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Resumen

Los impactos sobre los servicios de los ecosistemas debidos a la actividad humana son un hecho. Los Objetivos de Desarrollo del Milenio (MDGs), las propuestas de mitigación y adaptación del Grupo Intergubernamental de expertos sobre Cambio Climático (IPCC) en sus sucesivos informes, así como las propuestas por parte de Millenium Ecosystem Assessment (MA) no pueden pasar desapercibidos para los proyectos en general y para los proyectos de construcción en particular. La cantidad de residuos generados por el sector así como la cantidad de recursos consumidos (energía, materias primas) en todo el ciclo de vida (construcción, explotación, mantenimiento y deconstrucción) contribuyen decididamente al aumento de la huella ecológica humana. Los objetivos y requisitos para la Dirección Integrada de Proyectos de Ingeniería Civil tienen que cambiar. El fin ya no es sólo la consecución del triple objetivo (plazo, coste y calidad) y de las prestaciones establecidas por el promotor. Ahora existen nuevos objetivos y requisitos de sostenibilidad en los proyectos de construcción. Se analizan las herramientas y técnicas realizadas en el campo de la construcción para lograr la consecución de una construcción más sostenible y se propone un marco metodológico de gestión sostenible dentro de los estándares de Dirección Integrada de Proyectos.
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Abstract

Impacts on ecosystem services, due to human activity, are already a fact. The objectives of Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), the alleviation and adjustment proposals from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in their consecutive reports, as well as the proposals by the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MA) cannot go unnoticed for general projects and for particular construction projects. The amount of waste material generated by the sector, as well as the amount of resources consumed (energy, raw materials) by the whole life cycle (construction, exploitation, maintenance and deconstruction) definitely contributes to increase the human ecological footprint. The goals and requirements for the Integrated Project Management must change. The objective is no longer the achievement of a triple goal (time, costs and quality) and the performance established by the developer. Nowadays there are new sustainability goals and requirements for construction projects. Tools and development techniques are analyzed in the construction field, in order to get a construction achievement in a more sustainable way; and a methodological framework for sustainable management according to standards of Integrated Project Management is proposed.
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1. Introducción

The rapid growth and development in industrialized countries, technocentric consciousness, the belief that resources are unlimited, the excessive population growth, coupled with the usual long term impacts on the environment, causes that next generations will suffer the effects of our development model. The truth is, as seen, that resources are limited, nature has limits of materials and services production as well as waste absorption, and there are enormous social and economic differences between developed and developing countries and even within a society.
This means that in recent years has begun to pose a new social and economic development model: Sustainable Development. The concept emerged in 1987 in the Brundtland report: Our common future and in the Rio summit in 1992 it was assumed as the principle that it should chair the policies and strategies of the subsequent years, based on three pillars: society, environment and economy.

In parallel, already in 1972, the Stockholm Summit brought up a possible climate change that ends up in 1988 upon the establishment of an Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) composed by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), which purpose is to evaluate the available scientific information about climate change and to evaluate the environmental and social economical impacts. After the latest report presented in 2007, it is clearer that: GHG concentration (green house gases) is increasingly in progress, that the climate system warming is an unmistakable fact (mean temperatures of air and oceans, general melting of ice sheet and snow, and the increase of mean sea level), that many natural systems are affected, and will be further affected by climate changes, being the sectors that contribute the most to the GHG increase: the energetic, transport and industry, the soil use and its usage changes (IPCC, 2007a; 2007b; 2007c). It is important to emphasize that the IPCC understands climate change as the change produced in the climatic system, due to a natural variability as well as the result of human activity, or both at the same time.

Sustainable development and climate change turn up as two new challenges having synergies and mutual interdependences. Human health, soil/aquatic ecology and social and economical systems are fundamental for human development and welfare, which in turn are sensitive and vulnerable to climate changes. At the same time, measures driven to sustainability positively affect the alleviation and adjustment to this climate change.

In the same was, IPCC was established in 1988, in year 2000 the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment Panel (MA) was created, in order to scientifically analyze the consequences from ecosystems changes in relation to human welfare.
Conclusions demonstrate that our lifestyle demands ecosystem resources and services far higher than the rate of resources regeneration; waste material is produced at a higher speed than the one our environment can absorb, the ecosystems capacity is decreasing, thus provoking changes in some cases irreversible; social and economical differences are increasing, between developed and undeveloped countries, and the environmental effects even promote such differences more (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005).

As well as social and political models are changing, the processes and methodologies employed by engineering must be improved. The introduction of sustainable engineering is a new challenge that intends to conciliate human requirements with the planet capability. In fact, if current patterns do not change, the expansion of construction will destroy, or at least disturb, natural and wild life habitats over 70% of land surface from now up to 2032, mainly because of population, economical activity and urbanization increase (UNEP, 2002).

