Relationship between anthropometric nutritional status and functional capacity in older adults living in the community
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ABSTRACT

Background: The functional fitness of older people may be associated with their nutritional status. Aim: To assess the association between of anthropometric measures with functional fitness in older people. Material and Methods: Cross-sectional study conducted in 75 participants aged 65 to 89 years. Body mass index (BMI), waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), fat mass (FM) and skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) were calculated from anthropometric measures. The functional fitness was determined using the Senior Fitness Test battery. Results: BMI and FM indicated obesity, and WHtR indicated cardiometabolic risk in 49%, 55% and 83% of participants, respectively. SMI indicated a low muscle mass in 91% of females. Performance standards of chair stand, arm curl, 2-min step test and 8-foot up-and-go tests were met in 1%, 8%, 1% and 89% of participants, respectively. Significant negative correlations were found between 2-min step test and BMI, WHtR and FM (r = -0.26, -0.31 and -0.48 respectively). Back scratch had a negative correlation with BMI (r = -0.23) and SMI (rho = -0.28). Significant positive correlations were found between 8-foot up-and-go, WHtR (rho = 0.28) and FM (rho = 0.23), and between 2-min step test and SMI (rho = 0.28). The coefficient of determination (R\textsuperscript{2}) between 2-min step test with BMI, WHtR and FM were 0.05, 0.08 and 0.22, respectively, while the R\textsuperscript{2} between back scratch and BMI was 0.04. Multiple regression models indicated that FM affected the 2-min step test independently of BMI and WHtR (adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.22), however age and sex negatively influenced these associations. Conclusions: Functional fitness of older adults is influenced by nutritional anthropometric measures, particularly BMI, WHtR and FM for aerobic capacity, and BMI for upper limb flexibility.
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Relación entre estado nutricional antropométrico y condición física funcional en adultos mayores independientes

Introducción: El estado nutricional y la condición física funcional (CFF) pueden estar relacionados en adultos mayores. Objetivo: Analizar la influencia de
Excess body weight is a global problem that affects ~30% of the population\(^1\). Obesity rates have increased in all ages, with high prevalence in elderly and vulnerable socioeconomic groups\(^1\), increasing the risk of mortality, morbidity and loss of functional capacity\(^2\).

Due to its relative low cost and simplicity, anthropometry is a fundamental part of the nutritional assessment in older adults\(^3\). However, beyond traditional measures such as body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC)\(^4\), the use of waist-to-height ratio (WHR) has been suggested due to its greater predictive capacity of cardiometabolic risk (CMR)\(^5,6\). It is important to consider that although these measures help to identify excessive body weight and functional limitation, they do not distinguish body components like fat mass (FM) and muscle mass (MM), which by themselves are also determinants of functionality\(^7,8\).

Functional fitness (FF) represents the physiological capacity to perform normal everyday activities, safely and independently, without undue fatigue\(^9\). Thus, FF expressed in terms of strength, endurance, flexibility, and agility-dynamic balance, is essential to preserve the mobility necessary to carry out daily activities\(^9\). FF tests are determinants of functional capacity in the elderly and therefore, a reduction in their performance usually precedes functional dependence, facilitating consequently its early prevention\(^10,11\).

Although nutritional and functional status have key associations in advanced age, to date the evidence is not conclusive and more research is required, particularly of alternative measures to BMI\(^12,14\). In addition, although for decades the quantification of physical capacity has been recommended as part of a comprehensive nutritional assessment, to date there is no consensus regarding the FF tests that should be included\(^15\). It is important to consider that aging is a highly heterogeneous process, modulated by genetic, environmental and even stochastic factors\(^16\), and that the socioeconomic context of each country or region can strongly influence the physical performance of the elderly\(^17,18\). For these reasons, extrapolation of results from international studies is complex. Considering this background and the scarce literature available in our country, the purpose of this study was to explore the predictive

