaphroditism of *B. hermaphroditica*. The scarce development of gametogenic tissue observed in most of the specimens could indicate the occurrence of a gamete emission period between spring and early summer, as it is with other brooding species (Fautin & Sebens 1987). In their redescription of *A. hermaphroditica*, Yanagi & Daly (2004) stated that mesenteries of specimens containing many brooded young typically lack gametogenic tissue, which would be more marked between January and April (Schories *et al.* 2011).

There are 3 main differences between the cnidom of the two species: the presence of holotrichs (Fig. 4C,F) in the column and microbasic *p*-mastigophores A1 in the filaments (Fig. 4L) of *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* and the presence of a large basitrich (Fig. 8V) in the filaments of *Bunodactis hermaphroditica*. Mastigophores designations are based on Schmidt’s papers (1972, 1974) about cnidae and, particularly, the microbasic *b*-mastigophores is termed according the b-Rhabdoid III of Schmidt (1972). Similarly, the holotrich listed here for the column of *A. hermaphroditica* probably refers to the heterotrich mentioned by Yanagi & Daly (2004) and Schories *et al.* (2011) as a diagnostic feature of the genus *Anthopleura* (see England 1987). However, we could not verify the identity of this cnidae in discharged capsules and therefore, prefer to use the more general term holotrich. In fact, several ultrastructure differences between the nematocysts are hardly recognizable by light microscopy and can lead to false interpretations if not compared with higher resolution examinations (Östman 2000). As for their size, basitrichs were the most variable nematocysts among populations of both species, followed by holotrichs for *A. hermaphroditica* and microbasic *b*-mastigophores for *B. hermaphroditica*. Because these measures are related to body size within anemone species (see Ardelean & Fautin 2004), its taxonomic value has been debated in recent years (Williams 1998, 2000) for being probably an adaptive character (Francis 2004). Yet, the length of the microbasic *p*-mastigophores A2 were markedly constant in both species, showing little variation between sampling areas.

Based on this comparison, much of the previous determinations must be emended. The specimens identified by McMurrich (1904), as *Cribrina hermaphroditica*, and by Pax (1926), as *Bunodactis hermaphroditica*, belong to the species *Anthopleura hermaphroditica* described by Carlgren (1899) as having zooxanthellae in the gastrodermis. The voucher specimens on which Carlgren (1959) based his identification of *Bunodactis hermaphroditica* were found poorly preserved and, although without visible acrorhagi, they have zooxanthellae in the tentacles and rod-like basitrichs in the filaments. Due to the similarity of both species in the field, Carlgren might have failed to identify *B. hermaphroditica* based on preserved material (which could explain why his last description of this species is so brief). Since the characterization of *B. hermaphroditica*, as a species without marginal spherules, viviparous and very variable in color, does not overlap with that of *A. hermaphroditica*, the authorship of *B. hermaphroditica*...
Spano observed noticeable morphological differences among being gonochoric and azooxanthellate. Nonetheless, we cinclides and a diffuse marginal sphincter muscle, and by (paper). Some characters mentioned by the latter authors redescription of Yanagi & Daly (2004) (Fig. 2D in this paper). Some characters mentioned by the latter authors (e.g., pink coloration, denticulate margin, presence of parietal muscles) could not be confirmed for A. hermaphroditica and were only noted for a particular morph found between ‘escollo El Pulpo’ (S3: 27°01’S; 70°51’W) and Puerto Aldea (S11: 30°17’S; 71°36’W). The degree of divergence of these specimens, in which neither zooxanthellae nor embryos were observed, preliminarily indicates a distinct and undescribed species for Chile.

In his classification of Actiniaria, Stephenson (1921) criticizes the use of acrorhagi as being too variable structures to define families. Because they respond to inter and intraspecific aggressive behaviors (Bigger 1980), their development is associated with ecological parameters such as competition for space (Francis 1973a, 1973b, 1976, Ayre & Grosberg 1995). Our observations of Anthopleura hermaphroditica support this hypothesis by comparing the size of the acrorhagi between specimens collected from sites with abundant anemones with those from soft bottoms where, apparently, there are neither predators nor competitors (Schories et al. 2011). Since the identification of the species was based on the presence or absence of acrorhagi, their variability explains why both species had been repeatedly mistaken on later diagnoses to the terminology established by Daly (2003). She describes the marginal structures as a composition of two distinct parts: a projection of the upper column, termed marginal projection, and a holotrich-dense pad, termed acrorhagus, that is histologically differentiated from the surrounding tissues. Given that Bunodactis verrucosa Pennant, 1777, the type species of Bunodactis, is described with ‘large well-developed marginal spherules’ (England 1992: 68), the diagnosis of the genus should rather say ‘No acrorhagi present, but there may be marginal projections’. Correspondingly, for Anthopleura it should be stated ‘acrorhagi present’, even though they might not be developed in all the specimens. Based on these criteria, the only two valid species of the genus Gyractis, G. sesere (Haddon & Shackleton, 1893) and G. spenceri (Haddon & Duerden, 1896) (Fautin 2013), should be moved to the genus Bunodactis since they possess basitrichal marginal projections (but no acrorhagi). This still fits with the description of Dunn et al. (1980) for Aulactinia, though they included the presence of atrichs into the diagnosis by finding this cnidae type in the tentacles of A. incubans Dunn, Chia & Levine, 1980. Without examining the type material of Aulactinia, they justified the replacement of Bunodactis according to Article 60.2 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature: ‘If the rejected junior homonym has one or more available and potentially valid synonyms, the oldest of these becomes the valid name of the taxon with its own authorship and date’ (ICZN 1999). In fact, the two genera were synonymized only because Carlgren (1949) originally placed the type species Aulactinia capitata Agassiz in Verrill, 1864 in Bunodactis. In this sense, although this synonymy may be plausible via the Code, we still consider Bunodactis a valid genus until Aulactinia is further revised, integrating these concepts and including its type material to the analysis.
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