Probably, there is no other sector with higher sustainability contribution potential than construction (Bakens, 2003). Construction sector employs approximately half the resources man consumes from nature; it is considered that 25% of waste material comes from construction and demolition (Alarcón, 2005) and more than 70% of worldwide energy moves around this sector (Oteiza y Tenorio, 2007), thus making construction sector one of the activities influencing climate change the most. But not everything in construction is unsustainable. The water supply and sanitation, waste material management and a reliable secure infrastructure system meet society requirements, which are essential to the path towards a sustainable development (Siddiqui, 1997). These projects are to be conducted under Sustainable Construction criteria, because impacts on the social, environmental and economical sectors are excessively huge.

2. Objectives and scope

As briefly discussed, it seems to be clear that processes employing human activities in general, and engineering in particular, cannot be sustained indefinitely.
This study intends to take an approach regarding new objectives of sustainable construction, as well as to introduce the search of innovative methodologies and techniques helpful to achieve construction sustainability, and to propose a methodological management framework for sustainable management construction, from a point of view of an Integrated Management of Engineering Projects. We believe that a sustainable management may be the method for integrating in only one procedure what Bakens (2003) proposes: to join together different actors involved in the whole process (according to stages and activities), and introduce them into the same process, so as to achieve new objectives and requirements for sustainable development challenges and climate change, not forgetting the basic objective of the project (cost, term and quality), as well as developer performance.

A detailed analysis has been conducted on the existing documentation and a group of experts in integrated management projects and in sustainability applied to engineering topics has been created; in order to achieve a first step in approaching a life cycle project management, so as to foster and maximize sustainable opportunities and factors.

3. Sustainable project management

3.1 New requirements and objectives: Sustainable Construction

The main objectives of Integrated Project Management are: costs, quality and time; as well as performance and particular requirements for each project. But, it seems to be these requirements are not enough compared to new challenges posed by society, which demand a change of project approaches. There must be a strategic triple objective for the project, in accordance with the sustainable development concept, based on the environment (respect for natural resources and ecosystems capacities), society (social equitability and interaction by all parts involved) and economy (Figure 1).
Sustainable development can also include the objectives planned by the IPCC on climate changes in view of a changing environment. In this way, release of CO$_2$eq becomes especially important all way through the project life cycle (Sampedro, 2007) in order to minimize their releases, as well as projects adaptation and vulnerability to actual and future climate changes.

Those changes directly affect the way a civil engineering project is approached, where the most important aspects are:

- Life Cycle Assessment, LCA. According to some opinions, life cycle consists of designing and planning a project, from an idea conception until deconstruction or change of usage (from cradle to the grave), as per ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 regulations. However, as far as sustainable development concept is concerned, it seems to be pertinent to apply the cradle-to-cradle analysis to the design (Kholer and Moffatt, 2003), i.e., planning thinking on the project life span, returning employed products to their original condition (materials, soil, etc.).

- Sustainable criteria application (environment, society and economy) as from planning and design stages (as conducted for costs, time and quality objectives), with the knowledge on new existing objectives by all involved parties. In this way, decision making process on different choices can be made not only counting with the common objectives of a project but under a more global and integrate vision of the environment.
The collaboration and interdisciplinary contribution (integration of all actors involved) from a sector, such as construction, where there are different stages and several parties involved.

The importance of a social environment in construction, taking into account not only the physical user but also the indirect user, who will have to coexist directly with the new building throughout the project life cycle.

These new requirements, arising in accordance with sustainable development challenges, are the steps to be followed in order to achieve the necessary new balance between human activity in construction sector and environmental, social and economic sectors: the search for a sustainable construction.

3.2 Available techniques and tools

In order to achieve these new objectives, there are several researches and tools developed to approach a sustainable building construction. However, for infrastructure projects they are almost inexistent.