---

**Material y Método**: Estudio transversal realizado en 75 adultos de 65 a 89 años. Las variables antropométricas fueron índice de masa corporal (IMC), razón cintura-talla (RCT), masa adiposa (MA) e índice de masa muscular esquelética (IMME). La evaluación de CFF se efectuó con la batería Senior Fitness Test. **Resultados**: El IMC y MA indicaron obesidad, y RCT indicó riesgo cardiometabólico en 49%, 55% y 83% de los participantes, respectivamente. IMME indicó una baja masa muscular en 91% de las mujeres. El estándar de rendimiento para las pruebas sentarse-levantarse, flexión-codo, paso-2-minutos y levantarse-caminar-sentarse se logró en 1%, 8%, 1% y 89% de los participantes, respectivamente. Se encontraron correlaciones significativas negativas entre paso-2-minutos con IMC, RCT y MA \((r = -0.26, -0.31 y -0.48\) respectivamente. La misma asociación se observó entre juntar-manos-espalda con IMC \((r = -0.23\) e IMME \((r = -0.28\). Se encontraron correlaciones significativas positivas entre levantarse-caminar-sentarse con RCT \((\rho = 0.28)\) y MA \((\rho = 0.23)\), y entre paso-2-minutos con IMME \((\rho = 0.28)\). Los \(R^2\) entre paso-2-minutos e IMC, RCT y MA fueron 0.05, 0.08 y 0.22, respectivamente, mientras que el \(R^2\) entre juntar-manos-espalda e IMC fue 0.04. Los modelos de regresión múltiple indicaron que MA afectó la prueba paso-2-minutos independentemente de IMC y RCT \((R^2\) ajustado = 0.22), aunque la edad y sexo afectaron negativamente estas asociaciones. **Conclusiones**: La CFF de adultos mayores es influenciada por alteraciones evidenciadas por medidas antropométricas nutricionales, particularmente IMC, RCT y MA sobre la capacidad aeróbica, e IMC sobre la flexibilidad de extremidad superior.

**Palabras clave**: Ancianos; Antropometría; Estado Nutricional.
Material and Methods

Participants

In this pilot cross-sectional study, 75 subjects over 65 years of age (19 men and 56 women) were included. The subjects were conveniently recruited from a public health community center under the following inclusion criteria: Independent condition according to Barthel’s Index\(^1\), achieve ≥ 13 points in the abbreviated Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE)\(^2\) and medical certification to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria were: Disabling sensory alterations, uncontrolled chronic or acute disease and any condition interfering functional independence.

The procedures were conducted in the community center and an informed consent was obtained. The protocols were according to Helsinki Declaration being approved by the Bioethics Committee of Andres Bello University.

Anthropometric measures

The BMI (weight[kg]/height[m\(^2\)]) and WHtR (WC[cm]/height[cm]) were determined according to WHO\(^3\) and Ashwell et al.\(^6\) respectively. Body weight and height were measured on a scale-stadiometer (Model 2391, Detecto\(^{®}\) Scale Company. Webb City, Missouri, USA). WC was measured using a metal tape measure (Rosscraft\(^{®}\) Innovation Inc. Surrey, Canada). The FM expressed as body weight percentage was determined according to Gallagher et al.\(^21\). Finally, as a representative measure of MM, the skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) (appendicular muscle mass[kg]/height[m\(^2\)]) was determined, according to EWGSO\(^3\). The appendicular muscle mass was calculated according to Baumgartner et al.\(^22\). The cut-off points for SMI were 7.26 and 5.5 kg/m\(^2\) for men and women, respectively\(^7\).

Functional fitness (FF)

The Senior Fitness Test (SFT) is a battery of validated tests that have shown an excellent reliability\(^9\). The tests performed were: chair stand (lower body strength), arm curl (upper body strength), 2-min step test (aerobic endurance), chair sit-and-reach (lower body flexibility), back scratch (upper body flexibility) and 8-foot up-and-go (agility-dynamic balance). The prevalence of cases that reached the recommended standards expressed as cut-off points according to sex and age, was determined for the chair stand, arm curl, 2-min step test and 8-foot up-and-go tests\(^9\).