The major trend in building sector is the development of sustainable indication tools or systems to be used either to rank the building at different sustainable grades, or as a helpful technique for decision making process of project management. A search for sustainable tools working by means of indicator systems available worldwide, using different data bases as the one available on the CRISP website (Construction and City Related Sustainability Indicators), from U.S. Department of Energy “Building Technologies Programs”, or the studies by Fowler and Rauch (2006), and by the International Energy Agency (IEA, 2001) have led to a total of 74 sustainable indicator systems in the world (Fernández, 2008). Some of the most important factors are shown in Table 1.
On the other hand, sustainability concept is being implemented by new regulations, as the Spanish legislation, where the emphasis is made on the application of the so called “sustainability criteria”, as specifically indicated by first article in the Regulation of Hydrological Planning Regulation (2007) in Spain, by the Adapted Law for Evaluation of Environmental Impact Projects (2008) that aims to foster sustainable development; the Law of Evaluation on Plans and Program Effects (2006), created to promote a sustainable development on projects environmental issues leading to “Plans and Programs of Environmental Sustainability Reports”: the Royal Decree which regulates the Production and Management on Construction and Demolition Waste Material (2008), which intends to achieve a more sustainable development of construction activities;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nombre del Sistema de Indicadores</th>
<th>País de origen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEGO E</td>
<td>Alemania/Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Star</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NABERS (National Australian Built Environment Rating System)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ Building Assessment System (Total Quality Building Assessment System)</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability indicator set for the construction sector</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Building Challenge (GBC): GBTool_05 - SBTool_07</td>
<td>Canadá/Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEPAC (Building Environmental Performance Assessment Criteria)</td>
<td>Canadá/Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Globes Canada (adaptado también a EEUU y Reino Unido/also adapted to USA and United Kingdom)</td>
<td>Canadá/Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPAS (Comprehensive Environmental Performance Assessment Scheme)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK BEAM (Hong Kong Building Environmental Assessment Method)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAT 2002 (Building Environmental Assessment Tool)</td>
<td>Dinamarca/Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic set of environmental indicators for the property sector</td>
<td>Dinamarca/Denmark, Finlandia/Finland, Noruega/Norway, Suecia/Sweden, Islandia/Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEED (adaptado también a Mexico, Canadá, India/also adapted to Mexico, Canada, India)</td>
<td>EEUU/USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPIRIT (Sustainable Project Rating Tool)</td>
<td>EEUU/USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERDE (aplicación de SBTool a España/application of SBTool to Spain)</td>
<td>España/Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIVES (Modelo Integrado de Valor para Estructuras Sostenibles/Integrated Value Model for Sustainable Structures)</td>
<td>España/Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LENSE (Label for Environmental, Social and Economic Buildings)</td>
<td>Europa/Europe (6thFP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASBEE</td>
<td>Japón/Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Building Rating System</td>
<td>Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBAT (Sustainable Building Assessment Tool)</td>
<td>Sudáfrica/South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREEM (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method) -En proceso de adaptación a otros países europeos como España/Under adaptation process to other countries such as Spain-</td>
<td>Reino Unido/United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpEAR</td>
<td>Reino Unido/United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and at last, the New Instruction for Structural Concrete (ISC), (2008) that introduces a new optional annex to calculate the Sustainability Contribution from Structural Concrete to sustainability, ICES (Aguado et al., 2008). Therefore, it seems there is a well-grounded need of application of sustainability criteria on civil engineering projects.

For infrastructure projects, there are no even integral sustainability assessment systems, although there are some only related to environment (one of the columns for sustainability). Only one proposal for Sustainability Appraisal in Infrastructure Projects has been found, named SUSAIp (Ugwu et al., 2006) subsequently applied to construction industry in South Africa (Ugwu and Haupt, 2007), which is based on indicators provided by interviews and surveys conducted to parties involved with the project life cycle, selecting those most important according to interviewees. Besides, there is an assessment index for infrastructures in Canada (Dasgupta and Tam, 2005), the so called Technical Sustainability Index (TSI). It also includes models for selection of suppliers and providers related to construction projects (Chen et al., 2008), from a sustainability point of view.

There is no doubt that the existence of such indicator systems for building as well as scarcely for infrastructure constructions is a step forward, but those systems are generally subjective and with a high uncertainty degree (Seo et al., 2004), where the goal is to achieve a higher score, perhaps loosing the opportunity to make the project more sustainable, since the indicator does not reflect a solid characteristic and distinction from the project in particular.

Construction is a very particular industry. Each project is designed and built differently from others: it is singular. This complicates the creation of indicators valid for all projects. There are different typologies, activities, parties involved, situations, locations and different performances that make every single project one of a kind. That is why we believe that, even when an indicator system is a valid tool helping decision making process faced to choices analysis, it is not a complete methodological process where all sustainability opportunities are analyzed assessing its profitability application on a given project.
An effective solution as far as energy in building site is concerned; will not yield the expected results, unless it is appropriate for the location climate conditions; it must be completely detailed, installed and duly managed and the complexity level must be understood by the managers and users of the building (Brunn, 2003).

There is only one advance in this building sector, which is based on the value engineering that deals with sustainability management in building projects. It is the so called tool CVEP, Continue Value Enhancement Process (Pulaski and Horman, 2005) that comes out as a response to such need of managing a project in a constructive and sustainable way. The only problem is that it cannot be standardized, since it is only applicable to the building sector, and it is only compatible with LEED indicators systems. Anyway it is an important advance in the process towards the creation of a new sustainable management.