Statistical analysis

The values are reported as mean and standard deviation. The distribution of normality of data was analyzed by Shapiro-Wilk test. The comparative analyses were performed using independent t-test or U Mann-Whitney test, and the correlations were performed by Pearson’s r or Spearman’s rho coefficients. The cut-off points to categorize the coefficients were: 0.1-0.29 low; 0.3-0.49 moderate and ≥ 0.5 high\(^23\).

A predictive analysis was carried out using simple linear regression models (SLRM) for significant r coefficients, also considering homoscedasticity (Levene’s test) and independence of the observations (Durbin-Watson’s test). The FF tests were considered as dependent variables and anthropometric measures as independent variables. To reconcile units of measurement and verify the models, the variables were normalized as typified Z variables. Finally, two multiple linear regression models (MLRM) were performed. Model “A” was adjusted according to anthropometric measures, and model “B” additionally included age and sex as confounding variables. All analyzes were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) (p < 0.05).

Results

Participants’ characteristics for the full sample and stratified by sex are summarized in Table 1. The age range was 65 to 89 years. Body height, weight, FM and SMI differed between sexes (p < 0.05). The mean values of BMI and FM for the total sample indicated obesity (49.3% and 54.7%, respectively). In addition, WHtR indicated elevated CMR (82.7%). In women, the mean value of SMI suggested low MM (91%). Regarding FF, the performance of the 2-min step test and chair sit-and-reach test differed between sexes (p < 0.05). For the total sample, the performance standard
Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n = 75)</th>
<th>Men (n = 19)</th>
<th>Women (n = 56)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>71.9 ± 5.6</td>
<td>72.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>71.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>75.4 ± 15.6</td>
<td>85.1 ± 14.9</td>
<td>72.2 ± 14.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>32.7 ± 4.5</td>
<td>31.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (%)</td>
<td>40.1 ± 6.2</td>
<td>32.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>43.0 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.2</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Fitness Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair stand (reps/30s)</td>
<td>9.41 ± 2.4</td>
<td>9.32 ± 2.21</td>
<td>9.45 ± 2.48</td>
<td>0.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm curl (reps/30s)</td>
<td>10.67 ± 3.17</td>
<td>11.16 ± 3.7</td>
<td>10.50 ± 2.98</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-min step test (reps)</td>
<td>50.88 ± 19.9</td>
<td>64.79 ± 16.56</td>
<td>46.16 ± 18.81</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair sit-and-reach (cm)</td>
<td>-0.41 ± 5.79</td>
<td>-3.37 ± 6.78</td>
<td>0.59 ± 5.1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back scratch (cm)</td>
<td>-10.50 ± 15.17</td>
<td>-13.32 ± 19.57</td>
<td>-9.54 ± 13.44</td>
<td>0.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-foot up-and-go (s)</td>
<td>8.44 ± 2.56</td>
<td>7.85 ± 1.62</td>
<td>8.64 ± 2.79</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p < 0.05 Significant difference between sexes; M ± SD Mean and standard deviation; WHtR Waist-to-height ratio; SMI Skeletal muscle mass index.

Table 2. Correlation coefficients between anthropometric measures and functional fitness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Fitness</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Anthropometric measures</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair stand</td>
<td>-0.19*</td>
<td>-0.19*</td>
<td>-0.12*</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm curl</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-min step test</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
<td>-0.31**</td>
<td>-0.48**</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair sit-and-reach</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back scratch</td>
<td>-0.23*</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.28*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-foot up-and-go</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>0.23*</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05; † Pearson’s r coefficient; BMI Body mass index; WHtR Waist-to-height ratio; FM Fat mass; SMI Skeletal muscle mass index.

of the chair stand, arm curl and 2-min step test was achieved by 1.3%, 8% and 1.3%, respectively, while the standard of the 8-foot up-and-go test was reached by 89.3%.

All significant correlation coefficients were low or moderate. According to r coefficients the number of repetitions completed in the 2-min step test was inversely correlated with BMI (r = -0.26), WHtR (r = -0.31) and FM (r = -0.48). Moreover, the distance reached in the back scratch test was inversely correlated with BMI (r = -0.23). According to rho coefficients, the 2-min step test was directly correlated with SMI (rho = 0.28), the back scratch test was inversely correlated with SMI (rho = -0.28), and a greater time (less agility) in the 8-foot up-and-go test was directly correlated with WHtR (rho = 0.28) and FM (rho = 0.23) (Table 2).

The significance of the SLRM confirmed the observed correlations for r coefficients. The highest coefficient of determination (R²) suggests
that approximately 22% of the total variability in the 2-min step test was explained by FM. In addition, according to β values, it is estimated that the greatest decrease in performance was for the 2-min step test (7.24 repetitions) and that is a function of a unitary increase of WHtR (Table 3). The scatter plots corresponding to each SLRM are presented in Figure 1.

According to MLRM, in model A the significant β value indicated that the increase by one percentage in FM determined an average decrease of 1.56 repetitions in the 2-min step test, indepen-

**Table 3. Simple linear regression models between anthropometric measures and functional fitness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-min step test</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>-1.89 – -0.12</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-min step test</td>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-7.24</td>
<td>-12.43 – -2.05</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-min step test</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>-2.19 – -0.89</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back scratch</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-1.36 – -0.01</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R²: Coefficient of determination; β: Simple linear regression coefficient; CI: Confidence interval; BMI: Body mass index; WHtR: Waist-to-height ratio; FM: Fat mass.

**Figure 1.** Scatter diagrams for simple linear regression models between body mass index (BMI) and the 2-min step test (a), waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) and the 2-min step test (b), fat mass (FM) and the 2-min step test (c), and BMI and the back scratch test (d).
Effectively of BMI and WHtR. In addition, approximately 22% of the total variability observed in the 2-min step test could be explained by the model. In contrast, model B did not show statistical significance (Table 4).

Discussion

The results showed a high prevalence of obesity and CMR and reinforce the fact that excess body weight in the elderly population is a global problem. FM is a metabolically active tissue and its excess is related to inflammation, oxidative stress and reduction of muscular quality due to lipid infiltration, representing as a whole, potential precursors of functional limitation. The progressive reduction of total energy expenditure because of lower levels of physical activity (PA) and lower basal metabolic rate, may explain the increase of FM. Also, with aging, hormonal alterations can facilitate the accumulation of adipose tissue. However, interestingly a recent review suggests that obesity can become a protective factor that determine a better survival in elders.

The rapid reduction of MM in older adults, can negatively affect both the glucose regulation and strength, as well as quality of life and level of PA. The reduction of muscle function can ultimately lead to deficiencies in functional capacity, which in addition to excessive FM, determines a condition of high CMR and disability called sarcopenic obesity. An important deficit of MM was found only in women, and in accordance with previous reports, was higher in men. It has been described that the reduction of MM in men depends on hormonal factors, PA and cardiovascular disease, while in women it depends basically on PA and FM. In addition, MM reduction and muscle function is strongly associated with vitamin D deficiency and overweight in postmenopausal women. Given the strong influence of MM on bone mineral density, the higher prevalence of osteoporosis in women can be explained in part due to lower MM, reinforcing the importance of PA to promote bone mineral density and muscle function.

Anthropometry is a valid method to classify the nutritional status. Nevertheless, given the strong inverse relationship between body height and CMR, WHtR has been suggested. In fact, a study conducted in Chile concluded that WHtR had a greater CMR predictive power than BMI, WC and waist-hip ratio (WHR) in older adults. Alterations in nutritional status are usually accompanied by poor FF, however, in a study carried out in elders with excess body weight, it was found that subjects with adequate levels of FF did not show an increase in the projected risk of functional loss, whereas those with low performance presented higher risk, suggesting the relevance of FF. In this study, a high proportion of subjects presented risk of functional limitation due impairment of lower and upper limb strength, as well as aerobic capacity. The standards expressed as cut-off points offered by SFT, provides a criterion about the level of physical performance required for the conservation of functionality, regardless of educational level or ethnicity, which is fundamental as a determinant of healthy aging. It is also important to consider that fitness depends on the levels of PA of the elderly population, as well as socioeconomic factors, including access and quality of health systems.
Studies have reported that in general, men have greater strength, aerobic capacity, and agility-dynamic balance, while women have greater flexibility\(^{34}\). These differences can be explained according to anthropometric characteristics\(^{35}\), joint tissue properties\(^{36}\), functional mobility\(^{37}\), and motor control\(^{38}\), proper to each sex. In concordance, in this investigation, men showed greater aerobic capacity and women, greater flexibility of the lower limb, but no differences were observed in strength and agility-dynamic balance.