3.3 Methodological framework proposed for sustainable management within DIP

Based on the CVEP proposed by Pulaski in his doctorate thesis, and on the Integrated Project Management in processes such as risk management (PMI, 2004), the proposal suggest the creation of a new methodological framework where sustainable management for a construction project can be dealt as opportunities for such project and to study the convenience or inconvenience of applying sustainability indicators that identify themselves with the design, in accordance with the developer goals and the original objectives of the project (costs, time and quality).

Stages in this proposed methodology are five: management planning, identification of sustainable factors, analysis (quality and/or quantity), response and control; following a repeated and cyclic process that begins in the conception stage of the project (Figure 2).
The process begins with the consistent planning for project interaction by the sustainable management team of experts in the Integrated Project Management, by selecting the objectives in agreement with the other teams, and by planning and distributing roles and responsibilities for the group. Techniques and tools to be used by the team will be selected during different stages in the process.

For sustainable factors identification, the use of employed techniques for project management is proposed for risks identification in accordance with the standards provided by the Project Management Institute (PMI), by the International Project Management Association (IPMA), Project Risk Analysis & Management (RAM), but in this case for opportunities identification and sustainability indicators. So techniques applied will be surveys, interviews to experts; the creation of work teams to develop a controlled brainstorming (also considered by Pulaski’s study, 2005, for pentagon rehabilitation),
the past experiences of the team in other sustainable
managements (group assets and files), the review of
existing documentation for similar projects or the
identification by means of a separate structure of project
tasks (Sustainable Breakdown Structure, SBSgenérica). The
output of the project consists of a classification of
opportunities and identified indicators in accordance
with the relative importance of them in the project, which
may be introduced and ranked as the SBreal tool. The
main purpose of this identification process is the selection
of all possible opportunities that may improve the project
sustainability. Indicator systems may be the input of this
process.

The same as with identified risks, it is necessary
to set priorities and analyze sustainable opportunities. It
is logical that not all the opportunities will be applicable
to this project. Based on Pulaski proposal, the analysis
on each identified opportunity can be conducted in
accordance with its viability in the project, impact on
the project objectives, promoter requirements and
sustainable objectives. A graphic chart on this analysis
can be made by means of a spider graph (Figure 3), where
the impact of the possible application of an opportunity
over a real project is shown: 0.5 means that the objective
is not affected by the new opportunity, and less than this
value represents a negative impact, higher than 0.5 means
the impact is positive. In this way, it is possible to obtain
an idea whether the identified element is applicable to
the project, depending on the positive or negative effect
on mentioned objectives (decision making).

Figura 3. Ejemplo de evaluación de una oportunidad atendiendo a los objetivos del proyecto,
los requisitos del promotor y la construcción sostenible
Figure 3. Example of an Opportunity Assessment focused on the project objectives,
promoter requirements and sustainable construction
Besides this tool, in the stage of opportunities analysis, some other tools can be employed such as interviewing experts to obtain their assessments; or to apply a sensitivity analysis on different identified opportunities in order to achieve those contributing to bring higher profits to the project with less economical efforts or higher constructive facilities. The selection of one technique over others will depend on the kind of project, the technical capability of the managing team and on the objectives outlined by the promoter in each case (selected in the planning stage).

The outputs of the analysis process will yield important information to make a decision or to provide a response on the applicability of the identified element in the process. Thus response or answer provided for each identified and analyzed sustainable factor will be:

- The acceptance of the proposal: introduction and application of the opportunity into the project.
- Maximization of its qualities
- Proposal for changes of the opportunity, in order to increase the positive impacts and decrease negative impacts.
- Discarding the opportunity

A file of sustainability factors rises as a result of this process, for the adequate control during the project life cycle, as well as for employing it in the execution of future similar projects of this team. Besides, in the control stage some indicator systems can be used to verify the degree of sustainability reached by a building or infrastructure in accordance with changes to be proposed in the design stage, as per sustainability criteria to be achieved.

4. Conclusions

This article has intended to measure the state of knowledge of techniques and methodologies currently employed in construction, in order to achieve new sustainability objectives. Besides, a methodology has been proposed to include such techniques and may be useful for project management, facilitating a sustainable management, reaching the outlined objectives, but not forgetting the original objectives: performances costs, quality and time.
The idea of developing a methodology for project sustainable management is exactly based on the belief that indicator systems are very useful for decision making and/or certification, but they cannot represent the wide variability and requirements from different engineering projects in the construction sector. By making a correct identification and analysis on different opportunities and indicators of each particular project, sustainable factors that can be more profitable and effective for one or another similar project can be easily identified. However, sustainable indicator systems are still a useful tool for objective assessments by third parties in construction projects.
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