The correlation analyses indicated that poorer aerobic capacity was related to higher BMI, WHR, and FM, and lower SMI. Poorer flexibility of upper limb is related to higher BMI and SMI, and finally poorer agility-dynamic balance is related to higher WHtR and FM. Then, although the goodness of fit of the SLRM was low, the observed associations suggested the predictive value of BMI, WHR, and FM on aerobic capacity, and the predictive value of BMI on upper limb flexibility. It is worth noting that FM was the measure with the highest predictive reliability and that the strength of this association was preserved independently of the influence of BMI and WHtR. However, it seems that age and sex are confounding variables that can influence these associations, in concordance with other authors\(^{39}\).

The low goodness of fit of the models can be attributed to the relatively small sample size, taking as a reference similar studies\(^{8,39}\), but it could also be due to the intrinsic variability of the older population, considering the high heterogeneity of the aging process\(^{40}\). Furthermore, the potential influence of contextual factors specific to each population cannot be ignored\(^{18}\).

Studies focused on independent elders have analyzed the associations between anthropometry and functionality related to daily activities, coinciding about the negative influence of obesity. An et al. found that high BMI negatively influences mobility, muscle function, activities of daily living and gross and fine motor function\(^{14}\). Asp et al. showed a strong association between obesity, PA and reduction of mobility\(^{12}\), and Nam et al. reported that high BMI in conjunction with high WC, strongly increase the risk of motor disability, even after adjusting for sociodemographic covariates\(^{40}\).

Considering that the performance of daily living activities requires different physical capacities, studies have incorporated these components into their analyses. Landi et al. reported that greater MM was favorably associated with physical performance valued by a set of FF tests determinants of aerobic capacity, dynamic balance and lower limb strength\(^{41}\). Alcazar et al. by integrating all the SFT tests into a composite global indicator, found that lower FF was strongly related to high prevalence of high BMI, FM, WC and sarcopenic obesity\(^{41}\), and Shin et al. found that higher BMI was correlated with longer time to complete 8-m walking at both normal and brisk pace, and that higher FM was associated with poorer physical performance measures (one-leg stance time, timed get-up and-go test, 8-m walking and timed chair sit-to-stand\(^{11}\)). Nevertheless, Pereira et al. reported no influence of any category of BMI or FM on the postural balance, although an important limitation recognized by the authors, was that the study did not consider other aspects of FF\(^{42}\).

Nowadays there is still no consensus about the FF tests that should be implemented in a comprehensive geriatric assessment\(^{15}\). For this reason, the SFT was considered in order to provide information about a comprehensive battery of relevant FF components\(^{9,34}\). The main limitation of this study is related to the cross-sectional design and sampling methodology, which does not allow to extrapolate the results to the population of older adults. Also, the sample is not homogeneous in the number of men and women, which could have influenced the results. Beyond this, the strengths of the research lies in obtaining anthropometric and FF measures currently suggested by the literature, facilitating the analysis of their evolution based on national and international recommendations. In addition, it is important to highlight the fact that few studies incorporate alternative measures to BMI, and as far as we know, the influence of WHtR on FF has not been previously analyzed.

Current results support and complement those of similar previous studies related to functional independence in elders. However, the complexity of the aging process demands more research to fully understand the interactions between these aspects. According to the relative simplicity and value of the considered measures, we propose that they can be included in community health programs aimed to improve the functional independence of older adults, contributing to its planning, evaluation and monitoring. Furthermore, future studies should investigate the effects of different
approaches aimed at increasing the nutritional status and FF in older adults, and how these may affect the outcomes analyzed in the current study.
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